

University of Kentucky

UKnowledge

---

Horticulture Faculty Publications

Horticulture

---

10-14-2014

## Experimental Approaches to Study Plant Cell Walls during Plant-Microbe Interactions

Ye Xia

*University of Kentucky*, [ye.xia@uky.edu](mailto:ye.xia@uky.edu)

Carloalberto Petti

*University of Kentucky*, [carloalberto.petti@uky.edu](mailto:carloalberto.petti@uky.edu)

Mark A. Williams

*University of Kentucky*, [mark.williams@uky.edu](mailto:mark.williams@uky.edu)

Seth DeBolt

*University of Kentucky*, [sdebo2@uky.edu](mailto:sdebo2@uky.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/horticulture\\_facpub](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/horticulture_facpub)



Part of the [Horticulture Commons](#)

[Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Xia, Ye; Petti, Carloalberto; Williams, Mark A.; and DeBolt, Seth, "Experimental Approaches to Study Plant Cell Walls during Plant-Microbe Interactions" (2014). *Horticulture Faculty Publications*. 20.

[https://uknowledge.uky.edu/horticulture\\_facpub/20](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/horticulture_facpub/20)

This Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Horticulture at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Horticulture Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

---

## Experimental Approaches to Study Plant Cell Walls during Plant-Microbe Interactions

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00540>

### Notes/Citation Information

Published in *Frontiers in Plant Science*, v. 5, article 540, p. 1-7.

© 2014 Xia, Petti, Williams and DeBolt. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



# Experimental approaches to study plant cell walls during plant-microbe interactions

Ye Xia, Carloalberto Petti, Mark A. Williams and Seth DeBolt\*

Department of Horticulture, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

## Edited by:

Vincenzo Lionetti, Sapienza  
"Università di Roma," Italy

## Reviewed by:

Yumiko Sakuragi, University of  
Copenhagen, Denmark  
Charles T. Anderson, The  
Pennsylvania State University, USA

## \*Correspondence:

Seth DeBolt, Department of  
Horticulture, University of Kentucky,  
Plant Science Building, 1405  
Veterans Drive, Lexington, KY  
40546-0312, USA  
e-mail: sdebo2@uky.edu

Plant cell walls provide physical strength, regulate the passage of bio-molecules, and act as the first barrier of defense against biotic and abiotic stress. In addition to providing structural integrity, plant cell walls serve an important function in connecting cells to their extracellular environment by sensing and transducing signals to activate cellular responses, such as those that occur during pathogen infection. This mini review will summarize current experimental approaches used to study cell wall functions during plant-pathogen interactions. Focus will be paid to cell imaging, spectroscopic analyses, and metabolic profiling techniques.

**Keywords:** cell imaging, metabolic profiling, phytobiome, plant-microbe interaction, cell wall

## INTRODUCTION

The plant cell wall is a complex network consisting of diverse polysaccharides, lignin, and proteins (Mutwil et al., 2008). It provides physical strength, maintains cell shape, resists internal turgor pressure, regulates cell differentiation and growth, mediates bio-molecule transit, and serves as the first barrier of defense against biotic and abiotic stress (Knox, 2008; Collinge, 2009; Endler and Persson, 2011). Cell walls are highly dynamic and are capable of modifying their structural and chemical compositions to maintain functionality during developmental growth (Brewin, 2004; Somerville et al., 2004; Gorshkova et al., 2013; Bellincampi et al., 2014). In addition to its structural roles, plant cell walls serve an important function in connecting extracellular and intracellular environments by sensing and transducing signals, and activating cellular responses (Pogorelko et al., 2013) to environmental change and pathogen attack (Aziz et al., 2004; Vorwerk et al., 2004; Hématy et al., 2009). At pathogen infection sites plants generally accumulate callose, phenolic compounds, and lignin (Underwood, 2012), and in some cases metabolites and proteins that can directly inhibit the growth of pathogens (Vorwerk et al., 2004; Haas et al., 2009). The importance of plant cell wall integrity and cell wall-mediated resistance during plant-microbial interaction has been demonstrated, but the related components and signaling pathways have not been fully elucidated (Mellersh and Heath, 2001; Collinge, 2009; Hématy et al., 2009).

This mini review will summarize current experimental approaches that may be used as tools to study the cell wall with a focus on techniques that could be applied during the interaction between a plant and an interacting microbe. In particular, focus will be given to techniques for assessing changes in metabolites during plant-microbe interaction as well as techniques for imaging the cell wall. We are particularly interested in how the phytobiome, including mutualistic endophytes, pathogens and

symbionts alike interact with the plants central architectural framework, the cell wall, and how this information could be harnessed for isolation of new herbicides (Xia et al., 2014) and/or plant defense systems.

## METABOLIC PROFILING FOCUSED ON INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PLANT AND MICROBE

Metabolic profiling is the characterization and quantification of low-molecular weight metabolites and their intermediates in biological systems (Roessner and Bowne, 2009). This profiling aims to capture metabolites involved in the dynamic plant response to genetic modification, growth and developmental manipulation, and biotic/abiotic stresses (Clarke and Haselden, 2008). During plant-pathogen interaction, pathogens attempt to utilize the metabolism of host plants to suppress plant defense and to obtain nutrients (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Chisholm et al., 2006; Collinge, 2009). Metabolites that are synthesized by a host plant during a plant-microbe interaction can serve as signals, sedatives, or toxins to either aid the association with the microbe, or to attempt to limit the proliferation of the microbe (Thomma et al., 2002; Krishnan et al., 2005; Allwood et al., 2010, 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2012). Ultimately, most metabolic profiling will aim to capture *in situ* changes in cellular output in a spatially or temporal discrete region (Sumner, 2006; Timischl et al., 2008; Sumner et al., 2011; Khakimov et al., 2012). In the case of plant-pathogen interactions, profiling generally focuses on the plants metabolic response. Assaying microbial metabolites that are involved in plant-microbe interaction remains challenging. Assigning signals produced by a microbe requires separating them from those of the host plant and when grown in isolation, their metabolic output may not reflect a pathogenic state. When considering the plant cell wall, the relative predictability of metabolites in specific tissues provides an excellent starting point for looking at metabolic shifts associated with microbial ingress.

