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Discerning the optimal transplantation paradigms for cellular homeostasis, as well as many mechanisms that can lead to both necrosis and apoptosis. The field of “mitochondrial medicine” is emerging in which injury/disease states are targeted therapeutically at the level of the mitochondrion, including specific antioxidants, bioenergetic substrate additions, and membrane uncoupling agents. Consequently, novel mitochondrial transplantation strategies represent a potentially multifactorial therapy leading to increased adenosine triphosphate production, decreased oxidative stress, mitochondrial DNA replacement, improved bioenergetics and tissue sparing. Herein, we describe briefly the history of mitochondrial transplantation and the various techniques used for both in vitro and in vivo delivery, the benefits associated with successful transference into both peripheral and central nervous system tissues, along with caveats and pitfalls that hinder the advancements of this novel therapeutic.
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**Importance of Mitochondria in Health and Disease**
Mitochondrial dysfunction is a hallmark of multiple central nervous system (CNS) diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Leigh syndrome, and myoclonic epilepsy with ragged red fibers, as well as following stroke and traumatic brain or spinal cord injury (Gollihue and Rabchevsky, 2017). Although various therapeutic agents have been used to target mitochondrial dysfunction, there are currently no clinically proven treatments that typically target one or few of the pathophysiological effects of mitochondria dysfunction and uncoupling, including reactive oxygen/nitrogen species release and glutamate excitotoxicity. A novel treatment paradigm that targets mitochondrial dysfunction in injury and disease states is mitochondrial transfer and replacement strategies. There are many reported benefits to replacing mitochondria in injury or disease states, including increasing energy production, calcium buffering capacity, and replacing mitochondrial DNA. While mitochondrial transplantation from one source to recipient cells in vitro is performed by multiple labs, mitochondrial transplantation in vivo is burgeoning in models of acute lung injury and cardiac ischemia, and more recently in CNS models of stroke and Parkinson’s disease. The results show promise in sparing tissues, but such studies have used vastly different methodologies and discerning the optimal transplantation paradigms for certain animal models or outcome measures will continue to be challenging (for comprehensive review, see Gollihue and Rabchevsky, 2017).
the Hayakawa and Islam vesicle delivery systems, the recipient cells were not the same cell type as the donor cell. Communication between the two cell types, however, appeared to be vital as Cx43 connexin pore formation or CD38 activation in donor cells were initiated by signals from the injured recipient cells.

Successful mitochondrial transplantation in vivo, by directly injecting isolated autologous mitochondria into ischemic cardiac tissue before reperfusion (Masuzawa et al., 2013), results in improved mechanical function acutely, with benefits seen as soon as 10 minutes after injections. Importantly, confocal microscopy showed incorporation of exogenous mitochondria into cardiomyocytes as quickly as 2 hours after transplantation, and due to such rapid uptake, the authors suggested an actin-dependent internalization (Figure 1 microinjection). Direct injections of autologous mitochondria in a porcine model of cardiac ischemia showed similar beneficial results (Kaza et al., 2017), and there were no signs of increased inflammatory or immune responses attributed to mitochondrial transplants. Exogenous mitochondria labeled with magnetic iron oxide particles were still evident using magnetic resonance imaging 4 weeks after transplant.

Mitochondria isolated from transgenic cell lines with fluorescently labeled mitochondria have been directly microinjected into rat spinal cords and observed within a variety of host cell types after 24 and 48 hours (Gollihue et al., 2017), though mechanisms of uptake were not investigated. Alternatively, direct injections of isolated mitochondria conjugated to Pep-1, a cell penetrating peptide, into rat brains in a model of 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) induced Parkinson’s disease have been visualized up to 12 weeks post injection (Chang et al., 2013; Chang...
Mechanism of Incorporation

Various groups have shown successful mitochondria transplantation using various techniques that have similar results; mitochondrial incorporation into host tissues with beneficial outcomes (for comprehensive review see Gollihue and Rabchevsky, 2017). However, one question that few agree upon is not whether the mitochondria are incorporated, but rather how they are incorporated within the cell. While the very nature of mitochondrial compaction during the isolation protocols may contribute to their facilitated intracellular delivery, various mechanisms have been tested in vitro, with the most decisive stemming from studies showing actin-dependent mechanisms for incorporation of mitochondria (Pacak et al., 2015). However, it is not known whether the in vitro mechanisms of incorporation apply to in vivo models.

Islam et al. (2012) showed that blocking endocytosis, using dynamin, inhibited mitochondrial transfer from mBMSC to injured lung epithelium. This led to the theory that endocytosis was responsible for the uptake of mitochondria-containing vesicles. They also reported that blocking the docking and formation of gap junction channels between the cells inhibited mitochondrial transfer, indicating communication between the injured cell and the donor cell was crucial.

