University of Kentucky

UKnowledge

[Kinesiology and Health Promotion Faculty](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub)

Kinesiology and Health Promotion

1-2019

Walking With a Robotic Exoskeleton Does Not Mimic Natural Gait: A Within-Subjects Study

Chad Swank Texas Woman's University

Sharon Wang-Price Texas Woman's University

Fan Gao University of Kentucky, Fan.Gao@uky.edu

Sattam Almutairi Texas Woman's University

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fkhp_facpub%2F17&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/143?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fkhp_facpub%2F17&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Kinesiology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/42?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fkhp_facpub%2F17&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Rehabilitation and Therapy Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/749?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fkhp_facpub%2F17&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.](https://uky.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0lgcRp2YIfAbzvw)

Repository Citation

Swank, Chad; Wang-Price, Sharon; Gao, Fan; and Almutairi, Sattam, "Walking With a Robotic Exoskeleton Does Not Mimic Natural Gait: A Within-Subjects Study" (2019). Kinesiology and Health Promotion Faculty Publications. 17.

[https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub/17](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub/17?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fkhp_facpub%2F17&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Kinesiology and Health Promotion at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kinesiology and Health Promotion Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Walking With a Robotic Exoskeleton Does Not Mimic Natural Gait: A Within-Subjects Study

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.2196/11023

Notes/Citation Information

Published in JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies, v. 6, issue 1, e11023, p. 1-10.

©Chad Swank, Sharon Wang-Price, Fan Gao, Sattam Almutairi. Originally published in JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technology (http://rehab.jmir.org), 14.01.2019.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technology, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://rehab.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

This article is available at UKnowledge: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/khp_facpub/17

Original Paper

Walking With a Robotic Exoskeleton Does Not Mimic Natural Gait: A Within-Subjects Study

Chad Swank^{1*}, PT, PhD; Sharon Wang-Price^{1*}, PT, PhD; Fan Gao^{2*}, PhD; Sattam Almutairi^{1*}, PT, DPT

¹Texas Woman's University, Dallas, TX, United States

²University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, United States

* all authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author: Chad Swank, PT, PhD Texas Woman's University 5500 Southwestern Medical Ave Dallas, TX, 75235 United States Phone: 1 2146897714 Email: cswank@twu.edu

Abstract

Background: Robotic exoskeleton devices enable individuals with lower extremity weakness to stand up and walk over ground with full weight-bearing and reciprocal gait. Limited information is available on how a robotic exoskeleton affects gait characteristics.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to examine whether wearing a robotic exoskeleton affects temporospatial parameters, kinematics, and muscle activity during gait.

Methods: The study was completed by 15 healthy adults (mean age 26.2 [SD 8.3] years; 6 males, 9 females). Each participant performed walking under 2 conditions: with and without wearing a robotic exoskeleton (EKSO). A 10-camera motion analysis system synchronized with 6 force plates and a surface electromyography (EMG) system captured temporospatial and kinematic gait parameters and lower extremity muscle activity. For each condition, data for 5 walking trials were collected and included for analysis.

Results: Differences were observed between the 2 conditions in temporospatial gait parameters of speed, stride length, and double-limb support time. When wearing EKSO, hip and ankle range of motion (ROM) were reduced and knee ROM increased during the stance phase. However, during the swing phase, knee and ankle ROM were reduced when wearing the exoskeleton bionic suit. When wearing EKSO, EMG activity decreased bilaterally in the stance phase for all muscle groups of the lower extremities and in the swing phase for the distal muscle groups (tibialis anterior and soleus) as well as the left medial hamstrings.

Conclusions: Wearing EKSO altered temporospatial gait parameters, lower extremity kinematics, and muscle activity during gait in healthy adults. EKSO appears to promote a type of gait that is disparate from normal gait in first-time users. More research is needed to determine the impact on gait training with EKSO in people with gait impairments.

(JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol 2019;6(1):e11023) doi: [10.2196/11023](http://dx.doi.org/10.2196/11023)

KEYWORDS

electromyography; gait; kinematics; lower extremity; muscle activation; range of motion; robotic exoskeleton

Introduction

Walking is a complicated process requiring optimal muscle activation and joint mobility to control dynamic balance and posture under different environments. Typified by characteristic muscle activity and kinematic patterns governed by predesigned central nervous system motor programs [\[1](#page-9-0)], walking consists of identifiable sequential patterns within a relative timing

[XSL](http://www.w3.org/Style/XSL)•FO **[RenderX](http://www.renderx.com/)**

mechanism [[2](#page-9-1)]. However, an injury to the neuromuscular system is likely to result in atypical walking patterns of both kinematics and muscle activity performance.

Recovery of walking continues to be the primary goal for persons with neurological deficits and a contributing factor to the quality of life [\[3](#page-9-2),[4\]](#page-9-3). Therefore, learning to walk is a major goal during rehabilitation [\[5](#page-9-4),[6](#page-10-0)]. Although the optimal therapeutic intervention to achieve full recovery of gait remains unknown

for many patients with neurological injuries, any rehabilitation effort intended to drive neuroplastic changes toward motor recovery should incorporate principles of neuroplasticity. Specifically, inclusion of factors (ie, loading the sole of the foot and attaining adequate hip extension movement) to facilitate appropriate electromyographic (EMG) patterns is thought to be crucial [\[7](#page-10-1)]. Locomotor training seeks to capitalize on these established principles [[8-](#page-10-2)[10](#page-10-3)].

Recently, robotic exoskeletons have been developed, and they offer a relatively new form of locomotor training. Robotic exoskeleton devices enable individuals with lower extremity weakness (ie, people with stroke or spinal cord injury) to stand up and walk over ground with a full weight-bearing and reciprocal gait. By adding actuators adjacent to the study participant's hip and knee joints, robotic exoskeletons provide an external source of controlled joint power. Several exoskeletons have been developed for gait restoration, with much variation in the actuator and sensing technologies. Although there are some commercially available devices, like the ReWalk or EKSO, the technology is not yet mature enough to produce unlimited community ambulation [[11-](#page-10-4)[13\]](#page-10-5). Although gait training with exoskeletons has been shown to be safe and well tolerated, with no significant complications [[14\]](#page-10-6) over distances of 40-100 m [[15\]](#page-10-7), it is unclear how closely the gait of a person wearing a robotic exoskeleton approximates normal gait. Recently, 2 case studies have highlighted the impact of wearing a robotic exoskeleton on gait characteristics. In the first case study, the lower extremity range of motion (ROM) was generally smaller, with greater hip and knee power generation, for the exoskeleton gait [\[16\]](#page-10-8). However, in the second case study, improved symmetry on temporospatial variables and increased gait speed were indicated after robotic exoskeleton gait training in a person with stroke [\[17](#page-10-9)].

A common goal of gait retraining is to promote locomotor features typical of normal gait. However, the current robotic exoskeleton devices may promote different nonphysiological walking characteristics. These differences in gait parameters may be accompanied by dissimilarities in kinematics and muscle activity typically observed in normal walking. It is crucial to identify the differences between exoskeleton walking and normal walking prior to using a robotic exoskeleton system for gait training. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine whether wearing a robotic exoskeleton suit affects kinematics and muscle activity of the lower extremities during walking. We compared healthy individuals' gait parameters under 2 conditions: normal walking and walking while wearing a robotic exoskeleton suit.

Methods

Participants

Healthy adults 18-70 years old without any neurological disorder were recruited from the local community. Exclusion criteria was based, in part, on the limitations of the robotic exoskeleton, EKSO (Ekso Bionics, Richmond, CA, USA) used in this study and included: (1) screening failure of EKSO frame limitations (weight ≤ 100 kg; 1.58-1.88 m tall; standing hip width ≤ 41.9 cm; near-normal ROM in hips, knees, and ankles; and leg length discrepancy ≤ 1.9 cm), (2) severe spinal instability, (3) unresolved deep vein thrombosis, (4) orthostatic hypotension, (5) skin integrity issues on contact surfaces of the device or sitting surfaces, (6) significant cognitive impairments (unable to follow 3-step commands), and (7) pregnancy.