## ANALYSIS OF PLANT CELL WALL POLYSACCHARIDES THROUGH METABOLIC PROFILING

At a broad scale, measurement of cell wall metabolites has been well-defined for decades. Neutral and acidic polysaccharides (Blakeney et al., 1983), acid insoluble and soluble glucose (Updegraff, 1969), soluble and insoluble lignin fractions (NREL, 2000) and the linkages between glycosyl units (Tong and Gross, 1988) can be examined with spectrophotometric, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), or gas chromatography (GC) coupled to mass spectroscopy (GC MS) to obtain a snapshot of the cell wall composition (Kopka, 2006). Similarly, at a much higher resolution, the structure of cell wall polysaccharides can be examined by uniformly feeding the plant with a isotope trace ( $^{13}\text{C}$ -glucose and  $^{15}\text{N}$ -ammonia) and then employing  $^{13}\text{C}$ -magic angle spinning solid state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (SS-NMR) (Dick-Pérez et al., 2011; Fernandes et al., 2011; Harris et al., 2012). However, the capacity to look at spatially discrete regions of cell wall composition, which are linked to microbial association, can be difficult due to the relatively large amount of material needed for many of these techniques. To get around this limitation, combining systematic metabolite profiling with immunological approaches can be effective. For example, immunological approaches have been used to investigate the glycome profiling of wide array of plant cell wall polysaccharides (Pattathil et al., 2010, 2012; DeMartini et al., 2011; Fangel et al., 2012). Currently, around 150 antibodies that can recognize diverse epitopes present on each of the major classes of plant polysaccharides exist and are continuing to be developed. These antibodies have been used for *in situ* localization of epitopes to further our understanding of cell wall composition (Pattathil et al., 2012). Carbohydrate Microarray Polymer Profiling (CoMPP) has been streamlined as a screening platform to analyze cell wall polysaccharides by combining the specificity of monoclonal antibodies with a high-throughput microarray system (Alonso-Simón et al., 2009; Moller et al., 2012). In the context of microbial ingress, antibody based polysaccharide visualization has been utilized to observe altered xyloglucan arising from infection by the fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Nguema-Ona et al., 2012, 2013). While difficulties arise in assigning quantitative data for localized metabolite profiles via immunological techniques, the capacity to gain unparalleled qualitative data is emerging. Additionally, a versatile high-resolution oligosaccharide microarray has been developed for cell wall analysis, which aids in the validation and characterization of target oligosaccharides produced via hydrolysis of polysaccharides or *de novo* synthesis (Pedersen et al., 2012). This library of cell wall oligosaccharides has been created by coupling target oligosaccharides with cognate proteins to form neoglycoconjugates, which in turn can be printed onto a microarray format (Pedersen et al., 2012). One can imagine the importance of such techniques to identify and characterize oligosaccharides identified during metabolic profiling.

Other techniques for assessing metabolites on a screening scale include Oligosaccharide Mass Profiling (OLIMP) coupled with Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time Of Flight (MALDI-TOF)-MS (Obel et al., 2009), or using of a suite of 74 polysaccharide degrading enzymes (Bauer et al., 2006). Both techniques were developed for the small-scale assessment of plant

cell wall polysaccharides and to examine the oligosaccharides formed from polysaccharides that are digested by specific degrading enzymes (Bauer et al., 2006; Obel et al., 2009). OLIMP has particularly high sensitivity, thus making it ideal for small samples. It needs short preparation time and is suitable for *in situ* wall analysis at the cellular level. Importantly, OLIMP enables the comparative analysis of the wall polymers in a Golgi-enriched fraction vs. the apoplast fraction based on matrix polysaccharides, which may extend information about cellular functions during plant-pathogen interaction. OLIMP has been used to examine microbial alterations of the cell wall (Lionetti et al., 2007; Manabe et al., 2011), and allowed researchers to pinpoint that the alteration in esterification of pectin and xylan influenced the outcome of *B. cinerea* infection.

## CELL IMAGING AND SPETROSCOPIC TECHNIQUES

Advanced cellular imaging can be useful to investigate phenotypes linked to plant-microbe associations. Cellular imaging can be particularly important when applying a quantitative methodology to imaging techniques. Many microscopic techniques are available, including light (Wilt et al., 2009), fluorescence (Lichtman and Conchello, 2005), and confocal microscopy (Nwaneshiudu et al., 2012). However, the outcomes of cell imaging can be influenced by many factors, such as microscope resolution, the rate at which images can be acquired, cell type being examined and the abundance/size of the tagged protein or structure being observed (Stephens and Allan, 2003; Shaw, 2006; **Table 1** for more details). Live cell imaging techniques (**Table 1**) have facilitated our understanding of plant cell wall dynamics in several different applications (Lee et al., 2011; Sappl and Heisler, 2013), and have been broadly applied when studying specific aspects of cell wall alteration during the interaction between a host plant and microbe.

