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Targeting mitoNEET with pioglitazone for therapeutic neuroprotection after spinal cord injury

There is mounting evidence that targeting mitochondrial dysfunction following neurotrauma could be key in developing effective therapeutic strategies since mitochondria are known to play a major role in cellular bioenergetics, function, and survival following traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) (Rabchevsky et al., 2011). Our research group is one of the pioneers in targeting mitochondrial dysfunction to foster functional neuroprotection, having documented that pharmacological maintenance of mitochondrial function acutely results in long-term neuroprotection and improved functional recovery. We have recently reported that treatment with the pleiotropic drug, pioglitazone, maintains acute mitochondrial integrity correlated with chronic tissue sparing and functional recovery after contusion SCI, but that this was not correlated with altered neuroinflammation (Patel et al., 2017). We herein propose that the mechanism(s) by which pioglitazone confers neuroprotection may not be entirely dependent upon its activation of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR), a member of nuclear receptor superfamily that can heterodimerize in a ligand-dependent and -independent manner to regulate gene expression of multiple molecular processes. A class of drugs used to treat type 2 diabetes, called thiazolidinediones, can modulate PPAR-γ therapeutic effects. Also called glitazones, these drugs include pioglitazone, rosiglitazone, troglitazone and ciglitazone, which are reported to provide their therapeutic effects through varying interactions with PPAR-γ (Park et al., 2007).

While it has been hypothesized that PPAR-γ modulation of inflammation is the basis for reported therapeutic efficacy after neurotrauma, it is our contention that the noted anti-inflammatory effects of pioglitazone following neurotrauma are indirect and based, in part, on tissue preservation due to mitochondrial homeostasis and, consequently, greater functional neuroprotection. Similar to our recent report after SCI (Patel et al., 2017), we previously found that following contusion traumatic brain injury (TBI), pioglitazone attenuated mitochondria dysfunction and improved both tissue sparing and behavioral outcome, but without altering neuroinflammation (Sauerbeck et al., 2011). Accordingly, others used PPAR antagonists to show that the neuroprotective effects of pioglitazone treatment after TBI were independent of PPAR-γ activation (Thal et al., 2011). To further support our alternative hypothesis, studies have shown that pioglitazone binds to mitoNEET, a novel mitochondrial membrane protein, and that pioglitazone binding to mitoNEET is able to inhibit its [2Fe-2S] cluster transfer upon binding (see Tamir et al., 2015 and references within). However, the role of such a mechanism in providing therapy after central nervous system (CNS) injury is unknown, although it may be related to prevention of its dimerization.

MitoNEET is a protein localized in the brain, liver and skeletal muscles of rodents, a finding long after the discovery that pioglitazone has a binding affinity for the mitochondrial membrane that is mediated through a new m-17 kDa protein, later termed mitoNEET (Tamir et al., 2015). At the time of its discovery, mitoNEET was proposed to be a pivotal protein for mitochondrial metabolism that had the potential of being modulated by pioglitazone. Since its initial discovery, the exact role of mitoNEET in the cell remains uncertain. However, a handful of groups have studied mitoNEET’s protein dimers and suggested one possible role is to be a shuttle protein for the mitochondria (Tamir et al., 2015). Additionally, in mitoNEET knockout mice the mitochondria have decreased oxidative capacity, which suggests that it may be pivotal in controlling the rate of mitochondrial respiration, notably as a redox-sensitive protein that can be reduced by biological thiols such as glutathione (GSH), reversing the effect of mitoNEET oxidation (Tamir et al., 2015). This may account for our demonstration that novel GSH precursors prevent mitochondrial function and afford neuroprotection following traumatic SCI and TBI (Pandya et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2014), and we have more recently reported on the identification of small molecules that bind to mitoNEET that might be targeted pharmacologically after CNS trauma (Geldenhuys et al., 2016; Yonutas et al., 2016). These ligands are built on the glitazone backbone by truncating the PPAR binding moiety (Tamir et al., 2015), allowing these novel compounds to target mitoNEET directly without any subsequent direct PPAR activation.

While we have documented that pioglitazone administered at 15 minutes or 3 hours after SCI significantly maintains mitochondrial respiration 24 hours post-injury (Figure 1A), our recent findings indicate that pioglitazone is neuroprotective following SCI by maintaining mitochondrial homeostasis via direct interactions with mitoNEET. Specifically, pioglitazone administration to mitoNEET knockout (KO) (-/-) mice does not maintain mitochondrial function following SCI (Figure 1B). Therefore, unlike beneficial effects seen in wild-type (WT) mice, pioglitazone treatment was ineffective at improving mitochondrial respiration in mitoNEET KO mice.

Collectively, our data provide a foundation for the novel hypothesis that pioglutazone benefits following SCI are dependent upon its interactions with mitoNEET. Accordingly, ongoing experiments are directly testing this hypothesis to establish, mechanistically, the basis for pioglitazone neuroprotection with the ultimate goal of using novel specific mitoNEET ligands as novel therapeutics for both SCI and TBI, as well as a wide range of neurological disease states.
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**Figure I** Pioglitazone (Pio) is ineffective at maintaining mitochondrial state III respiration (OCR) in mitoNEET knockout (KO) mice. (A) At 24 hours after traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) (vehicle) in wild-type (WT) mice, there was a significant reduction in OCR (oxidative phosphorylation), as well as NADH-linked electron transport system (ETS) (state V-I) capacity. Administration of Pio (10 mg/kg) at 15 minutes or 3 hours post-injury followed by a booster at 24 hours significantly maintained mitochondrial respiration at 25 hours post-injury (Patel et al., 2017). (B) Compared to sham, SCI also resulted in reduced mitochondrial respiration in mitoNEET KO mice. However, unlike WT, similar treatment with 10 mg/kg Pio in injured mitoNEET KO mice did not restore mitochondrial respiration at 25 hours post-SCI. Bars represent the mean ± SEM, n = 7-10/group (A), 3/group (B). *P < 0.05, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, vs. vehicle group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>OCR (pmol/min)</th>
<th>State III</th>
<th>State V-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT Pio 3 hours</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Vehicle</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Pio 15 min</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Pio 24 hrs</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Pio 24 hrs+booster</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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