## University of Kentucky

## **UKnowledge**

[Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mmbc_facpub)

Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical

3-26-2018

## Structure-Based Discovery of mPGES-1 Inhibitors Suitable for Preclinical Testing in Wild-Type Mice as a New Generation of Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

Kai Ding University of Kentucky, kai.ding@uky.edu

Ziyuan Zhou University of Kentucky, ziyuan.zhou@uky.edu

Shurong Hou University of Kentucky, shuronghou@uky.edu

Yaxia Yuan University of Kentucky, yaxia.yuan@uky.edu

Shuo Zhou Ebiliversitiy sof rike at this igrative or kontugular to when dispensional control of a cpub

Part of the [Chemicals and Drugs Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/902?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmmbc_facpub%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/731?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmmbc_facpub%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

See *hext page for additional authors* [Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.](https://uky.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0lgcRp2YIfAbzvw)

## Repository Citation

Ding, Kai; Zhou, Ziyuan; Hou, Shurong; Yuan, Yaxia; Zhou, Shuo; Zheng, Xirong; Chen, Jianzhong; Loftin, Charles D.; Zheng, Fang; and Zhan, Chang-Guo, "Structure-Based Discovery of mPGES-1 Inhibitors Suitable for Preclinical Testing in Wild-Type Mice as a New Generation of Anti-Inflammatory Drugs" (2018). Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical Center Faculty Publications. 14. [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mmbc\\_facpub/14](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mmbc_facpub/14?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmmbc_facpub%2F14&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical Center Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu)

## Structure-Based Discovery of mPGES-1 Inhibitors Suitable for Preclinical Testing in Wild-Type Mice as a New Generation of Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23482-4

## Notes/Citation Information

Published in Scientific Reports, v. 8, article no. 5205, p. 1-9.

## © The Author(s) 2018

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

## Authors

Kai Ding, Ziyuan Zhou, Shurong Hou, Yaxia Yuan, Shuo Zhou, Xirong Zheng, Jianzhong Chen, Charles D. Loftin, Fang Zheng, and Chang-Guo Zhan

# SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 4 January 2018 Accepted: 9 March 2018 Published online: 26 March 2018

# **OPEN** Structure-based discovery of **mPGES-1 inhibitors suitable for preclinical testing in wild-type mice as a new generation of antiinfammatory drugs**

**Kai Ding1,2,3, Ziyuan Zhou1,2, Shurong Hou2, Yaxia Yuan1,2,4, Shuo Zhou1,2, Xirong Zheng2,**  Jianzhong Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Charles Loftin<sup>2</sup>, Fang Zheng<sup>1,2</sup> & Chang-Guo Zhan<sup>1,2,4</sup>

**Human mPGES-1 is recognized as a promising target for next generation of anti-infammatory drugs without the side efects of currently available anti-infammatory drugs, and various inhibitors have been reported in the literature. However, none of the reported potent inhibitors of human mPGES-1 has shown to be also a potent inhibitor of mouse or rat mPGES-1, which prevents using the well-established mouse/rat models of infammation-related diseases for preclinical studies. Hence, despite of extensive eforts to design and discover various human mPGES-1 inhibitors, the promise of mPGES-1 as a target for the next generation of anti-infammatory drugs has never been demonstrated in any wild-type mouse/rat model using an mPGES-1 inhibitor. Here we report discovery of a novel type of selective mPGES-1 inhibitors potent for both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes through structure-based rational design. Based on** *in vivo* **studies using wild-type mice, the lead compound is indeed non-toxic, orally bioavailable, and more potent in decreasing the PGE2 (an infammatory marker) levels compared to the currently available drug celecoxib. This is the frst demonstration in wild-type mice that mPGES-1 is truly a promising target for the next generation of anti-infammatory drugs.**

As the principal pro-inflammatory prostanoid, prostaglandin E2 (PGE<sub>2</sub>) serves as a mediator of pain and fever in inflammatory reactions in a number of inflammation-related diseases<sup>[1](#page-9-0)</sup>, such as chronic pains, cardiovascular dis-eases, neurodegenerative diseases, and cancers<sup>2-[4](#page-9-2)</sup>. The biosynthesis<sup>5</sup> of PGE<sub>2</sub> starts from arachidonic acid (AA). Cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 or COX-2 converts AA to prostaglandin H2 ( $PGH_2$ )<sup>5</sup>, and prostaglandin E synthase (PGES) transforms  $PGH_2$  to  $PGE_2^6$  $PGE_2^6$ . The first generation of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as aspirin used to treat pain and reduce fever or infammation, inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2 without selectivity, and the second generation of NSAIDs, including celecoxib (Celebrex), rofecoxib (Vioxx) and valdecoxib (Bextra), selectively inhibit COX-2. The COX-2 specific inhibitors still have a number of serious side effects, such as increasing the risk of fatal heart attack or stroke and causing stomach or intestinal bleeding. The serious side effects led to withdrawal of rofecoxib and valdecoxib, although celecoxib still remains in clinical use. The serious side effects are due to the fact that the synthesis of all physiologically needed prostaglandins downstream of  $\mathrm{PGH}_2$ are inhibited by the action of the COX-1/2 inhibitors. For example, blocking the production of prostaglandin-I<sub>2</sub>  $(PGI<sub>2</sub>)$  will cause significant cardiovascular problems<sup>7</sup>.