## ANALYSIS OF CELL WALL STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION WITH CELL IMAGING TECHNIQUES

There are several techniques that may be used to investigate structural and functional changes of plant cell walls during plant-microbe interactions. Aside from examining the phenotype, actually pinpointing defects in the cell wall often requires the merger to two or more techniques, including profiling cell wall structure as described above. Electron microscopy, both scanning (SEM) and transmission (TEM), along with fluorescence microscopy (FM) in the form of laser scanning or spinning disk confocal microscopy are of particularly interest. These techniques have been used together to examine plant-microbe interaction through alterations in the cell wall. Here, FM and TEM (**Table 1**) revealed that multi-vesicular bodies participated in cell wall-associated defense to powdery mildew in barley (An et al., 2006). As individual techniques, neither could ascertain mechanistic association, but together these techniques allowed a snapshot of inter and intracellular occurrences. Further, the relevance of plasma membrane–cell wall adhesion for cowpea resistance to rust fungi penetration was pinpointed by an integrated use of light and confocal microscopy (Mellersh and Heath, 2001). Laser scanning confocal microscopy can be used to track both plant and microbial proteins in live tissue. For example, confocal microscopy was used to track the dynamics of a *Xanthomonas* outer protein J (XopJ) in tobacco plants (**Table 1**), and revealed

**Table 1 | Comparison of different cell imaging/spectroscopy methods for plant cell wall study.**

Technique	Acquisition speed	Cell damage	Labeling (Fluorescence/Coating/Staining)	Live cells	Single cell detection	Spatial/Temporal resolution	Sample preparation	Chemical composition analysis	Measured parameter/information provided/limitation or possible problem caused	References
Bright-field microscopy (BFM)	Slow	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Low ~2–3 μm	Often complex	Not available	Particle shape and size, cell-wall surfaces, and multilamellar architecture	Lacayo et al., 2010; Moran-Mirabal, 2013
Fluorescence microscopy (FM)	Fast	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	High ~10–255 nm	Easier	Not available	3D-cell wall structure, relative amount of cell wall polymers among different cells, localization and interactions of different wall components; photobleaching	Shaw, 2006; Frigault et al., 2009; Lacayo et al., 2010
Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)	Slow	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	High ~0.2–0.8 μm	Easier	Not available	3D-cell wall structure, localization and interactions of different wall components, multiple labels usage, focus to small regions; Scanning speed limits	McCann et al., 2001; Stephens and Allan, 2003; Ma et al., 2013
Spinning disk confocal microscopy (SDCM)	Very fast for single color acquisition	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	High ~0.2–0.8 μm	Easier	Not available	3D-cell wall structure, broad laser focus, quantitative analysis of polymer dynamics; switching between laser lines limit the acquisition speed	Stephens and Allan, 2003; Paredez et al., 2006; Bischoff et al., 2009
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	Fast	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	High ~0.2–10 nm	Time and skill demanding	Not available	Cell-wall surfaces and multilamellar architecture, cell wall ultrastructural organization; Small sample areas, high resolution	Kristensen et al., 2008; Sant'Anna et al., 2013
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	Fast	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	High ~1–4 nm	Time and skill demanding	Not available	Cell-wall surfaces and multilamellar architecture, uses atom-coated surfaces to determine topologies	Sarkar et al., 2009; Donohoe et al., 2011
Localization microscopy (LM)	Fast	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	High ~2–25 nm	Easier	Not available	3D-cell wall structure, single-molecule localization, super resolution techniques, nanoscale glucan polymer analysis	Betzig et al., 2006; Eggert et al., 2014
Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) microscopy/Raman microscopy	Slow	No	No	Yes	Yes	High ~250 nm	Time and skill demanding	Available	Multiple components chemical analysis, and orientation of the cellulose microfibrils. The results are significantly influenced by the environment and water. Spectra are difficult to analyze and interpret	Chen et al., 1997b; Agarwal et al., 2010; Gierlinger et al., 2012
Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	Fast	No	No	Yes	Yes	High ~0.1–30 nm	Easier	Available	3D-cell wall structure, topology of the cell wall surface; poor chemical resolution	Kirby et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2012

*(Continued)*

Table 1 | Continued

Technique	Acquisition speed	Cell damage	Labeling (Fluorescence/Coating/Staining)	Live cells	Single cell detection	Spatial/Temporal resolution	Sample preparation	Chemical composition analysis	Measured parameter/information provided/limitation or possible problem caused	References
X-RAY diffraction /neutron diffractometry	Fast	No	No	Yes	Yes	High ~0.05–0.4 nm	Time and skill demanding	Available	3D cell wall structure, degree of crystallinity, crystal size, chain orientation; only use for oriented crystal polymers	Burgert, 2006; Lacayo et al., 2010; Park et al., 2014
Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy	Fast	No	No	Yes	Yes	High ~10–90 nm	Time demanding	Available	Molecular dynamics, crystal structure, cellulose orientation; Interference could be caused by high molecular weight polymers, range of temperatures and frequencies are limited	Chylla et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013

its interference (alteration of intracellular vesicle trafficking and polarized protein secretion) with cell wall-associated defense responses (Bartetzko et al., 2009). Similarly, but for a plant protein, the application of spinning disk confocal microscopy allowed the visualization of the CELLULOSE SYNTHASE (CeSA) complexes after exposure to the dinitrite-peptide Thaxtomin-A, which is a phytotoxin produced by *Streptomyces scabies* and *S. eubacteria* (Bischoff et al., 2009). Confocal microscopy allows the user to observe the microbial effector while it influences the target plant protein or cellular process. We recently utilized a screen of microbial endophytes (Xia et al., 2013) to identify microbial factors that induce cellulose inhibition and identified the compound acetobixan from a *Bacillus* sp. (Xia et al., 2014). Confocal microscopy allowed us to validate that the target process that the microbe was altering in the host plant was cellulose biosynthesis, which revealed a specific mechanism for this association.

