University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

[Mining Engineering Faculty Publications](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub) **[Mining Engineering](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng) Community** Mining Engineering

8-13-2021

Data Management System for a Semiautonomous Shuttle Car for Underground Room and Pillar Coal Mines

Vasilis Androulakis University of Kentucky, vandroulakis@uky.edu

Steven Schafrik University of Kentucky, steven.schafrik@uky.edu

Joseph Sottile University of Kentucky, joseph.sottile@uky.edu

Zacharias Agioutantis University of Kentucky, zach.agioutantis@uky.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmng_facpub%2F13&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Computer Engineering Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/258?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmng_facpub%2F13&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Mining Engineering Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1090?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmng_facpub%2F13&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.](https://uky.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0lgcRp2YIfAbzvw)

Repository Citation

Androulakis, Vasilis; Schafrik, Steven; Sottile, Joseph; and Agioutantis, Zacharias, "Data Management System for a Semiautonomous Shuttle Car for Underground Room and Pillar Coal Mines" (2021). Mining Engineering Faculty Publications. 13.

[https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub/13](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub/13?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Fmng_facpub%2F13&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mining Engineering at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mining Engineering Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu)

Data Management System for a Semiautonomous Shuttle Car for Underground Room and Pillar Coal Mines

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.3390/automation2030010

Notes/Citation Information

Published in Automation, v. 2, issue 3.

© 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license ([https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

This article is available at UKnowledge: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/mng_facpub/13

Vasilis Androulakis [*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6407-658X) \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6529-137X) Steven Schafrik \bullet , Joseph Sottile and Zach Agioutantis \bullet

Mining and Minerals Resources Bldg, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA; steven.schafrik@uky.edu (S.S.); joseph.sottile@uky.edu (J.S.); zach.agioutantis@uky.edu (Z.A.) ***** Correspondence: vandroulakis@uky.edu

Abstract: In recent years, autonomous solutions in the multidisciplinary field of mining engineering have been an extremely popular applied research topic. This is a result of the increasing demands of society on mineral resources along with the accelerating exploitation of the currently economically viable resources, which lead the mining sector to turn to deeper, more-difficult-to-mine orebodies. An appropriate data management system comprises a crucial aspect of the designing and the engineering of a system that involves autonomous or semiautonomous vehicles. The vast volume of data collected from onboard sensors, as well as from a potential IoT network dispersed around a smart mine, necessitates the development of a reliable data management strategy. Ideally, this strategy will allow for fast and asynchronous access to the data for real-time processing and decision-making purposes as well as for visualization through a corresponding human–machine interface. The proposed system has been developed for autonomous navigation of a coalmine shuttle car and has been implemented on a 1/6th scale shuttle car in a mock mine. It comprises three separate nodes, namely, a data collection node, a data management node, and a data processing and visualization node. This approach was dictated by the large amount of collected data and the need to ensure uninterrupted and fast data management and flow. The implementation of an SQL database server allows for asynchronous, real-time, and reliable data management, including data storage and retrieval. On the other hand, this approach introduces latencies between the data management node and the other two nodes. In general, these latencies include sensor latencies, network latencies, and processing latencies. However, the data processing and visualization module is able to retrieve and process the latest data and make a decision about the next optimal movement of the shuttle car prototype in less than 900 ms. This allows the prototype to navigate efficiently around the pillars without interruptions.

Keywords: mining industry; autonomous navigation; shuttle car; room and pillar mining; data management

1. Introduction

In recent years, autonomous solutions in the multidisciplinary field of mining engineering have been an extremely popular applied research topic. The increasing demands of society on mineral resources, along with the accelerating exploitation of the currently economically viable resources, have led the mining sector to turn to deeper, more-difficultto-mine orebodies. To achieve this, the mining industry needs to continue to modernize and advance mining technology. One of the trends is the integration of autonomous vehicles and solutions into the mining cycle [\[1\]](#page-19-0).

The increasing appeal of integrating autonomous vehicles into the mining cycle lies primarily on two aspects that need to be optimized in every mine: safety and productivity. A significant improvement of the health and safety of the miners can be achieved by relocating equipment operators and other miners to a safer and healthier environment. Equipment operators are inherently exposed to numerous occupational hazards: noise; dust; vibration; thermal stress; inclement weather; slips, trips, and falls from climbing

Citation: Androulakis, V.; Schafrik, S.; Sottile, J.; Agioutantis, Z. Data Management System for a Semiautonomous Shuttle Car for Underground Room and Pillar Coal Mines. *Automation* **2021**, *2*, 153–172. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/automation2030010) [automation2030010](https://doi.org/10.3390/automation2030010)