Microsomal PGES-1 (mPGES-1), an inducible enzyme, is a more promising, ideal target for anti-infammatory drugs, because the mPGES-1 inhibition will only block the PGE2 production without afecting the production of

1Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical Center, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 789 South Limestone Street, Lexington, KY, 40536, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 789 South Limestone Street, Lexington, KY, 40536, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, 505 Rose Street, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA. 4Center for Pharmaceutical Research and Innovation, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 789 South Limestone Street, Lexington, KY, 40536, USA. Kai Ding and Ziyuan Zhou contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.-G.Z. (email: [zhan@uky.edu](mailto:zhan@uky.edu))

 $PGI<sub>2</sub>$  and other prostaglandins, as confirmed by reported knock-out studies $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$ . Specifically, the mPGES-1 expression in most tissues including heart and brain is low, but abundant in a limited number of organs including kidney<sup>10[,11](#page-9-9)</sup> and reproductive organs<sup>12</sup>. Protein mPGES-1 in human is related to various diseases associated with infammation. For example, up-regulation of mPGES-1 was detected in heart tissue afer myocardial infarction and in Alzheimer's disease tissues<sup>[13](#page-9-11)[,14](#page-9-12)</sup>. Unlike the COX-1/2 inhibition, inhibition of terminal mPGES-1 will only block the production of PGE2 without afecting the normal production of other prostaglandins including PGI<sub>2</sub>. Reported knock-out studies identified mPGES-1 as an essential central switch in pyresis<sup>8</sup>. The mPGES-1 knock-out studies also revealed a decrease in inflammatory response in a collagen-induced arthritis model<sup>[9](#page-9-7)</sup>. In contrast to COX-2, mPGES-1-deficient mice were reported to be viable, fertile and have normal phenotype<sup>[9](#page-9-7)</sup>. Ischemic stroke induced in mPGES-1 null mice was reported to show signifcant reduction in the infarct size and volume[15,](#page-9-13)[16.](#page-9-14) Tus, mPGES-1 inhibitors are expected to retain the anti-infammatory efect of COX-1/2 inhibitors, but without the side efects caused by the COX-1/2 inhibition. For development of a next generation of anti-inflammatory drugs, various mPGES-1 inhibitors have been reported in the literature<sup>[17](#page-9-15)-38</sup>.

Unfortunately, none of the reported potent inhibitors of human mPGES-1 has shown to be also a potent inhibitor of mouse or rat mPGES-1, which prevents using the well-established mouse/rat models of infammation-related diseases for preclinical studies. Here we report discovery of a novel type of mPGES-1 inhibitors potent for both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes through structure-based rational design. These inhibitors are also highly selective for mPGES-1 over COX-1/2 and orally bioavailable, enabling preclinical testing using the well-established wild-type mouse models of infammation-related diseases through oral administration.

## **Results**

**Design and Synthesis of Dual Inhibitors of Human and Mouse mPGES-1 Proteins.** Our rational design of novel mPGES-1 inhibitors started from molecular modeling of various human mPGES-1 inhibitors, including MF63<sup>30</sup>, L1<sup>[39](#page-10-2)</sup> and its scaffold structure (L2) depicted in Fig. [1A](#page-4-0), for their binding with human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes, and aimed to design a modifed, novel compound which can favorably bind with both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes in the active site. To design a compound which can favorably bind with both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes, our strategy was to identify a scafold structure which can bind in the conserved region of the active site, ensuring that the scafold structure can bind with both of the enzymes in a similar binding mode. For this purpose, molecular docking was performed to understand the binding of known mPGES-1 inhibitors with both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes based on an X-ray crystal structure (PDB ID: 4BPM[\)40](#page-10-3) of human mPGES-1 and a homology model of mouse mPGES-1 developed by using the human mPGES-1 structure as a template.

According to molecular docking, almost all of the known potent human mPGES-1 inhibitors (such as MF63 depicted in Fig. [1A and B\)](#page-4-0) examined in our study have favorable interactions with unique residues (such as R52 which is K52 in mouse mPGES-1 and H53 which is R53 in mouse mPGES-1) of human mPGES-1, explaining why the known potent human mPGES-1 inhibitors cannot potently inhibit mouse mPGES-1. Nevertheless, as seen in Fig. [1C and D](#page-4-0), L1 (identified from virtual screening)<sup>39</sup>, which has a unique scaffold structure (L2), binds in a conserved region of the active site in the human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes, although L1 has a low binding affinity with human mPGES-1 ( $IC_{50} = 3.5 \mu M$ )<sup>39</sup>. The conserved region is nearby S127 and has a mainly hydrophobic pocket surrounded by Y28, I32, G35, L39, S127, Y130, T131, L135, and A138 for human mPGES-1. In comparison, mouse mPGES-1 difers from human mPGES-1 only in residues #32 (which is V32), #131 (which is V131), and #138 (which is F138). In Fig. [1B to E](#page-4-0), we mainly highlight the residues of human mPGES-1 that are diferent in mouse mPGES-1, in addition to the most important residues (such as S127) for binding.