The mechanisms of plant cell wall organization and dynamics have been extensively studied, and the use of suitable chemical probes to examine cell wall polysaccharide organization is expanding (Vorwerk et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2011). Recently, small molecule probes that bind to polysaccharides with high resolution and sensitivity have been developed (Knox, 2008; Pattathil et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011), particularly in the form of click chemistry (Wallace and Anderson, 2012). An example of this approach was the utilization of an alkynylated fucose analog (FucAl) incorporated into the cell wall pectin fraction to elucidate pectin delivery, architecture, and dynamics in *Arabidopsis* (Anderson et al., 2012). Ultimately, the development of small molecule probes compatible with live-cell imaging can further enhance the understanding of fundamental biological questions pertinent to the cell wall during plant-microbe interaction, and can even be targeted to specific events.

#### ADDITIONAL IMAGING TECHNIQUES OF NOTE

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a technique with expanding use and potential. The extremely high resolution of AFM can allow the examination of events occurring within the nm scale. AFM has recently been used to detect the interaction of a synthetic carbohydrate-binding module with plant cellulose, and the structural changes of crystalline cellulose at a cell-wall surface (Zhang et al., 2012, 2013). In terms of plant-microbe interactions, AFM recently provided nanoscale imaging of cell surfaces in their native state and revealed cell wall dynamics and modification during *Arabidopsis* and *Fusarium oxysporum* interaction (Adams et al., 2012). These selected studies underline the necessity to utilize the ever-expanding technological advances in imaging systems, often in concert with metabolic profiling, to maximize the detail of the investigation.

Localization microscopy, which is a form of super-resolution microscopy, focuses on the localization of single fluorescent molecule. Such super-resolution microscopy has been used to analyze the infection site of the fungal pathogen powdery mildew on *Arabidopsis* plants at a nanoscale level (Eggert et al., 2014). The technique was sensitive enough to show that the microbial pathogen induced the synthesis of the (1,3)- $\beta$ -glucan cell wall polymer callose, which interacted with the (1,4)- $\beta$ -glucan cellulose to form a three-dimensional network for preventing

pathogen infection. The formation of callose associated with pathogen ingress has been well-studied, but such inter-polymer associations could not have been proven without technical breakthroughs. It will be interesting to see whether such techniques are combined with click-chemistry to observe an increasing number of interactions simultaneously.

The combined approaches of microscopy with spectroscopy can also facilitate the investigation of wall associated ultra-structure modifications, and the chemical compositions of the plant cell wall during plant-pathogen interaction (Table 1 for more details). Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) spectromicroscopy has been used to determine the presence and orientation of functional groups of cellulose and pectin in plant cell walls for well over a decade (Chen et al., 1997a,b; Kačuráková et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2000). This technique was used to show that a mutation in *Arabidopsis* *PMR6*, which encodes a pectate lyase-like protein and is required for the growth and reproduction of plant fungal powdery mildew pathogen-*Erysiphe cichoracearum*, altered plant cell wall composition by increasing pectin accumulation. Both absorbance peaks attributed to cellulose and xyloglucan shifted down in energy and broadened in the spectra of *pmr6-1* cell walls, which indicated that either the  $-CH_2OH$  group or the hydrogen bond of cellulose in *pmr6-1* had been changed (Vogel et al., 2002).

Raman microscopy (Inelastic scattering with a photon from a laser light source) combined with FT-IR spectromicroscopy (Photon absorption) can facilitate the observation of ultra-structure, such as cellulosic crystals on the micro-scale ( $<0.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) level (Agarwal et al., 2010), as well as the alignment and orientation of cellulose microfibrils with respect to the fiber axis between different cell wall layers (Gierlinger et al., 2012). This combined approach also improves our ability to visualize and analyze the chemical composition of plant cell walls. For instance, the spectra of the two wall-matrix polymers: lignin and pectin display discernable marker bands, which do not overlap with the cellulose signature, so their distribution in the plant cell wall can be easily visualized, imaged, and analyzed using these techniques (Gierlinger and Schwanninger, 2006; Richter et al., 2011; Gierlinger et al., 2012).

## PROSPECTS

Recent technical breakthroughs in combining higher resolution imaging and metabolic profiling techniques have yielded numerous discoveries in how plant cell wall function is modulated during microbial interaction. Although much effort was spent to be inclusive in this mini-review, due to space constraints we apologize for excluding numerous developing techniques not limited to but including those associated with biochemical pull downs, protein-protein interaction arrays and more. Although advanced cell imaging and spectroscopic techniques have facilitated such studies, the recent identification of the enormously complex phytobiome (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Lundberg et al., 2012, 2013) reveals an outstanding question of the function of the phytobiome in plant-microbe associations. The use of next generation sequencing has revealed that many more microbes are present within plant tissue than those previously identified as obligate endophytes. It remains unclear how these microbial mutualists are associating with (or avoiding) the plant cell wall