Academic Editor: João Miguel da Costa Sousa

Received: 25 May 2021 Accepted: 11 August 2021 Published: 13 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:/[/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

on and off equipment; crushes by heavy equipment; injuries by roof and rib falls; and fatigue-related accidents. Relocation of the operators from an active mine setting to a safer environment of a control room, even kilometers away, can effectively reduce accidents and exposure to unhealthy and unsafe conditions. At the same time, delegating tasks from humans to machines can potentially increase the productivity of the mining cycle. The inherent accuracy and efficiency of autonomous solutions are the main advantages over the human operator, especially for repetitive tasks. In some cases, advantages in terms of safety and productivity are reported where mining can continue when health risks would normally prohibit personnel from working, such as shortly after a blast before noxious gases have been diluted by the ventilation system. Optimizing energy and fuel consumption, regulating the flow of traffic with efficient fleet management, and reducing damage to equipment are a few other advantages that autonomous solutions can offer, leading to uninterrupted mining operations, as well as reduced production and maintenance costs.

The integration of autonomous vehicles into the underground mining cycle requires a multidisciplinary approach that will help resolve the various technical, safety, and human resource challenges that may arise. This is not a trivial task because many aspects affect such an endeavor: automation technology, systems engineering and management processes around automation, human factors engineering in automated and semiautomated systems, and social and political risks of automation in terms of shared value and sustainable development [\[2](#page-19-1)[–4\]](#page-19-2).

An appropriate data management system (DMS) comprises a crucial aspect of the designing and the engineering of an autonomous or semiautonomous system. The vast volume of data collected from onboard sensors or from a potential Internet of Things (IoT) network dispersed around a smart mine necessitates the development of a reliable data management strategy. Ideally, this strategy will allow for fast and asynchronous access to the data with respect to real-time processing and decision-making purposes, as well as for visualization through a corresponding human–machine interface.

This paper presents the data management system implemented when integrating an autonomous shuttle car into the room and pillar underground coal mining cycle. More specifically, it discusses an asynchronous data collection and management system that facilitates the development and testing of a 1/6th scale shuttle car prototype. The laboratory setup and the approach followed for the data management and the workflow of the processes that enable the prototype to navigate autonomously around the pillars are described in detail.

Section [2](#page-3-0) discusses the current trends of commercial implementation of autonomous solutions within mining operations and describes associated data management paradigms. Section [3](#page-6-0) presents a brief description of the constructed lab-scale shuttle car and the data collection approach. Section [4](#page-10-0) describes the workflow of the developed software stack that helps the lab-scale prototype navigate autonomously. Section [5](#page-17-0) discusses the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed data management system. Finally, Section [6](#page-18-0) presents a summary and the conclusion of this study.

It should also be noted that both the physical simulation environment (described in Section [3\)](#page-6-0) and the developed software (described in Section [4\)](#page-10-0) consider only simplified conditions. Since the main aim of this ongoing research is to determine the feasibility of autonomous navigation around pillars in underground mines and provide a simplified real-scale demonstration, an exhaustive consideration of industrial safety protocols and regulations or complex interactions between the various equipment operating in the working environment was deemed to be beyond the scope of this study. Despite these simplifications, the authors believe that useful insights can be conveyed by this study.

2. Autonomous Vehicles for Mining Applications

Several mining companies around the world have combined forces with mining equipment manufacturers and autonomous solutions companies towards implementing automated vehicles for the tasks of cutting, drilling, loading, and materials haulage.

The common target for implementing autonomous solutions in surface mines is the control of haul trucks and surface dozers. Haul trucks, wheel loaders, and load–haul– dump (LHD) equipment attract great interest for automation projects in underground mines as well. The longwall shearer system is widely used. In addition, drilling and cutting equipment for both surface and underground environments present attractive autonomous solutions for mining companies. Moreover, as the employment of autonomous vehicles and equipment in mining environments leads to the need for collecting and processing vast amounts of data, several companies have started developing data management systems, mining and mineral processing monitoring systems, and big data analytics solutions [\[5\]](#page-19-3).

The longwall shearer for underground coalmines is one of the first pieces of mining equipment to be automated to protect workers from roof caving in relatively soft formations where longwalls are commonly employed. Nowadays, these systems exhibit centimeter precision and are still continuously being advanced [\[6\]](#page-19-4). In the last few decades, extensive research and experimentation have been conducted with teleoperated LHD equipment in various mines around the world $[2,3,7,8]$ $[2,3,7,8]$ $[2,3,7,8]$ $[2,3,7,8]$. Autonomous haulage systems that deploy fleets of wheel trucks and wheel loaders have gained great interest as well among leading companies, such as Komatsu America Corp.; Hitachi Construction Machinery Co., Ltd.; and Caterpillar, Inc., that have commercially implemented such systems $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$. Other mining equipment targeted for autonomous operation include drills, roof bolters, and continuous miners [\[12–](#page-20-1)[15\]](#page-20-2). Artificial intelligence, data management, network efficiency, and human factors are a few additional aspects that complement autonomous solutions in the mining sector that the research community strives to address [\[16](#page-20-3)[–18\]](#page-20-4).