On the basis of the scafold structure L2, we designed a modifed scafold structure (L3, see Fig. [1A](#page-4-0)) which can favorably bind in the conserved region of the active site. Depicted in Fig. [1B to E](#page-4-0) are the binding structures of human mPGES-1 with MF63, L1, L2, and L3, respectively. As seen in Fig. [1D and E,](#page-4-0) compared to L2, L3 is a more favorable scafold structure, as a carbonyl oxygen on the barbituric acid head group of L3 forms a hydrogen bond (HB) with the hydroxyl group of S127 side chain. The same HB is expected to exist in mouse mPGES-1 binding with L3. By using this novel scaffold (L3), a series of 10 potentially promising new compounds were designed, synthesized, characterized (see Supplementary Material for the structural characterization), and assayed for their *in vitro* inhibitory activities against human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes. Depicted in Fig. [2](#page-5-0) are the synthetic schemes, and summarized in Table [1](#page-5-1) are the *in vitro* activity data.

*In Vitro* **Activities and Selectivity.** Our protocols for the protein preparation and *in vitro* activity assays were the same as described in previous reports<sup>39,[41](#page-10-4)-43</sup>. MK-886, a well-recognized human mPGES-1 inhibitor, was used as a reference compound for which we obtained  $IC_{50}=2.6\pm0.6 \,\mu M$ , which is close to the previously reported IC<sub>50</sub> values (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.6  $\mu$ M<sup>33</sup>, 2.4 ± 0.3  $\mu$ M<sup>[19](#page-9-16)</sup>) without significant inhibition of mouse mPGES-1. In addition, we obtained  $IC_{50} = 1.5$ nM for MF63 against human mPGES-1 and MF63 at 10  $\mu$ M had no significant inhibition against mouse mPGES-1, which is consistent with the previously reported data showing that MF63 potently inhibited human mPGES-1 ( $IC_{50} = 1.3$  nM) without significant activity against the mouse or rat enzyme<sup>[30](#page-10-1)</sup>. As seen in Table [1,](#page-5-1) the 10 compounds synthesized are all potent inhibitors of human mPGES-1 ( $IC_{50}$  = 33 to 620 nM). The most potent one is **4b** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 33 nM). Based on the similarity of human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes in this conserved region, these human mPGES-1 inhibitors were expected to similarly, but not equally, inhibit mouse mPGES-1. Indeed, these compounds can also signifcantly inhibit mouse mPGES-1, but with relatively lower potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 157 nM to ~19  $\mu$ M). So, **4b** is the most potent inhibitor for both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes. Figure [3A and B](#page-6-0) depict the modeled structures of **4b** binding with human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes, and Fig. [3C and D](#page-6-0) show the dose-response curves of **4b** against the enzymes. For the structure-activity relationship (SAR), we frst investigated the impact of substitution on the central benzene ring on the inhibitory potency. It was observed that without a substituent, as for **4a**  $(X = H)$ , the inhibitory potency of the compound





<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Molecular structures of ligands (MF63 and L1 to 3,) and their binding with human mPGES-1. (**A**) Ligand structures; (**B**) binding with MF63; (**C**) binding with L1; (**D**) binding with L2; (**E**) binding with L3.. .

against both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes was greatly impaired. Secondly, both electro-withdrawing and electro-donating groups were tolerated, although the former resulted in greater inhibition. The central benzene rings of **4i** was originated from salicylaldehydes with chlorine substituted on 5-position. **4i** was active against human mPGES-1 with submicromolar potency (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $87 \pm 27$  nM), but not effective against the mouse enzyme  $(IC_{50} > 10 \mu M)$ . The length of the alkoxy side chain was also inspected in compounds  $4\overline{b}$  and  $6$ . With one methylene group "cut of " from the side chain, **6** was less potent against both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes as compared to **4b**.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Synthetic protocol of benzylidenebarbituric acid derivatives 4a to 4i and 6. Reagent and conditions: (a)  $p$ -Toluenesulfonyl chloride (1.25 equiv.), 50% KOH aq., DCM, 0 °C~rt; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv.), DMF, 80 °C; (**c**) Barbituric acid, EtOH/H2O (4:1, *v/v*), refux.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Table 1. *In vitro* inhibitory potencies of synthesized benzylidenebarbituric acid derivatives. <sup>a</sup>Data are expressed as the Mean  $\pm$  SD of measurements in triplicate. <sup>b</sup>The % inhibition of the compound at a concentration of 100 µM against the COX-1/2 (with equal amounts of COX-1 and COX-2 in terms of the enzyme activities).

Next we wanted to know whether **4b** and the other compounds listed in Table [1](#page-5-1) have signifcant inhibitory activities against either COX-1 or COX-2. For this purpose, these compounds were assayed for their potential inhibitory activities against mixed COX-1 and COX-2 (denoted as COX-1/2) with equal amounts of COX-1 and COX-2 in terms of the enzyme activities. As seen in Table [1](#page-5-1), only three compounds (**4c**, **4e**, and **4i**) at a concentration of 100µM inhibited COX-1/2 greater than 50%. Tree other compounds (**4f**, **4h**, and **6**) at a concentration of 100 µM did signifcantly inhibit COX-1/2, but the inhibition was less than 50%. Notably, the most potent compound  $4b$  and three other compounds  $(4a, 4d, and 4g)$  at a concentration of  $100 \mu M$  did not significantly inhibit COX-1/2. Tese four compounds are highly selective for the mPGES-1 enzymes over COX-1/2. So, **4b** was identifed as our lead compound.