and associated defense pathways, and whether under pathogen interaction, they matter?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Funding support was provided by NSF-IOS and USDA (Hatch/FAPRU) to Seth DeBolt.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, E., Emerson, D., Croker, S., Kim, H. S., Modla, S., Kang, S., et al. (2012). "Atomic force microscopy: a tool for studying biophysical surface properties underpinning fungal interactions with plants and substrates," in *Plant Fungal Pathogens*, Vol. 835, eds M. D. Bolton and B. P. H. J. Thomma (New York, NY: Humana Press), 151–164.
- Agarwal, U., Reiner, R., and Ralph, S. (2010). Cellulose I crystallinity determination using FT-Raman spectroscopy: univariate and multivariate methods. *Cellulose* 17, 721–733. doi: 10.1007/s10570-010-9420-z
- Allwood, J. W., Clarke, A., Goodacre, R., and Mur, L. A. J. (2010). Dual metabolomics: a novel approach to understanding plant-pathogen interactions. *Phytochemistry* 71, 590–597. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.01.006
- Allwood, J. W., De, V., Ric, C. H., Moing, A., Deborde, C., Erban, A., et al. (2011). "Chapter sixteen—plant metabolomics and its potential for systems biology research: background concepts, technology, and methodology," in *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 500, eds M. V. Daniel Jameson and V. W. Hans (Waltham, MA: Academic Press), 299–336.
- Alonso-Simón, A., Kristensen, J. B., Øbro, J., Felby, C., Willats, W. G. T., and Jørgensen, H. (2009). High-throughput microarray profiling of cell wall polymers during hydrothermal pre-treatment of wheat straw. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 105, 509–514. doi: 10.1002/bit.22546
- An, Q., Hückelhoven, R., Kogel, K. H., Van, B., and Aart, J. E. (2006). Multivesicular bodies participate in a cell wall-associated defence response in barley leaves attacked by the pathogenic powdery mildew fungus. *Cell Microbiol.* 8, 1009–1019. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00683.x
- Anderson, C. T., Wallace, I. S., and Somerville, C. (2012). Metabolic click-labeling with a fucose analog reveals pectin delivery, architecture, and dynamics in *Arabidopsis* cell walls. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 1329–1334. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120429109
- Aziz, A., Heyraud, A., and Lambert, B. (2004). Oligogalacturonide signal transduction, induction of defense-related responses and protection of grapevine against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Planta* 218, 767–774. doi: 10.1007/s00425-003-1153-x
- Bartetzko, V., Sonnewald, S., Vogel, F., Hartner, K., Stadler, R., Hammes, U. Z., et al. (2009). The *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. vesicatoria type III effector protein XopJ inhibits protein secretion: evidence for interference with cell wall-associated defense responses. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 22, 655–664. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-22-6-0655
- Bauer, S., Vasu, P., Persson, S., Mort, A. J., and Somerville, C. R. (2006). Development and application of a suite of polysaccharide-degrading enzymes for analyzing plant cell walls. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 11417–11422. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0604632103
- Bellincampi, D., Cervone, F., and Lionetti, V. (2014). Plant cell wall dynamics and wall-related susceptibility in plant-pathogen interactions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:228. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00228
- Betzig, E., Patterson, G. H., Sougrat, R., Lindwasser, O. W., Olenych, S., Bonifacino, J. S., et al. (2006). Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. *Science* 313, 1642–1645. doi: 10.1126/science.1127344
- Bischoff, V., Cookson, S. J., Wu, S., and Scheible, W. R. (2009). Thaxtomin A affects CESA-complex density, expression of cell wall genes, cell wall composition, and causes ectopic lignification in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 955–965. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern344
- Blakeney, A. B., Harris, P. J., Henry, R. J., and Stone, B. A. (1983). A simple and rapid preparation of alditol acetates for monosaccharide analysis. *Carbohydr. Res.* 113, 291–299. doi: 10.1016/0008-6215(83)88244-5
- Brewin, N. J. (2004). Plant cell wall remodeling in the *Rhizobium*–legume symbiosis. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 23, 293–316. doi: 10.1080/07352680490480734
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppli, K., Ver Loren van, T. E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., et al. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature* 488, 91–95. doi: 10.1038/nature11336
- Burgert, I. (2006). Exploring the micromechanical design of plant cell walls. *Am. J. Bot.* 93, 1391–1401. doi: 10.3732/ajb.93.10.1391