Instrumentation

A 10-camera VICON Motion Analysis System (Vicon Motion Systems Inc, Centennial, CO, USA) was used to capture kinematic data. The sampling rate of the 10 cameras was set at 120 Hz, and the cameras were time-synchronized with 6 AMTI (Waterton, MA, USA) force plates, for which the sampling rate was set at 1200 Hz. The force plates were placed in the middle of the 9-m walkway. The threshold of the force plates was set at 10 N in order to determine gait events (ie, heel strikes and toe offs). A VICON Plug-In-Gait model with 15 reflective markers was used to obtain joint motions of lower extremities. Marker placements are shown in [Figure 1.](#page-3-0)

Figure 1. VICON Plug-In-Gait model lower extremity marker placements.

EMG data were obtained from the right and left gluteus medius, rectus femoris, medial hamstrings, tibialis anterior, and soleus muscles with 10 wireless surface electrode pairs (Delsys Trigno EMG system (Delsys Inc, Natick, MA, USA)). The bandwidth of the EMG system was set at 20-450 Hz with a gain of 1000. The Delsys Trigno EMG system contains a notch filter to eliminate nonphysiological signals. The EMG signal was recorded at a sampling rate of 960 Hz and was time-synchronized with the VICON Motion Analysis System. The 10 surface electrode pairs were affixed with self-adhesive tape on the specific location for each muscle following the Surface ElectroMyoGraphy for the Non-Invasive Assessment of Muscles [[18\]](#page-10-10) recommendation to minimize surface myoelectric signal cross-talk [[19\]](#page-10-11). Prior to electrode placement, the patient's skin in the areas of electrode placement was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol. If there were excessive hair, a new disposable razor was used to shave the hair to improve the quality of the EMG recording.

Procedures

After the participants signed a written consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board of Texas Woman's University, they completed an intake form for their demographic data (age, gender, and leg dominance); past medical history; past surgical history; and activity level. The investigator then took anthropometric measurements of each participant. These measurements, including height; weight; standing hip width; ROM in hips, knees, and ankles; and leg length, were used to ensure that the participants were able to fit the exoskeleton suit, EKSO. Other anthropometric measurements, including leg length, knee width, and ankle width, were taken as required for the VICON Plug-In-Gait model.

Preceding walking trials for each condition (with and without wearing EKSO), a static trial was captured to create a customized lower-body model for each participant based on the VICON Plug-In-Gait model. For walking trials, the participants were asked to wear a pair of shorts and a pair of tennis shoes, required for EKSO. Kinematic and EMG data were collected simultaneously. During each walking trial, each participant was asked to look straight ahead, if possible, and to walk at a self-selected speed on a 9-m level walkway. Participants stepped onto the force plates on their $4th$ or $5th$ steps after attaining a constant velocity. For each of the 2 conditions (with and without wearing the EKSO), data from 5 walking trials were collected from each participant. Prior to trials with EKSO, each participant was given instructions and allowed to practice walking with an EKSO-trained therapist for a minimum of 15 minutes and until the therapist was comfortable providing only close supervision to prevent loss of balance.

Signal Processing

First, the collected data were processed using the VICON Nexus software to label markers; interpolate, as necessary, for missing data points; determine the gait events; and, finally, generate C3D files. Each walking trial was divided into individual gait cycles that began and ended with the heel strike of the same foot, and then the data were normalized in time by the percent of the gait cycle. Each complete gait cycle was further divided into a stance phase (%) and a swing phase (%). Next, customized

MATLAB (Mathworks Inc, Natick, MA, USA) scripts were used to process the C3D files and to generate sagittal joint angles of the hip, knee, and ankle as well as temporospatial variables.