*In Vivo* **Anti-infammatory Activity.** To examine the anti-infammatory potential of **4b**, we determined the *in vivo* efectiveness of **4b** in the most popularly used mouse air-pouch model of infammation in comparison with celecoxib. The air-pouch model of inflammation<sup>[30,](#page-10-1)[44](#page-10-7)</sup> is widely used for determining the *in vivo* effectiveness of inhibitors of prostaglandin synthesis. Air pouches were produced by duplicate injections of 3 mL of sterile air under the skin on the back of mice. Afer the formation of the air-pouch, a single injection of the infammatory agent carrageenan into the pouch resulted in the recruitment of infammatory cells and the production of a fluid exudate containing significant levels of PGE<sub>2</sub> (an inflammatory marker) produced primarily by activities of COX-2 and mPGES-1. Then, the mice were treated SC (subcutaneous) or PO (oral gavage) with a single dose of 4b, celecoxib, or vehicle for 24 hours prior to collection of air-pouch fluid and the kidney samples. The air-pouch fuid and kidney samples were analyzed for PGE2 by the same ELISA method used in the *in vitro* enzyme activity assay mentioned above. As mPGES-1 is more abundant in kidney, we examined the efects of **4b** and celecoxib



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Compound **4b** binding with the enzymes and its *in vitro* and *in vivo* activities. (**A**) Binding with human mPGES-1; (**B**) binding with mouse mPGES-1; (**C**) dose-dependent inhibition of human mPGES-1  $(n=3)$ ; **(D)** dose-dependent inhibition of mouse mPGES-1  $(n=3)$ ; **(E)** and **(F)** data from *in vivo* assays using the mouse air-pouch model ( $n=5$  for each group) with **4b** or celecoxib given SC or PO. Normalized levels of PGE2 in kidney collected following the formation of air-pouches on the backs of mice and injection of the pro-infammatory agent carrageenan to stimulate PGE2 synthesis. Mice were treated SC or PO with vehicle, **4b**, or celecoxib at various dose conditions for 24hours prior to collection of the kidney samples (analyzed for PGE2 by ELISA). Statistical results from the one-way ANOVA analysis of the data in panel E with post hoc tests: *p*=0.0035 for 0.1mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* Vehicle; *p*<0.0001 for 1mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* Vehicle, 10mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* Vehicle, and 10mg/kg Celecoxib (SC) *vs* Vehicle; *p*=0.0012 for 1mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* 0.1mg/kg **4b** (SC); *p*=0.0002 for 10mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* 0.1mg/kg **4b** (SC); *p*=0.0003 for 10mg/kg Celecoxib (SC) *vs* 0.1mg/kg **4b** (SC); *p*=0.3176 for 10mg/kg **4b** (SC) *vs* 1mg/kg **4b** (SC); and *p*=0.6424 for 10mg/kg Celecoxib (SC) *vs* 10mg/kg

**4b** (SC). Statistical results from the one-way ANOVA analysis of the data in panel F with post hoc tests: *p*=0.0281 for 5mg/kg **4b** (PO) *vs* Vehicle; *p*=0.0011 for 10mg/kg **4b** (PO) *vs* Vehicle; *p*=0.0008 for 50mg/kg Celecoxib (PO) *vs* Vehicle; *p*=0.0481 for 10mg/kg **4b** (PO) *vs* 5mg/kg **4b** (PO); *p*=0.0221 for 50mg/kg Celecoxib (PO) *vs* 5mg/kg **4b** (PO); and *p*=0.4986 for 50mg/kg Celecoxib (PO) *vs* 10mg/kg **4b** (PO).

on the PGE<sub>2</sub> level in kidney. Depicted in Fig. [3E and F](#page-6-0) are the measured PGE<sub>2</sub> levels in kidney; the corresponding data in air-pouch fuid (not shown) are similar.

As shown in Fig. [3E](#page-6-0) and **4b** administered SC at each dose (0.1, 1, or 10 mg/kg) condition significantly decreased the PGE<sub>2</sub> levels in mice ( $p = 0.0035$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ , and  $p < 0.0001$ , respectively). Even the low dose (0.1 mg/kg) of 4**b** administered SC significantly decreased the PGE<sub>2</sub> levels in mice (Fig. [3E](#page-6-0)). Compared to the dose of 0.1 mg/kg, 1 mg/kg 4b was significantly more potent ( $p=0.0012$ ). However, further increase of the dose from 1 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg did not significantly improve the *in vivo* potency ( $p = 0.3176$ ), which may be interpreted as the possibility that 1 mg/kg 4b (SC) has nearly reached the ceiling for decreasing the PGE<sub>2</sub> level through the complete mPGES-1 inhibition. In addition, there was also no signifcant diference in the *in vivo* potency between 1mg/kg **4b** and 10mg/kg celecoxib and between 10mg/kg **4b** and 10mg/kg celecoxib.

Further, according to Fig. [3F](#page-6-0) and 4b administered PO at each dose (5 or 10 mg/kg) condition also signifcantly decreased the PGE<sub>2</sub> levels in mice ( $p=0.0281$  and  $p=0.0011$ , respectively) in a dose-dependent manner  $(p=0.0481)$ , showing the feasibility of oral administration for practical therapeutic applications. There was no signifcant diference in the *in vivo* potency between the 10mg/kg **4b** and 50mg/kg celecoxib (*p*=0.4986).