A possible incorporation mechanism in vivo for either mitochondrial organelles or vesicles could be a phenomenon known as massive endocytosis (Hilgemann et al., 2013). This entails internalization of very large portions of the plasma membrane, and would allow for internalization of things as large as whole organelles or vesicles. Once internalized, the mitochondria are released into the cytosol.

Comparing Delivery Methods

While various transfer or transplantation methods showed successful incorporation into host tissues, each came with their own set of caveats. First, the integrity and/or health of the transplanted mitochondria was established either by electron microscopy for morphology and purity (Cowan et al., 2016; Hayakawa et al., 2016; Gollihue et al., 2017; Kaza et al., 2017), measures of mitochondrial respiration and energy production (Masuzawa et al., 2013; Cowan et al., 2016; Hayakawa et al., 2016; Gollihue et al., 2017; Kaza et al., 2017), maintenance of fluorescence membrane potential dyes (Hayakawa et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2017), and calcium buffering (Shi et al., 2017). Injection of mitochondria into tissues should be mindful of possible adverse effects due to various damage associated molecular patterns that could incite inflammatory or immune responses, although no signs of such overt reactions have been noted following direct injections (Masuzawa et al., 2013; Kaza et al., 2017).

Isolated mitochondria themselves are at risk and vulnerable to possible adverse effects in the extracellular environment including reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Conjugating mitochondria to a carrier peptide allows for the transfer of the mitochondria across the plasma membrane, which could decrease the exposure time to the extracellular environment in addition to increasing incorporation efficiency (Chang et al., 2016). Another approach would be to deliver mitochondria within either vesicles or liposomes, though a caveat to this technique is the difficulty in packaging high numbers of mitochondria within liposomes. Vesicles that are expelled from cells may contain other elements in addition to mitochondria, making it difficult to discern benefits afforded by mitochondrial transfer when other secretory molecules may play a part.

Systemic delivery of mitochondria provides the added benefit of a more diverse incorporation profile, being taken into multiple organs and tissues, which could be beneficial depending upon the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype. For instance, systemic delivery of mitochondria after cardiac ischemia results in some exogenous mitochondrial colocalization within cardiomyocytes, but a larger amount of mitochondria were found in the interstitial spaces. If mitochondrial dysfunction is widespread, such as in a genetic mitochondrial disorder, then this technique may have more effect. However, in injury or diseases in which mitochondria dysfunction is more focal, for instance around an injury site, then direct trans-
plantation to concentrate healthy mitochondria within that injured tissue may be more applicable (Cowan et al., 2016).

There are also caveats to be considered concerning the source of donor mitochondria; whether to isolate from cell culture or attempt autologous transplants. Transgenic labeling of mitochondria in cell culture before transplantation can provide an indelible marker for visualization in situ (Islam et al., 2012; Gollihue et al., 2017). However, only non-autologous mitochondrial transplantation can be performed when using such a labeling paradigm. An additional benefit of using non-autologous transplant is that the exogenous mitochondrial DNA can be quantified in tissues using quantitative real-time PCR. While labeling isolated mitochondria before transplantation with a MitoTracker dye or rhodamine derivative can be used for autologous transplants (Masuzawa et al., 2013), the fidelity of these markers to label only the transplanted mitochondria and not endogenous mitochondria is uncertain.

Conclusions
As more studies utilize mitochondrial transplantation for therapeutics in various pathophysiological conditions, comparisons will lend to optimal techniques for both delivery and functional incorporation. Although there are still many questions as to the exact mechanisms by which mitochondria are both incorporated into cells and exert beneficial effects, transplantation strategies as therapeutics for nervous system dysfunctions such as stroke, Parkinson’s disease and spinal cord injury are stimulating, though still in the experimental modeling stages. However, the McCully group is beginning to study clinical efficacy of mitochondrial transplant in pediatric cardiac dysfunction. Accordingly, depending upon the type of injury or disease model and the different tissues involved (i.e., cardiac vs. nervous vs. lung), the various delivery methods (Figure 1) can be refined for optimal effects. When precise mitochondrial incorporation mechanisms are elucidated, then delivery approaches can be targeted to specific tissues or cell types in future studies. Major advancements in the field of mitochondrial transplantation will require unveiling the intricacies involved in successful transplantation and functional incorporation within cells. Consequent translation of these findings to the clinical setting may provide novel and impactful ways for mitochondrial transplantation to both protect and repair damaged tissues associate with CNS disease and trauma.
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