Similarly, custom MATLAB scripts were used to process and produce surface EMG (sEMG) amplitudes for each walking trial. Root mean square (RMS) values of EMG were used to quantify the amount of EMG activity for each walking trial. EMG RMS values were obtained using a window size of 120 samples with 60 samples overlapping. Then, sEMG RMS values were normalized across a complete gait cycle (100%) with 101 data points over the corresponding phase. Finally, sEMG RMS values were further normalized with respect to the peak over the gait cycle. The peak EMG value of the corresponding stance or swing phase of each walking trial was used for the normalization of EMG values. We elected to use the peak EMG normalization approach in order to allow for comparison with previous studies and replication with different neurologically impaired patient populations (ie, stroke and spinal cord injury) [[20\]](#page-10-12).

During walking, joint motion predominantly occurs in the sagittal plane. In particular, when using an exoskeleton, motions in other planes are further restrained (reduced). Thus, we are primarily interested in the motion of the sagittal plane. It should be noted, however, that muscles typically cross joints with actions not strictly limited to certain anatomical planes. Hence, muscles routinely cause motion in all 3 planes. For example, frontal plane stability is critical in the single-leg support phase of walking. Therefore, we elected to look at muscle groups characteristically involved in walking regardless of their primary plane of action.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were performed to describe participants' demographic data and gait parameters. Average values of all of the complete gait cycles and 5 walking trials were included in statistical analysis to minimize individual trial variations. Temporospatial parameters of gait were analyzed using paired *t* tests. With regard to kinematic data, because there were no significant differences between the left and right lower extremities, the averages of the right and left maximal sagittal ROM of the hip, knee, and ankle joints were used for statistical analysis. Therefore, 2 separate 2 (condition) \times 3 (ROM) repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to analyze kinematic variables: 1 for the stance and 1 for the swing phase. Due to significant left and right lower extremity differences in EMG, each limb was analyzed separately for stance and swing phases. Therefore, 4 separate 2 (condition) ×5 (muscle) repeated measures ANOVAs were used to analyze the EMG data: 2 for the stance and 2 for the swing phase, respectively. The alpha level was set at .05 for all statistical analyses.

An *a priori* power analysis using G*Power [\[21](#page-10-13)], with considerations of the use of *F* test, within factor design, and an anticipated large effect size (.4), indicated the necessary sample size was 15 participants to achieve a power of .80.

[XSL](http://www.w3.org/Style/XSL)•FO **[RenderX](http://www.renderx.com/)**

Results

The study was completed by 15 participants, 6 males and 9 females, with an average age of 26.2(SD 8.3) years (range, 19-50 years), average height of 171.8 (SD 7.9) cm (range, 161-184.5 cm), and average weight of 65.8 (SD 11.4) kg (range, 54.5-93.5 kg). Right leg dominance was reported by 12 patients. Participants demonstrated significant differences (*P*<.001) between conditions (with and without EKSO) on all temporospatial gait parameters ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Overall, participants wearing EKSO walked slower, with shorter steps and greater double-limb support time.

[Table 2](#page-5-1) lists the maximal sagittal ROM at the hip, knee, and ankle joints for the stance and the swing phases. ANOVA showed differences between with and without EKSO conditions. In the stance phase, there were significantly less hip and ankle motions but greater knee motions on both lower extremities for the EKSO condition. ANOVA results also revealed significant differences between the 2 conditions in the knee and ankle motions in the swing phase but not in the hip motion. Specifically, walking with EKSO produced equivalent hip motions but less knee and ankle motions bilaterally in the swing phases. [Figure 2](#page-6-0) demonstrates lower extremity joint motion across the gait cycle.

[Table 3](#page-6-1) lists sEMG RMS values (%) of the 10 muscles for the stance and the swing phases. In the stance phase, ANOVA results showed significant differences between with and without EKSO conditions for all lower extremity muscle groups bilaterally. In the swing phase, ANOVA results showed significant differences between with and without EKSO conditions only for the distal muscle groups (bilateral soleus and tibialis anterior) and left medial hamstrings. [Figures 3](#page-7-0) and [4](#page-8-0) show lower extremity muscle activity across the gait cycle.