Finally, we also tested acute toxicity/safety of **4b** in comparison with celecoxib. In fact, 50 mg/kg celecoxib administered PO were very toxic for stomach and other issues of mice, and bleeding ulcer was observed at gastric mucosa. In comparison, a high dose (up to 1 g/kg) of **4b** administered PO did not cause any toxic sign in mice during our observation for 14 days. Depicted in Fig. [4](#page-8-0) are representative images of the stomach tissues collected from mice at 24hr afer the PO administration of vehicle or **4b** or celecoxib.

## **Discussion**

As well known, infammation is related to many types of diseases, and mPGES-1 was recognized as the most promising target for developing the highly desirable next generation of anti-inflammatory drugs without the adverse side effects of currently used COX inhibitors. The promise of mPGES-1 as the target was based on understanding of the physiological process and biosynthesis of the pro-inflammatory compound  $\mathrm{PGE}_2$ , and was supported strongly by the mPGES-1 gene knock-out studies $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$  $^{8,9}$ . It is well known that mouse/ rat models of infammation-related diseases have been well-established, enabling to test a potentially promising anti-infammatory drug candidate in the established mouse/rat models of infammation-related diseases. Unfortunately, despite of extensive eforts to design and discover various human mPGES-1 inhibitors and the fact that numerous potent inhibitors of human mPGES-1 have already been reported in the literature, the promise of mPGES-1 as a target for the next generation of anti-infammatory drugs has never been demonstrated in any wild-type mouse/rat model using an inhibitor of mPGES-1 because none of the previously discovered human mPGES-1 inhibitors can potently inhibit mouse/rat mPGES-1. Without a dual inhibitor (against both human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes) available, one has to explore alternative animal models by using either other animal species that are less popular for use as animal models of infammation-related diseases or mPGES-1 gene knock-out/knock-in mice expressing human mPGES-1 instead of mouse mPGES-1. For example, Merck developed the frst strain of mPGES-1 gene knock-out/knock-in mice expressing human mPGES-1 instead of mouse mPGES-1[30.](#page-10-1) But interpretation of the animal data with the knock-in mice is complicated due to the diference between the original mouse gene and knock-in gene in the localization and amount. So, there is still no clinically useful mPGES-1 inhibitor developed so far. Reported here is the frst demonstration that a potent human mPGES-1 inhibitor has potent *in vivo* activity in wild-type mice-based air-pouch model of infammation. So, we are able to demonstrate in wild-type mice that mPGES-1 is truly a promising target for the next generation of anti-infammatory drugs.

Compared to the currently used COX-2 inhibitor (celecoxib) in clinic, **4b** is not only more potent in decreasing the PGE2 levels, but also much safer. In addition, **4b** is orally bioavailable, which is crucially important for development of an oral drug as it is usually very difficult to achieve the highly desirable oral bioavailability in drug development. For all of these reasons, **4b** may be developed for the truly promising next-generation anti-infammatory drug for oral administration without the side efects of the currently available anti-infammatory drugs.

In general, a traditional drug discovery and development efort is usually focused on identifcation of ligands of a human protein target without accounting for the species diference in target protein during the early drug design and discovery stage before fnding out that the ligands identifed *in vitro* are actually inactive in the *in vivo* animal models. Our study demonstrates a more efective strategy of drug design and discovery to rationally design a dual inhibitor of human and animal target proteins. The general strategy of our structure-based rational design of a dual inhibitor of the human and mouse mPGES-1 enzymes may also be used for other drug targets with signifcant species diferences in the binding pocket.

## **Materials and Methods**

**General.** All the fnal products described here were verifed to have a purity of 95% or higher as determined by HPLC. The typical synthetic methods of 4**b** are shown below. All other information including the computational studies, synthesis and characterization data of intermediates and fnal products, *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments, and NMR spectra are described in supporting information. GraphPad Prism 7 sofware (GraphPad Sofware, La Jolla, CA) was used to perform the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc tests, allowing us to



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 4. Representative images of stomach tissues collected from mice at 24 hr after PO administration of (**A**) vehicle (oil) or (**B**) **4b** (1 g/kg in oil) or (**C**) celecoxib (50mg/kg in oil). For all mice in the vehicle and **4b** groups, we did not fnd any bleeding spot on the inner side of stomach samples. Meanwhile, for each mouse in the celecoxib group, we were able to clearly see at least one bleeding spot; the bleeding points are labeled in red circles.

examine the signifcance of the diference in the *in vivo* activity data between each pair of dose conditions. *p*<0.05 was considered statistically signifcant.

**Materials.** Reference compounds MK-886 and MF63 were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). All starting chemicals for our synthesis were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH) and used without further purification. Compounds were purified by SiO<sub>2</sub> flash chromatography (Flash silica gel 32–63 u, Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., Norcross, GA). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 400MHz spectrometer (Palo Alto, CA) at ambient temperature using 99.8% CDCl<sub>3</sub> and 99.9% DMSO-d6 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Tewksbury, MA). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were referenced to internal solvent resonances and reported in parts per million (ppm), with coupling constants *J* given in Hz. HR-ESI-MS spectra were recorded on AB SCIEX Triple TOF 5600 system (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA). Purity was determined by HPLC (Waters 1525 Binary HPLC pump, Waters 2487 Dual λ Absorbance Detector, and Waters 717plus Autosampler, Milford, MA) at  $\lambda$  of 370 nm using acetonitrile and 0.1% TFA (70:30) as mobile phase. All of the fnal products described here were verifed to have a purity of 95% or higher. Wesson vegetable oil (Memphis, TN) was used as vehicle in the *in vivo* experiments.