- Chen, L. M., Wilson, R. H., and McCann, M. C. (1997a). Infra-red microspectroscopy of hydrated biological systems: design and construction of a new cell with atmospheric control for the study of plant cell walls. *J. Microsc.* 188, 62–71. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2818.1997.2470805.x
- Chen, L. M., Wilson, R. H., and McCann, M. C. (1997b). Investigation of macromolecule orientation in dry and hydrated walls of single onion epidermal cells by FT-IR microspectroscopy. *J. Mol. Struct.* 408, 257–260. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2860(96)09539-7
- Chisholm, S., Coaker, G., Day, B., and Staskawicz, B. J. (2006). Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell* 124, 803–814. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.008
- Chylla, R., Van Acker, R., Kim, H., Azapira, A., Mukerjee, P., Markley, J. L., et al. (2013). Plant cell wall profiling by fast maximum likelihood reconstruction (FMLR) and region-of-interest (ROI) segmentation of solution-state 2D 1H-13C NMR spectra. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6:45. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-6-45
- Clarke, C. J., and Haselden, J. N. (2008). Metabolic profiling as a tool for understanding mechanisms of toxicity. *Toxicol. Pathol.* 36, 140–147. doi: 10.1177/0192623307310947
- Collinge, D. B. (2009). Cell wall appositions: the first line of defense. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 351–352. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp001
- Dangl, J. L., and Jones, J. D. G. (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defense responses to infection. *Nature* 411, 826–833. doi: 10.1038/35081161
- DeMartini, J. D., Pattathil, S., Avci, U., Szekalski, K., Mazumder, K., Hahn, M. G., et al. (2011). Application of monoclonal antibodies to investigate plant cell wall deconstruction for biofuels production. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 4, 4332–4339. doi: 10.1038/nature11336
- Dick-Pérez, M., Zhang, Y., Hayes, J., Salazar, A., Zabolina, O. A., and Hong, M. (2011). Structure and interactions of plant cell-wall polysaccharides by two- and three-dimensional magic-angle-spinning solid-state NMR. *Biochemistry* 50, 989–1000. doi: 10.1021/bi101795q
- Donohoe, B. S., Vinzant, T. B., Elander, R. T., Pallapolu, V. R., Lee, Y. Y., Garlock, R. J., et al. (2011). Surface and ultrastructural characterization of raw and pretreated switchgrass. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 11097–11104. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.092
- Eggert, D., Naumann, M., Reimer, R., and Voigt, C. A. (2014). Nanoscale glucan polymer network causes pathogen resistance. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 1–6. doi: 10.1038/srep04159
- Endler, A., and Persson, S. (2011). Cellulose synthases and synthesis in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* 4, 199–211. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq079
- Fangel, J. H., Pedersen, S., Vidal-Melgosa, L., Ahl, A., Salmean, J., Egelund, M., et al. (2012). “Carbohydrate microarrays in plant science,” in *High-Throughput Phenotyping in Plants*, Vol. 918, ed J. Normanly (New York, NY: Humana Press), 351–362.
- Fernandes, A. N., Thomas, L. H., Altaner, C. M., Callow, P., Forsyth, V. T., Apperley, D. C., et al. (2011). Nanostructure of cellulose microfibrils in spruce wood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 1195–1203. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1108942108
- Frigault, M. M., Lacoste, J., Swift, J. L., and Brown, C. M. (2009). Live-cell microscopy—tips and tools. *J. Cell Sci.* 122, 753–767. doi: 10.1242/jcs.033837
- Gierlinger, N., Keplinger, T., and Harrington, M. (2012). Imaging of plant cell walls by confocal Raman microscopy. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 1694–1708. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.092
- Gierlinger, N., and Schwanninger, M. (2006). Chemical imaging of poplar wood cell walls by confocal Raman microscopy. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 1246–1254. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.066993
- Gorshkova, T. A., Kozlova, L. V., and Mikshina, P. V. (2013). Spatial structure of plant cell wall polysaccharides and its functional significance. *Biochemistry (Moscow)* 78, 836–853. doi: 10.1134/S0006297913070146
- Haas, B., Kamoun, S., Zody, M. C., Jiang, R. H. Y., Handsaker, R. E., Cano, L. M., et al. (2009). Genome sequence and analysis of the Irish potato famine pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. *Nature* 461, 393–398. doi: 10.1038/nature08358
- Harris, D. M., Corbin, K., Wang, T., Gutierrez, R., Bertolo, A. L., Petti, C., et al. (2012). Cellulose microfibril crystallinity is reduced by mutating C-terminal transmembrane region residues CESA1A903V and CESA3T942I of cellulose synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 4098–4103. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1200352109
- Hématy, K., Cherk, C., and Somerville, S. (2009). Host–pathogen warfare at the plant cell wall. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 12, 406–413. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.06.007
