Soil- and Groundwater-Quality Data for an Abandoned Cattle and Hog Feedlot in Henderson County, Kentucky

E. Glynn Beck  
*University of Kentucky, ebeck@uky.edu*

James S. Dinger  
*University of Kentucky, james.dinger@uky.edu*

Eugenia Pena-Yewtukhiw  
*West Virginia University*

John H. Grove  
*University of Kentucky, jgrove@uky.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_ic](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_ic)

Part of the [Geology Commons](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/geology_commons), [Hydrology Commons](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/hydrology_commons), and the [Soil Science Commons](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/soil_science_commons)

**Repository Citation**
[https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_ic/26](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_ic/26)

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Kentucky Geological Survey at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kentucky Geological Survey Information Circular by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.
Soil- and Groundwater-Quality Data for an Abandoned Cattle and Hog Feedlot in Henderson County, Kentucky

E. Glynn Beck and James S. Dinger
Kentucky Geological Survey

Eugenia Pena-Yewtukhiw
West Virginia University
Division of Plant and Soil Sciences

John H. Grove
University of Kentucky
Department of Plant and Soil Sciences
Our Mission
Our mission is to increase knowledge and understanding of the mineral, energy, and water resources, geologic hazards, and geology of Kentucky for the benefit of the Commonwealth and Nation.

Earth Resources—Our Common Wealth

www.uky.edu/kgs

Technical Level

General Intermediate Technical

© 2010
University of Kentucky
For further information contact:
Technology Transfer Officer
Kentucky Geological Survey
228 Mining and Mineral Resources Building
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40506-0107

ISSN 0075-5583
Contents
Abstract ...................................................................................................................................................................... 1
Introduction .............................................................................................................................................................. 1
Site Description ........................................................................................................................................................ 2
Soil-Core Collection and Data Analysis ................................................................................................................ 2
Soil-Core Coordinates and Elevations .................................................................................................................. 2
Groundwater Sampling and Data Analysis ........................................................................................................ 4
Groundwater Data Format .................................................................................................................................... 4
References Cited .................................................................................................................................................... 5

Figures
1. Map showing location of the Dixon farm abandoned feedlot in Henderson County .......................... 2
2. Soil core and livestock well (LW1) locations at the Dixon farm abandoned feedlot ......................... 3

Tables
1. Methods used to analyze soil samples .................................................................................................... 4
2. Identification and construction data for the Dixon farm livestock well (LW1) .................................. 4
3. Analytical methods conducted on groundwater samples ................................................................. 4

Appendices
A. Chemical data for soil cores collected from the Dixon farm abandoned feedlot ................................ IC20_12_Appendix_A.xls
B. Coordinate and elevation data for soil cores collected from the Dixon farm abandoned feedlot .................. IC20_12_Appendix_B.xls
C. Groundwater-quality data for the Dixon farm livestock well (LW1) .................................................. IC20_12_Appendix_C.xls
Soil- and Groundwater-Quality Data for an Abandoned Cattle and Hog Feedlot in Henderson County, Kentucky

E. Glynn Beck and James S. Dinger
Kentucky Geological Survey

Eugenia Pena-Yewtukhiw
West Virginia University
Division of Plant and Soil Sciences

John H. Grove
University of Kentucky
Department of Plant and Soil Sciences

Abstract

Groundwater samples collected from a livestock well in southwestern Henderson County, Ky., contained nitrate-N concentrations greater than 20 mg/L, two times the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s maximum contaminant level. The well is located in an abandoned cattle and hog feedlot. The feedlot is located in an upland bedrock setting with Pleistocene loess overlying Pennsylvanian bedrock. One hundred twenty-one soil cores were collected to better define the areal extent of organic-rich soil believed to be the source of the elevated nitrate-N in the groundwater. Cores were collected on 25-ft centers to a depth of 4 ft below the land surface. Soil samples were analyzed for pH, bioavailable phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, organic matter, total nitrogen, and inorganic nitrogen (nitrate-N). Groundwater samples were analyzed for pH, specific conductance, temperature, oxidation-reduction potential, nitrate-N, chloride, herbicides, and nitrogen and oxygen isotopes. The resulting soil- and groundwater-quality data are presented in this report.

Introduction

Analysis of groundwater samples collected from a livestock well on a farm in southwestern Henderson County, Ky., revealed nitrate-N concentrations greater than 20 mg/L, which is above the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s maximum contaminant level of 10 mg/L. After notifying the landowner about the elevated nitrate, we were informed that beneath this site was an abandoned cattle and hog feedlot, which was suspected to be the source of the elevated nitrate in the local groundwater. How long the feedlot was in operation and how long it has been abandoned is unknown, but according to the landowner the feedlot was in operation for more than 30 years. Since abandonment, the feedlot has been incorporated into the surrounding pasture and is now hidden and cannot be visually defined. We therefore collected soil cores to better determine the location and areal extent of organic-rich soil typically as-
associated with animal feeding lots. This report presents the soil- and groundwater-quality data associated with this project.

Because of health and family issues, the landowner asked us not to continue our research after the data in this report were collected, which explains the limited data obtained at this site. Funding for this research was provided in part by the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture through the Senate Bill 271 Research and Education Program.