Wild-type CD-1 mice (28–35 g) were ordered from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN), and housed for a week prior to the experimental studies. All animals were allowed ad libitum access to food and water and maintained on a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle, with the lights on at 8:00 am at a room temperature of 21–22 °C. Experiments were performed in a same colony room in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted and promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. The animal protocol was approved by the IACUC (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee) at the University of Kentucky.

**Preparation of 1.** Aqueous KOH solution (50%, 20mL) was added to the solution of 4-cyclohexyl-1-butanol (1.05 g, 6.72 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in dichloromethane (40 mL). The mixture was brought to 0~5 °C using ice-bath, and *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (1.54g, 8.06 mmol, 1.20 equiv.) was added portionwise over a period of 30 min. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5h and partitioned between CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The organic layer was isolated and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL  $\times$  3). The combined organic phase was washed sequentially with water (30 mL) saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure<sup>[45](#page-10-8)</sup>. The residue was dried under high vacuum using oil pump overnight to aford the tosylate **1** as white wax in high purity (Yield: 1.98g, 95%).

**Preparation of 3b.** The suspension of 3-chloro-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.32 g, 2.04 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), 4-cyclohexyl-1-butanol tosylate (**1**) (0.63 g, 2.04mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and potassium carbonate (0.56 g, 4.09mmol, 2.00 equiv.) in DMF (10 mL) was heated at 80 °C for 12 h (or overnight). The reaction mixture was then diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (30 mL  $\times$  3). The combined organic phase was washed sequentially with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (30 mL), water (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, evaporated under reduced pressure and dried under vacuum at room temperature<sup>[46](#page-10-9)</sup>. The crude product was used in subsequent step without further purifcation. However, the analytical sample can be obtained as light yellow oil by fash chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of hexanes and EtOAc (4:1) as eluent (Yield: 0.53 g, 88%).

**Preparation of 4b.** The suspension of **3b** (0.30 g, 1.02 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and barbituric acid (0.13 g, 1.02mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in absolute ethanol and distilled water (4:1, *v/v*) was heated at refux for 5h and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with hot water and ethanol, and dried under vacuum to afford the product as yellow powders in high purity  $(0.37g, 90%)$ . The analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from a mixture of ethanol and  $DMF^{47,48}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  11.34 (s, 1H), 11.23 (s, 1H), 8.66 (d, *J*=2.1Hz, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.15 (dd, *J*=9.1, 2.2Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J*=8.9Hz, 1H), 4.18 (t, *J*=6.4Hz, 2H), 1.82–1.49 (m, 7H), 1.49–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.02 (m, 6H), 0.97–0.73 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (101MHz, DMSO) δ 163.58, 162.12, 157.54, 153.26, 150.13, 136.54, 135.20, 125.66, 120.96, 116.92, 112.94, 69.09, 36.94, 36.41, 32.80, 28.57, 26.20, 25.83, 22.59. HRMS (ESI+)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (MH)<sup>+</sup>: 405.1576, found: 405.1574. HPLC purity: 98.0%;  $t_R = 14.436$ .