- Kačuráková, M., Capek, P., Sasinková, V., Wellner, N., and Ebringerová, A. (2000). FT-IR study of plant cell wall model compounds: pectic polysaccharides and hemicelluloses. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 43, 195–203. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00151-X
- Khakimov, B., Amigo, J. M., Bak, S., and Engelsen, S. B. (2012). Plant metabolomics: resolution and quantification of elusive peaks in liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry profiles of complex plant extracts using multi-way decomposition methods. *J. Chromatogr.* 1266, 84–94. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2012.10.023
- Kirby, A., Gunning, A. P., Waldron, K. W., Morris, V. J., and Ng, A. (1996). Visualization of plant cell walls by atomic force microscopy. *Biophys. J.* 70, 1138–1143. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(96)79708-4
- Knox, J. P. (2008). Revealing the structural and functional diversity of plant cell walls. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 308–313. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.001
- Kopka, J. (2006). “Gas chromatography mass spectrometry,” in *Plant Metabolomics*, eds K. Saito, R. Dixon, and L. Willmitzer (Berlin: Springer-Verlag Press), 3–20.
- Krishnan, P., Kruger, N. J., and Ratcliffe, R. G. (2005). Metabolite fingerprinting and profiling in plants using NMR. *J. Exp. Bot.* 56, 255–265. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri010
- Kristensen, J., Thygesen, L., Felby, C., Jørgensen, H., and Elder, T. (2008). Cell-wall structural changes in wheat straw pretreated for bioethanol production. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 1, 5. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-1-5
- Lacayo, C. I., Malkin, A. J., Holman, H. Y. N., Chen, L., Ding, S. Y., Hwang, M. S., et al. (2010). Imaging cell wall architecture in single *Zinnia elegans* tracheary elements. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 121–133. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.155242
- Lee, K. J. D., Marcus, S. E., and Knox, J. P. (2011). Cell wall biology: perspectives from cell wall imaging. *Mol. Plant* 4, 212–219. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq075
- Lichtman, J. W., and Conchello, J. A. (2005). Fluorescence microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 2, 910–919. doi: 10.1038/nmeth817
- Lionetti, V., Raiola, A., Camardella, L., Giovane, A., Obel, N., Pauly, M., et al. (2007). Overexpression of pectin methyltransferase inhibitors in Arabidopsis restricts fungal infection by *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 1871–1880. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.090803
- Lundberg, D. S., Lebeis, S. L., Paredes, S. H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., et al. (2012). Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. *Nature* 488, 86–90. doi: 10.1038/nature11237
- Lundberg, D. S., Yourstone, S., Mieczkowski, P., Jones, C. D., and Dangl, J. (2013). Practical innovations for high-throughput amplicon sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 10, 999–1002. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2634
- Ma, J., Ji, Z., Zhou, X., Zhang, Z. H., and Xu, F. (2013). Transmission electron microscopy, fluorescence microscopy, and confocal raman microscopic analysis of ultrastructural and compositional heterogeneity of *Cornus alba* L. Wood cell wall. *Microsc. Microanal.* 19, 243–253. doi: 10.1017/S1431927612013906
- Manabe, Y., Nafisi, M., Verhertbruggen, Y., Orfila, C., Gille, S., Rautengarten, C., et al. (2011). Loss-of-function mutation of REDUCED WALL ACETYLATION2 in Arabidopsis leads to reduced cell wall acetylation and increased resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 1068–1078. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.168989
- McCann, M. C., Bush, M., Milioni, D., Sado, P., Stacey, N., Catchpole, G., et al. (2001). Approaches to understanding the functional architecture of the plant cell wall. *Phytochemistry* 57, 811–821. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(01)00144-3
- Mellersh, D. G., and Heath, M. C. (2001). Plasma membrane–cell wall adhesion is required for expression of plant defense responses during fungal penetration. *Plant Cell* 13, 413–424. doi: 10.1105/tpc.13.2.413
- Moller, I. E., Pettolino, F. A., Hart, C., Lampugnani, E. R., Willats, W. G., and Bacic, A. (2012). Glycan profiling of plant cell wall polymers using microarrays. *J. Vis. Exp.* 17:e4238. doi: 10.3791/4238
- Moran-Mirabal, J. M. (2013). “Advanced-microscopy techniques for the characterization of cellulose structure and cellulose–cellulase interactions,” in *Cellulose—Fundamental Aspects*, eds V. T. van de and L. Godbout (Rijeka: InTech Press), 1–44.
- Mutwil, M., Debolt, S., and Persson, S. (2008). Cellulose synthesis: a complex complex. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 252–257. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.007
- Nguema-Ona, E., Moore, J., Fagerstrom, A., Fangel, J., Willats, W. G. T., Hugo, A., et al. (2013). Overexpression of the grapevine PGIP1 in tobacco results in compositional changes in the leaf arabinoxylucan network in the absence of fungal infection. *BMC Plant Biol.* 13:46. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-13-46
- Nguema-Ona, E., Moore, J. P., Fagerström, A., Fangel, J. U., Willats, W. G. T., Hugo, A., et al. (2012). Profiling the main cell wall polysaccharides of tobacco