Site Description

The study area is located on a farm (referred to as the Dixon farm) in southwestern Henderson County, approximately 3 mi south of Corydon (Fig. 1), in the Poole 7.5-minute quadrangle (Fairer, 1973). The Dixon farm is located in the Western Kentucky Coal Field, in an upland bedrock setting with Pleistocene loess (15 to 30 ft thick) overlying Pennsylvanian bedrock (shale and channel-fill sandstone). Upland bedrock settings in the Western Kentucky Coal Field are characterized by broad ridges with shallow, wide valleys. The soil type at the abandoned feedlot is a loess-derived, well-drained Memphis silt loam (Converse and Cox, 1967).

Soil-Core Collection and Data Analysis

One hundred twenty-one soil cores were collected on 25-ft centers to a depth of 4 ft below land surface (Fig. 2). At the time of collection, soil cores were subdivided into 1-ft increments and placed in brown paper bags to be transported to a freezer, where the samples remained until analyzed. Cores were analyzed for pH, bioavailable phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, organic matter, total nitrogen, and inorganic nitrogen (nitrate-N). All laboratory analyses were performed in accordance with analytical methods widely accepted in the literature. Table 1 lists the methods used to analyze each soil-quality parameter and the laboratory where each analysis was performed.

Appendix A (all appendices are available for download at kgs.uky.edu/kgsweb/olops/pub/kgs/water/IC20_12) contains chemical data for each core, divided into increments, for each of the 121 cores. The top 2 ft of each core were analyzed for pH, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, organic matter, and total nitrogen. Phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, and total nitrogen were measured in parts per 2 million, which is equivalent to pounds per acre. Organic matter was calculated as percentage of carbon multiplied by 1.72, which gives the percentage of organic matter in the soil sample. The entire length of each core (0 to 48 in.) was analyzed for nitrate-N, which was measured in parts per million. The “<” symbol means the concentration was below the indicated method detection limit. Empty cells in the data table mean the soil sample was not analyzed for that chemical constituent.

Soil-Core Coordinates and Elevations

Latitude and longitude for each soil core were determined by using a survey-
Figure 2. Soil core and livestock well (LW1) locations at the Dixon farm abandoned feedlot.

grade Trimble\(^1\) GPS unit and ArcView GIS. The GPS unit was set up over four core locations in the field, and latitude and longitude coordinates were recorded for each site. These coordinates were used to digitize and project a base map into ArcView GIS. Latitude and longitude coordinates for each of the remaining core locations were recorded directly from ArcView GIS. Coordinates for each core are in decimal degrees and based on the North American datum of 1983 (Appendix B).

Surface elevations were determined by using elevations from the four GPS sites. These sites were used as base stations from which the elevations for the other core sites were surveyed using an automatic level and rod. Surface elevations, bottom of corehole elevations, coordinates for each core are in decimal degrees and based on the North American datum of 1983 (Appendix B).

\(^1\)The use of trade or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the Kentucky Geological Survey.
Table 1. Methods used to analyze soil samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Glass electrode in a 1:1 soil:water</td>
<td>Division of Regulatory Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioavailable phosphorus, calcium, potassium,</td>
<td>Mehlich III extraction (Mehlich, 1984)</td>
<td>Division of Regulatory Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesium, zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter and total nitrogen</td>
<td>Dry combustion (Bradstreet, 1965; Nelson and</td>
<td>Division of Regulatory Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sommers, 1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic nitrogen (nitrate-N)</td>
<td>Colorimetry (Technicon Corp., 1965) and</td>
<td>Chemical and Physical Edaphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greiss-Ilosvay method (Keeney and Nelson,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Groundwater Sampling and Data Analysis

Table 2 presents construction information related to a livestock well (LW1) at the abandoned feedlot (Fig. 2). Well LW1 was sampled for pH, specific conductance, temperature, oxidation-reduction potential (recorded as Eh), nitrate-N, chloride, herbicides, and nitrogen and oxygen isotopes, all in accordance with U.S. Geological Survey guidelines for sampling and collecting groundwater (U.S. Geological Survey, 1980). The well was purged and sampled using the existing submersible pump.

Specific conductance, pH, and temperature were recorded using a Horiba U-10 water-quality monitoring system with a flow-through chamber. Oxidation-reduction potential was recorded using an Orion ORP electrode and field meter. Field measurements were recorded after purging three well volumes and after measurement stabilized. All field instruments were calibrated daily during sampling using procedures prescribed by the manufacturers.

All laboratory analyses were performed in accordance with either U.S. Environmental Protection Agency methods or analytical methods widely accepted in the literature. Sample splits were prepared in the field and transported to the laboratory in properly sterilized bottles. If the analysis protocol required sample preservation, it was done at the time of collection, and a temperature of 4°C was maintained until the sample was delivered to the appropriate laboratory.

Water analyses were performed at the Kentucky Geological Survey laboratory, Environmental Isotope Laboratory at the University of Waterloo (Ontario, Canada), and the KGS Western Kentucky office laboratory. Table 3 lists the analyses performed, methods used, and required sample preservation for each laboratory.

Groundwater Data Format

Appendix C contains all groundwater-quality data collected at this site. The “<” symbol means the concentration was below the indicated minimum detection level. Chloride and nitrate-N samples were analyzed and corehole depths are listed in Appendix B. Surface elevations were recorded as feet above sea level.
using two different methods, which are identified in Table 3. Shaded cells in the chloride and nitrate-N columns indicate that the samples were analyzed using an ion selective electrode. Nitrogen (15N/14N) and oxygen (18O/16O) isotope ratios were analyzed from the groundwater nitrate molecule and are represented as NO3−δ15N and NO3−δ18O, respectively. An empty cell in the data table indicates that water samples were not analyzed for those chemical constituents.
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