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Serhan, C. N. & Levy, B. Success of prostaglandin E-2 in structure-function is a challenge for structure-based therapeutics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**, 8609–8611, [https://doi.org/10.1073/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1733589100) [pnas.1733589100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1733589100) (2003).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Radmark, O. & Samuelsson, B. Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 and 5-lipoxygenase: potential drug targets in cancer. *J. Intern. Med.* **268**, 5–14 (2010).
- 3. Hanaka, H. *et al*. Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase 1 determines tumor growth *in vivo* of prostate and lung cancer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 18757–18762 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>4. Koeberle, A. & Werz, O. Perspective of microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1 as drug target in infammation-related disorders. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **98**, 1–15 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>5. Kudo, I. & Murakami, M. Prostaglandin E synthase, a terminal enzyme for prostaglandin E-2 biosynthesis. *Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **38**, 633–638 (2005).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>6. Fahmi, H. MPGES-1 as a novel target for arthritis. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology* **16**, 623–627 (2004).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>7. Cheng, Y. *et al*. Cyclooxygenases, microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1, and cardiovascular function. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **116**, 1391–1399, [https://doi.org/10.1172/jci27540](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/jci27540) (2006).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>8. Engblom, D. *et al*. Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 is the central switch during immune-induced pyresis. *Nature Neuroscience* **6**, 1137–1138, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1137](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1137) (2003).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>9. Trebino, C. E. *et al*. Impaired infammatory and pain responses in mice lacking an inducible prostaglandin E synthase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**, 9044–9049, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1332766100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1332766100) (2003).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>10. Sandler, H., Kreth, J., Timmers, H. T. & Stoecklin, G. Not1 mediates recruitment of the deadenylase Caf1 to mRNAs targeted for degradation by tristetraprolin. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, 4373–4386, [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr011) (2011).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>11. Marchese, F. P. *et al*. MAPKAP kinase 2 blocks tristetraprolin-directed mRNA decay by inhibiting CAF1 deadenylase recruitment. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 27590–27600, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.136473](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.136473) (2010).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>12. Bollig, F. *et al*. Afnity purifcation of ARE-binding proteins identifes polyA-binding protein 1 as a potential substrate in MK2 induced mRNA stabilization. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **301**, 665–670 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>13. Murakami, M. *et al*. Cellular prostaglandin E2 production by membrane-bound prostaglandin E synthase-2 via both cyclooxygenases-1 and -2. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 37937–37947, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M305108200](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M305108200) (2003).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>14. Chaudhry, U. A., Zhuang, H., Crain, B. J. & Dore, S. Elevated microsomal prostaglandin-E synthase-1 in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* **4**, 6–13, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2007.10.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2007.10.015) (2008).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>15. Ikeda-Matsuo, Y. *et al*. Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 is a critical factor of stroke-reperfusion injury. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 11790–11795, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604400103](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604400103) (2006).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>16. Friesen, R. W. & Mancini, J. A. Microsomal prostaglandin E-2 synthase-1 (mPGES-1): A novel anti-infammatory therapeutic target. *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **51**, 4059–4067, [https://doi.org/10.1021/jm800197b](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm800197b) (2008).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>17. Schifer, M. A. *et al*. Discovery and Characterization of 2-Acylaminoimidazole Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-1 Inhibitors. *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **59**, 194–205, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01249](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01249) (2016).
- 18. Hieke, M. *et al*. Discovery and biological evaluation of a novel class of dual microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1/5-lipoxygenase inhibitors based on 2-[(4,6-diphenethoxypyrimidin-2-yl)thio]hexanoic acid. *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **54**, 4490–4507, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm200092b) [doi.org/10.1021/jm200092b](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm200092b) (2011).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>19. Hanke, T. *et al*. Aminothiazole-featured pirinixic acid derivatives as dual 5-lipoxygenase and microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1 inhibitors with improved potency and efciency *in vivo*. *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **56**, 9031–9044, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm401557w) [org/10.1021/jm401557w](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm401557w) (2013).
- 20. Terracciano, S. *et al*. Structural Insights for the Optimization of Dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one Based mPGES-1 Inhibitors. *ACS medicinal chemistry letters* **6**, 187–191, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ml500433j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ml500433j) (2015).
- 21. Shiro, T., Kakiguchi, K., Takahashi, H., Nagata, H. & Tobe, M. 7-Phenyl-imidazoquinolin-4(5H)-one derivatives as selective and orally available mPGES-1 inhibitors. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry* **21**, 2868–2878, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.03.069](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.03.069) (2013).
- 22. Shiro, T., Kakiguchi, K., Takahashi, H., Nagata, H. & Tobe, M. Synthesis and biological evaluation of substituted imidazoquinoline derivatives as mPGES-1 inhibitors. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry* **21**, 2068–2078, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.01.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.01.018) (2013).
- 23. Shiro, T. *et al*. Synthesis and SAR study of imidazoquinolines as a novel structural class of microsomal prostaglandin E(2) synthase-1 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **22**, 285–288, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.11.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.11.015) (2012).
- 24. Liedtke, A. J. *et al*. Arylpyrrolizines as inhibitors of microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1 (mPGES-1) or as dual inhibitors of mPGES-1 and 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX). *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **52**, 4968–4972, [https://doi.org/10.1021/jm900481c](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm900481c) (2009).
- 25. Shang, E. *et al*. Benzo[d]isothiazole 1,1-dioxide derivatives as dual functional inhibitors of 5-lipoxygenase and microsomal prostaglandin E(2) synthase-1. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **24**, 2764–2767, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.04.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.04.006) (2014).
- 26. Wu, T. Y. *et al*. Biarylimidazoles as inhibitors of microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **20**, 6978–6982, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.09.129](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.09.129) (2010).