- leaves using high-throughput and fractionation techniques. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 88, 939–949. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.01.044
- NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratories. (2000). *Technical Report: Determining the Cost of Producing Ethanol from Corn Starch and Lignocellulosic Feedstocks*. NREL/TP-580-28893. Golden, CO: NREL Press.
- Nwaneshiudu, A., Kuschal, C., Sakamoto, F. H., Anderson, R. R., Schwarzenberger, K., and Young, R. C. (2012). Introduction to confocal microscopy. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 132, e3. doi: 10.1038/jid.2012.429
- Obel, N., Erben, V., Schwarz, T., Kühnel, S., Fodor, A., and Pauly, M. (2009). Microanalysis of plant cell wall polysaccharides. *Mol. Plant* 2, 922–932. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssp046
- Paredez, A. R., Somerville, C., and Ehrhardt, D. W. (2006). Visualization of cellulose synthase demonstrates functional association with microtubules. *Science* 312, 1491–1495. doi: 10.1126/science.1126551
- Park, Y. B., Lee, C. M., Kafle, K., Park, S., Cosgrove, D. J., and Kim, S. H. (2014). Effects of plant cell wall matrix polysaccharides on bacterial cellulose structure studied with vibrational sum frequency generation spectroscopy and x-ray diffraction. *Biomacromolecules* 15, 2718–2724. doi: 10.1021/bm500567v
- Pattathil, S., Avci, U., Baldwin, D., Swennes, A. G., McGill, J. A., Popper, Z., et al. (2010). A comprehensive toolkit of plant cell wall glycan-directed monoclonal antibodies. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 514–552. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.151985
- Pattathil, S., Avci, U., Miller, J., and Hahn, M. G. (2012). “Immunological approaches to plant cell wall and biomass characterization: glycome profiling,” in *Biomass Conversion*, Vol. 908, ed M. E. Himmel (New York, NY: Humana Press), 61–72.
- Pedersen, H. L., Fangel, J. U., McCleary, B., Ruzanski, C., Rydahl, M. G., Ralet, M. C., et al. (2012). Versatile high resolution oligosaccharide microarrays for plant glycobiology and cell wall research. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 39429–39438. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.396598
- Pogorelko, G., Lionetti, V., Bellincampi, D., and Zabolina, O. (2013). Cell wall integrity: targeted post-synthetic modifications to reveal its role in plant growth and defense against pathogens. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8:e25435. doi: 10.4161/psb.25435
- Richter, S., Müssig, J., and Gierlinger, N. (2011). Functional plant cell wall design revealed by the Raman imaging approach. *Planta* 233, 763–772. doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1338-z
- Roessner, U., and Bowne, J. (2009). What is metabolomics all about? *Biotechniques* 46, 363–365. doi: 10.2144/000113133
- Sant’Anna, C., Costa, L. T., Abud, Y., Biancatto, L., Miguens, F. C., and de Souza, W. (2013). Sugarcane cell wall structure and lignin distribution investigated by confocal and electron microscopy. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 76, 829–834. doi: 10.1002/jemt.22235
- Sappl, P. G., and Heisler, M. G. (2013). Live-imaging of plant development: latest approaches. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 16, 33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.10.006
- Sarkar, P., Bosneaga, E., and Auer, M. (2009). Plant cell walls throughout evolution: towards a molecular understanding of their design principles. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 3615–3635. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp245
- Schwessinger, B., Ronald, P. C., Schwessinger, B., and Ronald, P. C. (2012). Plant innate immunity: perception of conserved microbial signatures. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 63, 451–482. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105518
- Shaw, S. L. (2006). Imaging the live plant cell. *Plant J.* 45, 573–598. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113X.2006.02653.x
- Somerville, C., Bauer, S., Brininstool, G., Facette, M., Hamann, T., Milne, J., et al. (2004). Toward a systems approach to understanding plant cell walls. *Science* 306, 2206–2211. doi: 10.1126/science.1102765
- Stephens, D. J., and Allan, V. J. (2003). Light microscopy techniques for live cell imaging. *Science* 300, 82–86. doi: 10.1126/science.1082160
- Sumner, L. W. (2006). “Current status and forward looking thoughts on LC/MS metabolomics,” in *Plant Metabolomics*, Vol. 57, eds K. Saito, R. Dixon, and L. Willmitzer (Berlin: Springer Press), 21–32.
- Sumner, L. W., Yang, D. S., Bench, B. J., Watson, B. S., Li, C., and Jones, A. D. (2011). “Spatially—resolved metabolomics—challenges for the future,” in *The Biology of Plant Metabolomics*, Chapter 11, ed R. D. Hall (London: Blackwell-Wiley Press), 343–366.
- Thomma, B. P. H. J., Cammue, B. P. A., and Thevissen, K. (2002). Plant defensins. *Planta* 216, 193–202. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0902-6
- Timischl, B., Dettmer, K., Kaspar, H., Thieme, M., and Oefner, P. J. (2008). Development of a quantitative, validated Capillary electrophoresis-time of flight-mass spectrometry method with integrated high-confidence analyte identification for metabolomics. *Electrophoresis* 29, 2203–2214. doi: 10.1002/elps.200700517
- Tong, C. B. S., and Gross, K. C. (1988). Glycosyl-linkage composition of tomato fruit cell wall hemicellulosic fractions during ripening. *Physiol. Plant.* 74, 365–370. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1988.tb00644.x
- Underwood, W. (2012). The plant cell wall: a dynamic barrier against pathogen invasion. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:85. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00085
- Updegraff, D. M. (1969). Semimicro determination of cellulose in biological materials. *Anal. Biochem.* 32, 420–424. doi: 10.1038/nature11336
- Vogel, J. P., Raab, T. K., Schiff, C., and Somerville, S. (2002). PMR6, a pectate lyase-like gene required for powdery mildew susceptibility in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 14, 2095–2106. doi: 10.1105/tpc.003509
- Vorwerk, S., Somerville, S., and Somerville, C. (2004). The role of plant cell wall polysaccharide composition in disease resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9, 203–209. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2004.02.005
- Wallace, I. S., and Anderson, C. T. (2012). Small molecule probes for plant cell wall polysaccharide imaging. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:89. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00089
- Wang, T., Park, Y. B., Caporini, M. A., Rosay, M., Zhong, L., Cosgrove, D. J., et al. (2013). Sensitivity-enhanced solid-state NMR detection of expansin’s target in plant cell walls. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 16444–16449. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316290110
- Wilson, R. H., Smith, A. C., Kačuráková, M., Saunders, P. K., Wellner, N., and Waldron, K. W. (2000). The mechanical properties and molecular dynamics of plant cell wall polysaccharides studied by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. *Plant Physiol.* 124, 397–406. doi: 10.1104/pp.124.1.397
- Wilt, B. A., Burns, L. D., Wei Ho, E. T., Ghosh, K. K., Mukamel, E. A., and Schnitzer, M. J. (2009). Advances in light microscopy for neuroscience. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 32, 435–506. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135540
- Xia, Y., Lei, L., Brabham, C., Stork, J., Strickland, J., Ladak, A., et al. (2013). Characterization of culturable bacterial endophytes of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) and their capacity to influence plant growth. *GCB Bioenergy* 5, 674–682. doi: 10.1111/j.1757-1707.2012.01208.x
- Xia, Y., Lei, L., Brabham, C., Stork, J., Strickland, J., Ladak, A., et al. (2014). Acetobixan, an inhibitor of cellulose synthesis identified by microbial bioprospecting. *PLoS ONE* 9:e95245. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095245
- Zhang, M., Chen, G., Kumar, R., and Xu, B. Q. (2013). Mapping out the structural changes of natural and pretreated plant cell wall surfaces by atomic force microscopy single molecular recognition imaging. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6, 147–152. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-6-147
- Zhang, M., Wu, S. C., Zhou, W., and Xu, B. Q. (2012). Imaging and measuring single-molecule interaction between a carbohydrate-binding module and natural plant cell wall cellulose. *J. Phys. Chem.* 116, 9949–9956. doi: 10.1021/jp304686q

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 30 April 2014; accepted: 23 September 2014; published online: 14 October 2014.

Citation: Xia Y, Petti C, Williams MA and DeBolt S (2014) Experimental approaches to study plant cell walls during plant-microbe interactions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:540. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00540

This article was submitted to *Plant-Microbe Interaction*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Plant Science*.

Copyright © 2014 Xia, Petti, Williams and DeBolt. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.