Table 1. Temporospatial gait parameters.

^aRobotic exoskeleton

Table 2. Sagittal range of motion of lower extremity during gait with and without wearing a robotic exoskeleton (EKSO).

a Significant at *P*<.05.

亩 $\frac{1}{85}$ $\overline{30}$ $\frac{1}{100}$

 $\frac{1}{70}$ $\overline{80}$ $\overline{90}$ $\frac{1}{100}$

70 80 $\overline{30}$ $\frac{1}{100}$

Figure 2. Mean (SE) lower extremity joint motion across the gait cycle. y-axis: range of motion..

Table 3. Amplitude of lower extremity electromyographic muscle activity during gait with and without wearing a robotic exoskeleton.

^asignificant at <.05

 XSE **[RenderX](http://www.renderx.com/)**

Figure 3. Mean (SE) right lower extremity electromyographic muscle activity across the gait cycle. med hams: medial hamstrings; y-axis: volt.

Figure 4. Mean (SE) left lower extremity electromyographic muscle activity across the gait cycle. med hams: medial hamstrings; y-axis: volt.

Discussion

Principal Findings

The results showed that walking with EKSO was dissimilar to typical walking with regard to lower extremity muscle activity and joint motions as well as temporospatial gait parameters. Overall, the participants in this study walked with EKSO at approximately one-fourth their average walking speed and with nearly half the stride length. These changes likely contributed to an increase in double-limb support time. Although the participants were instructed to walk at a self-selected pace during each condition, the participants were unable to match their typical walking performance when walking with EKSO. A possible explanation for these observed differences is the lack of training of our participants for walking with EKSO. Even though the participants were instructed on how to initiate a step and given 15 minutes of practice time, this short training may not have been sufficient to allow the participants to reach optimal exoskeleton gait performance. Moreover, a second possible explanation for the differences observed in temporospatial parameters is the technological limitations of the current robotic exoskeletons. Specifically, mechanical design and actuators of contemporary exoskeletons are known to limit

[XSL](http://www.w3.org/Style/XSL)•FO **[RenderX](http://www.renderx.com/)**

gait performance and capacity [[22\]](#page-10-14). For instance, actuators are located at the hip and knee joints but not at the ankle joints. Contemporary ankle joints are typically either a fixed solid plate or tension spring motion plates.

With changes in temporospatial parameters, it was expected that muscle activity would be impacted as well. It has been shown that walking at slower speeds resulted in decreased muscle activity of the lower extremities during both the stance and swing phases of gait regardless of age [\[23](#page-10-15)]. Similarly, we observed an average reduction of nearly 15% in muscle activity during the stance phase in both lower extremities [\(Table 3\)](#page-6-1). This reduction may have been caused by the reduction in speed or by the structural support provided by the EKSO device. However, we did not see a similar reduction in muscle activity in the swing phase. On the contrary, an increase of 32% in muscle activity of the distal lower extremity was observed in our study. We speculate that the increase in muscle activity in our study was a result of EKSO's mechanical constraints [[22\]](#page-10-14). In particular, the EKSO footplate limits ankle motion, and this may have required participants to compensate for the reduced ankle mobility.

Beyond limiting ankle ROM, we observed several changes in lower extremity kinematics when walking with EKSO as compared to when walking without EKSO. During the stance phase, we observed less hip and ankle motions but greater knee motion when wearing EKSO. In the swing phase, we observed less knee and ankle motions, but no difference in hip motion when wearing EKSO. Overall, it appears that gait with EKSO produced a pattern where shorter steps due to limited ankle motion contributed to a shortened trailing limb. While a typical swing phase ankle arc of motion moves from maximal plantar flexion in the initial swing to a near ankle neutral position in the terminal swing, EKSO-induced shortened steps minimized the potential for ankle plantar flexion in order to accommodate a relatively fixed footplate. Moreover, the limited ankle motion also likely required greater knee flexion during the stance phase. The EKSO footplate and corresponding upright support do not allow for optimal ankle joint motion. Rather, the mechanical constraints of EKSO ankle joint appear to influence lower extremity kinematics as well as corresponding muscle activity.