- 27. Wiegard, A., Hanekamp, W., Griessbach, K., Fabian, J. & Lehr, M. Pyrrole alkanoic acid derivatives as nuisance inhibitors of microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase-1. *European journal of medicinal chemistry* **48**, 153–163, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.12.009) [ejmech.2011.12.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.12.009) (2012).
- 28. Chini, M. G. *et al*. Design and synthesis of asecond series of triazole-based compounds as potent dual mPGES-1 and 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors. *European journal of medicinal chemistry* **54**, 311–323, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.05.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.05.014) (2012).
- 29. Giroux, A. *et al*. Discovery of disubstituted phenanthrene imidazoles as potent, selective and orally active mPGES-1 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **19**, 5837–5841, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.08.085](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.08.085) (2009).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>30. Xu, D. *et al*. MF63 [2-(6-chloro-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazol-2-yl)-isophthalonitrile], a selective microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 inhibitor, relieves pyresis and pain in preclinical models of infammation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ter.* **326**, 754–763, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.108.138776) [doi.org/10.1124/jpet.108.138776](http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.108.138776) (2008).
- 31. Lee, K. *et al*. Fragment-based discovery of novel and selective mPGES-1 inhibitors Part 1: identifcation of sulfonamido-1,2,3 triazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **23**, 75–80, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.11.019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.11.019) (2013).
- 32. Cote, B. *et al*. Substituted phenanthrene imidazoles as potent, selective, and orally active mPGES-1 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **17**, 6816–6820, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.10.033](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.10.033) (2007).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>33. Riendeau, D. *et al*. Inhibitors of the inducible microsomal prostaglandin E2 synthase (mPGES-1) derived from MK-886. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **15**, 3352–3355, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.05.027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.05.027) (2005).
- 34. Bruno, A. *et al*. Efects of AF3442 [N-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)-2-(trifuoromethyl)benzamide], a novel inhibitor of human microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1, on prostanoid biosynthesis in human monocytes *in vitro*. *Biochem Pharmacol* **79**, 974–981, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2009.11.011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2009.11.011) (2010).
- 35. Koeberle, A. *et al*. Discovery of benzo[g]indol-3-carboxylates as potent inhibitors of microsomal prostaglandin E(2) synthase-1. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry* **17**, 7924–7932, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.025) (2009).
- 36. Walker, D. P. *et al*. Synthesis and biological evaluation of substituted benzoxazoles as inhibitors of mPGES-1: use of a conformationbased hypothesis to facilitate compound design. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **23**, 1120–1126, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.11.107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.11.107)  $(2013)$
- 37. Wang, J. *et al*. Selective inducible microsomal prostaglandin E(2) synthase-1 (mPGES-1) inhibitors derived from an oxicam template. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **20**, 1604–1609, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.01.060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.01.060) (2010).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>38. Jin, Y. *et al*. Pharmacodynamic comparison of LY3023703, a novel microsomal prostaglandin e synthase 1 inhibitor, with celecoxib. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics* **99**, 274–284, [https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.260](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpt.260) (2016).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>39. Hamza, A., Zhao, X., Tong, M., Tai, H.-H. & Zhan, C.-G. Novel human mPGES-1 inhibitors identifed through structure-based virtual screening. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **19**, 6077–6086 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>40. Li, D. *et al*. Crystallizing Membrane Proteins in the Lipidic Mesophase. Experience with Human Prostaglandin E2 Synthase 1 and an Evolving Strategy. *Crystal growth & design* **14**, 2034–2047, [https://doi.org/10.1021/cg500157x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cg500157x) (2014).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>41. Hamza, A. *et al*. Understanding microscopic binding of human microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 (mPGES-1) trimer with substrate PGH2 and cofactor GSH: Insights from computational alanine scanning and site-directed mutagenesis. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **114**, 5605–5616 (2010).
- 42. Zhou, Z. *et al*. Selective inhibitors of human mPGES-1 from structure-based computational screening. *Biorg. Med. Chem. Letters* **27**, 3739–3743 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>43. Ding, K. *et al*. Design, synthesis, and discovery of 5-((1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methylene)pyrimidine-2,4,6(1H,3H,5H) triones and related derivatives as novel inhibitors of mPGES-1. *Bioorg*. *Med*. *Chem*. *Letters* **28**, 858–862 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>44. Edwards, J. C., Sedgwick, A. D. & Willoughby, D. A. The formation of a structure with the features of synovial lining by subcutaneous injection of air: an *in vivo* tissue culture system. *J. Pathology* **134**, 147–156 (1981).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>45. Faragher, R. J. & Schwan, A. L. New deuterated oligo(ethylene glycol) building blocks and their use in the preparation of surface active lipids possessing labeled hydrophilic tethers. *Te Journal of organic chemistry* **73**, 1371–1378, [https://doi.org/10.1021/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo701979z) [jo701979z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo701979z) (2008).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>46. Saari, W. S., Schwering, J. E., Lyle, P. A., Smith, S. J. & Engelhardt, E. L. Cyclization-activated prodrugs. Basic esters of 5-bromo-2′ deoxyuridine. *Journal of medicinal chemistry* **33**, 2590–2595 (1990).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>47. Baron, R. *et al*. Hydrogen-bonded CdS nanoparticle assemblies on electrodes for photoelectrochemical applications. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **44**, 4010–4015, [https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200463055](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200463055) (2005).
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>48. Hidalgo-Figueroa, S. *et al*. Discovery of thiazolidine-2,4-dione/biphenylcarbonitrile hybrid as dual PPAR alpha/gamma modulator with antidiabetic effect: *in vitro*, in silico and *in vivo* approaches. *Chemical biology & drug design* **81**, 474–483, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12102) [org/10.1111/cbdd.12102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12102) (2013).

## **Acknowledgements**

Tis work was supported in part by the funding of the Molecular Modeling and Biopharmaceutical Center at the University of Kentucky College of Pharmacy, the National Science Foundation (NSF grant CHE-1111761), and the National Institutes of Health *via* the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (UL1TR001998) grant. The authors also acknowledge the Computer Center at the University of Kentucky for supercomputing time on a Dell Supercomputer Cluster consisting of 388 nodes or 4,816 processors.

## **Author Contributions**

K.D. synthesized the compounds. Z.Z. performed the *in vitro* assays and analysis of tissue samples. S.H. contributed to the *in vitro* assays. K.D., Y.Y. and S.Z. performed the molecular modeling for structure-based design. C.L., K.D., X.Z. and J.C. carried out the *in vivo* studies. C.-G.Z. and F.Z. designed the overall project. K.D., Z.Z., S.Z., C.L. and C.-G.Z. contributed to the writing, with C.-G.Z. fnalizing the manuscript.

## **Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23482-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23482-4)

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\bigcirc$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2018$