Our participants were without injury and, when not wearing EKSO, demonstrated walking parameters consistent with typical gait. For individuals with neurological dysfunction, return to walking is the primary focus of rehabilitation. As previously reported, gait training after neurological injury should include proper loading of the sole of the foot and attaining adequate hip extension to facilitate appropriate muscle activation [[7\]](#page-10-1). The findings of this study question whether mechanical constraints in the current versions of robotic exoskeletons preclude the possibility of promoting kinematics suitable to induce satisfactory muscle activity. Our participants were novice EKSO users who were tested during their first session of wearing EKSO. It is possible that a longer training time with EKSO might have promoted more typical EMG patterns despite the mechanical constraints. Additionally, people with biomechanical limitations from various neurological and orthopedic injuries are able to walk albeit with an altered gait cycle and atypical muscle activity [\[24](#page-10-16)-[26\]](#page-10-17). Although EKSO does not appear to promote normal gait, it may stimulate an altered functional gait.

Although an altered gait is potentially less efficient [\[27](#page-10-18)], this functional gait may meet the mobility objectives of a person recovering from a neurological injury.

Limitations

There are several limitations in this study. First, our sample of 15 participants was primarily young, active individuals, and this may have limited generalizability of our conclusions. Second, the preferred EMG normalization method is to use a single maximum muscle test for each muscle group tested. We utilized a peak EMG normalization approach as this may be particularly appropriate when patient populations most likely to use a robotic exoskeleton (ie, spinal cord injury) are being studied because maximal muscle testing may be prohibitive [[20\]](#page-10-12). Third, the intended user of EKSO is an individual with locomotion disabilities. Although outside the scope of this study, examination of gait parameters of individuals with locomotion disabilities is recommended for future studies. Further, EKSO requires the use of an assistive device (cane, walker, or forearm crutches) while walking. In this study with healthy individuals, we elected not to use an assistive device but provided close supervision to prevent a loss of balance. The use of an assistive device, as recommended by robotic exoskeleton companies, may have further altered gait kinematics and muscle activity. Lastly, walking speed was not controlled in this study. Future studies should consider exploring gait parameters and related asymmetries under normal walking and EKSO walking conditions while controlling speed.

Conclusion

EKSO appears to promote a type of gait that is disparate from normal gait in first-time users. Specifically, the mechanical constraints of EKSO appeared to alter joint motion and influence muscle activity throughout the gait cycle. These changes resulted in a walking pattern characterized by slower speeds, smaller steps, and less single-limb stance time. Given this foundation, more research will be necessary to determine the impact of wearing a robotic exoskeleton on rehabilitation in people with gait impairment.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Marcella Rojas for her contributions in assisting with data collection.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Queralt A, Valls-Solé J, Castellote JM. Speeding up gait initiation and gait-pattern with a startling stimulus. Gait Posture 2010 Feb;31(2):185-190. [doi: [10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.10.003\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.10.003) [Medline: [19913429](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=19913429&dopt=Abstract)]
- 2. Maggioni MA, Veicsteinas A, Rampichini S, Cè E, Nemni R, Riboldazzi G, et al. Energy cost of spontaneous walking in Parkinson's disease patients. Neurol Sci 2012 Aug;33(4):779-784. [doi: [10.1007/s10072-011-0827-6\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10072-011-0827-6) [Medline: [22042531](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=22042531&dopt=Abstract)]
- 3. Bogey R, Hornby GT. Gait training strategies utilized in poststroke rehabilitation: are we really making a difference? Top Stroke Rehabil 2007;14(6):1-8. [doi: [10.1310/tsr1406-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1310/tsr1406-1)] [Medline: [18171655\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=18171655&dopt=Abstract)
- 4. Buzzelli S, Di FL, Villani S, Giaquinto S. Gait in stabilized hemiplegic outpatients in rehabilitation. Disabil Rehabil 2003 Sep 02;25(17):964-967. [doi: [10.1080/0963828031000106157](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0963828031000106157)] [Medline: [12851084](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=12851084&dopt=Abstract)]
- 5. Fleerkotte B, Koopman B, Buurke J, van Asseldonk EHF, van der Kooij H, Rietman J. The effect of impedance-controlled robotic gait training on walking ability and quality in individuals with chronic incomplete spinal cord injury: an explorative study. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2014 Mar 04;11:26 [[FREE Full text\]](https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-11-26) [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-11-26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-26)] [Medline: [24594284](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=24594284&dopt=Abstract)]

[XSL](http://www.w3.org/Style/XSL)•FO **[RenderX](http://www.renderx.com/)**

- 6. Ditunno P, Patrick M, Stineman M, Ditunno J. Who wants to walk? Preferences for recovery after SCI: a longitudinal and cross-sectional study. Spinal Cord 2008 Jul;46(7):500-506. [doi: [10.1038/sj.sc.3102172](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3102172)] [Medline: [18209742](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=18209742&dopt=Abstract)]
- 7. Dietz V, Fouad K. Restoration of sensorimotor functions after spinal cord injury. Brain 2014 Mar;137(Pt 3):654-667. [doi: [10.1093/brain/awt262](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt262)] [Medline: [24103913](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=24103913&dopt=Abstract)]
- 8. Mehrholz J, Kugler J, Pohl M. Locomotor training for walking after spinal cord injury. The Cochrane Library 2012.
- 9. Mehrholz J, Elsner B, Werner C, Kugler J, Pohl M. Electromechanical-assisted training for walking after stroke. The Cochrane Library 2013.
- 10. Mehrholz J, Pohl M, Elsner B. Treadmill training and body weight support for walking after stroke. The Cochrane Library 2014.
- 11. del-Ama A, Gil-Agudo A, Pons J, Moreno J. Hybrid FES-robot cooperative control of ambulatory gait rehabilitation exoskeleton. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2014 Mar 04;11:27 [[FREE Full text\]](https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-11-27) [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-11-27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-27)] [Medline: [24594302](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=24594302&dopt=Abstract)]
- 12. Fatone S. A Review of the Literature Pertaining to KAFOs and HKAFOs for Ambulation. Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics 2006;18(7):137-168. [doi: [10.1097/00008526-200606001-00003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00008526-200606001-00003)]
- 13. Herr H. Exoskeletons and orthoses: classification, design challenges and future directions. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2009 Jun 18;6(21):21 [[FREE Full text\]](https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-6-21) [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-6-21\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-6-21) [Medline: [19538735](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=19538735&dopt=Abstract)]
- 14. Zeilig G, Weingarden H, Zwecker M, Dudkiewicz I, Bloch A, Esquenazi A. Safety and tolerance of the ReWalk™ exoskeleton suit for ambulation by people with complete spinal cord injury: a pilot study. J Spinal Cord Med 2012 Mar;35(2):96-101 [[FREE Full text](http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/22333043)] [doi: [10.1179/2045772312Y.0000000003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/2045772312Y.0000000003)] [Medline: [22333043\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=22333043&dopt=Abstract)
- 15. Esquenazi A, Talaty M, Packel A, Saulino M. The ReWalk powered exoskeleton to restore ambulatory function to individuals with thoracic-level motor-complete spinal cord injury. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2012 Nov;91(11):911-921. [doi: [10.1097/PHM.0b013e318269d9a3\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e318269d9a3) [Medline: [23085703\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=23085703&dopt=Abstract)
- 16. Barbareschi G, Richards R, Thornton M, Carlson T, Holloway C. Statically vs dynamically balanced gait: Analysis of a robotic exoskeleton compared with a human. In: Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2015 Presented at: Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE; 2015; Milano p. 6728-6731. [doi: [10.1109/EMBC.2015.7319937\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2015.7319937)
- 17. Androwis G, Nolan K. Evaluation of a Robotic Exoskeleton for Gait Training in Acute Stroke: A Case Study. Wearable Robotics: Challenges and Trendspringer; 2017:9-13.
- 18. Seniam. URL[:http://www.seniam.org/](http://www.seniam.org/) [accessed 2018-08-03] [[WebCite Cache ID 71NbcHdyd](http://www.webcitation.org/
 71NbcHdyd)]
- 19. De Luca CJ, Merletti R. Surface myoelectric signal cross-talk among muscles of the leg. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1988 Jun;69(6):568-575. [Medline: [2453334](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=2453334&dopt=Abstract)]
- 20. Sawicki G, Domingo A, Ferris DP. The effects of powered ankle-foot orthoses on joint kinematics and muscle activation during walking in individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2006 Feb 28;3:3 [\[FREE Full text\]](https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-3-3) [doi: [10.1186/1743-0003-3-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-3-3)] [Medline: [16504172\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=16504172&dopt=Abstract)
- 21. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior Research Methods 2007 May;39(2):175-191. [doi: [10.3758/BF03193146\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146)
- 22. Chen B, Ma H, Qin L, Gao F, Chan K, Law S, et al. Recent developments and challenges of lower extremity exoskeletons. J Orthop Translat 2016 Apr;5:26-37 [[FREE Full text](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214-031X(15)00071-6)] [doi: [10.1016/j.jot.2015.09.007\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2015.09.007) [Medline: [30035072\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=30035072&dopt=Abstract)
- 23. Chung M, Wang MJ. The change of gait parameters during walking at different percentage of preferred walking speed for healthy adults aged 20-60 years. Gait Posture 2010 Jan;31(1):131-135. [doi: [10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.09.013\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.09.013) [Medline: [19939681](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=19939681&dopt=Abstract)]
- 24. Hurd WJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Knee instability after acute ACL rupture affects movement patterns during the mid-stance phase of gait. J Orthop Res 2007 Oct;25(10):1369-1377 [[FREE Full text](https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.20440)] [doi: [10.1002/jor.20440\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jor.20440) [Medline: [17557321](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=17557321&dopt=Abstract)]
- 25. Perron M, Malouin F, Moffet H, McFadyen BJ. Three-dimensional gait analysis in women with a total hip arthroplasty. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2000 Aug;15(7):504-515. [Medline: [10831810\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=10831810&dopt=Abstract)
- 26. Delahunt E, Monaghan K, Caulfield B. Altered neuromuscular control and ankle joint kinematics during walking in subjects with functional instability of the ankle joint. Am J Sports Med 2006 Dec;34(12):1970-1976. [doi: [10.1177/0363546506290989\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546506290989) [Medline: [16926342\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=16926342&dopt=Abstract)
- 27. Wert DM, Brach J, Perera S, VanSwearingen JM. Gait biomechanics, spatial and temporal characteristics, and the energy cost of walking in older adults with impaired mobility. Phys Ther 2010 Jul;90(7):977-985 [\[FREE Full text\]](http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/20488977) [doi: [10.2522/ptj.20090316\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20090316) [Medline: [20488977\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=20488977&dopt=Abstract)

Abbreviations

EMG: electromyography **RMS:** root mean square **ROM:** range of motion **sEMG:** surface electromyography

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 10.05.18; peer-reviewed by R Bogey, Y Peng, R Haghighi Osgouei; comments to author 21.06.18; revised version received 06.08.18; accepted 25.08.18; published 14.01.19

Please cite as: Swank C, Wang-Price S, Gao F, Almutairi S Walking With a Robotic Exoskeleton Does Not Mimic Natural Gait: A Within-Subjects Study JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol 2019;6(1):e11023 URL: <http://rehab.jmir.org/2019/1/e11023/> doi: [10.2196/11023](http://dx.doi.org/10.2196/11023) PMID: [31344681](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=31344681&dopt=Abstract)

©Chad Swank, Sharon Wang-Price, Fan Gao, Sattam Almutairi. Originally published in JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technology (http://rehab.jmir.org), 14.01.2019. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technology, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://rehab.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