The level of advancement in the operation and management of autonomous machinery in the abovementioned cases varies with regard to three main aspects: (a) autonomy level (i.e., teleoperated to fully autonomous), (b) vehicle management (i.e., single-machine operation to fleet management), and (c) operation environment (i.e., surface-only operation to hybrid (surface and underground) operation). A common point is the preference of the industry for automating haulage trucks. Considering that the operation of this machinery consists of one of the most time-consuming parts of the mining cycle, as well as the necessity of navigating long distances through a constantly changing environment, both on the surface and underground, this choice becomes clear.

On the other hand, the prevalent equipment used for material haulage in underground coal mines, the shuttle car, has not been a popular choice for conversion to autonomous operation. There are several reasons for this, one of which is the small market size. Another is the lack of industrial or academic research published on this topic.

However, in all these cases, the operation of autonomous machinery in an underground environment imposes significant complexities to the development of reliable systems. Some of these challenges include the continuously changing and confined space of the working environment, the human machine interaction, the lack of the Global Positioning System (GPS), the limitations in wireless communications, the limitations in movement imposed by the presence of power cables and ventilation controls, and the occlusion in the sensor data caused by suspended dust and ventilation curtains [\[19\]](#page-20-5).

An automation system of this type is inevitably accompanied by an appropriate data management system (DMS). The design of a DMS is imperative because the reliable collection of, and access to, the sensor data is the cornerstone of an autonomous system. The performance of the DMS, in terms of speed and reliability, directly determines the speed and reliability of the update rate of the machine's situational awareness. Consequently, the validity and reliability of any real-time decision making are defined by the DMS. The publicly available information in the literature about the specific DMS utilized in commercial applications, such as those described above, is expectedly limited. The different cooperative schemes between mining companies and autonomous solutions providers develop custom-built software stacks depending on the nature of the mining operation and the vehicle and sensors employed. Therefore, the DMS that accompanies these systems is highly customized. Moreover, the automation systems, as well as the DMSs, continuously

evolve based on the performance of the systems in the field. Data collected through experimentation and feedback from operators significantly contribute to the advancement of the system and the DMS.

Data management systems are used for storing data that are to be analyzed either in real time or retrospectively. In recent years, advancements in network efficiency, data storage, and processing speed enable more and more systems to store and analyze data in real time. Big data management is becoming a critical aspect of the mining industry, where the amount of information that needs to be collected, stored, and analyzed increases daily [\[20\]](#page-20-6).

All of the autonomous haulage systems (AHSs) (e.g., Komatsu's FrontRunner AHS [\[21](#page-20-7)[,22\]](#page-20-8), Caterpillar's Cat® MineStar™ Command [\[11\]](#page-20-0), Sandvik's AutoMine® umbrella [\[23\]](#page-20-9), and Hitachi's AHS [\[10\]](#page-20-10)) that are developed by coalitions of mining companies, mining equipment manufacturers, and autonomous solutions companies and are currently used commercially fall into the category of real-time applications. As an example, the OptiMine® Analytics suite, developed by Sandvik AB, comprises a set of tools that collect, analyze, and visualize data from a variety of IoT devices, providing a real-time overview of the mining operations [\[24\]](#page-20-11). The suite provides tools and features for (i) scheduling of mine development, production, and maintenance; (ii) task management; (iii) real-time equipment, personnel, and asset tracking in the mine; (iv) drill planning and visualization; and (v) real-time equipment health monitoring and productivity information.

Data management systems are also developed for mining applications unrelated to autonomous haulage systems. For example, a real-time, event-driven database is used in surface lignite mines in Northern Greece to support a productivity and maintenance planning software application. The DMS collects and analyzes data through a SCADA (supervisory control and data acquisition) system, which interfaces with PLCs (programmable logic controllers) installed on multiple bucket wheel excavators, belt conveyors, spreaders, and stackers in the field [\[25\]](#page-20-12). Similar control systems paired with OPC (Open Platform Communications) tools in a SCADA–PLC–OPC interface are commonly used for advanced monitoring of industrial processes [\[26](#page-20-13)[–30\]](#page-20-14). Geotechnical monitoring applications are typically heavily supported by DMSs as well. Monitoring the large-scale movement of slopes and assessing slope stability inside and outside of mines can be significantly facilitated by integrating wireless sensor networks, web services, and GIS (geographic information system) tools [\[31–](#page-20-15)[33\]](#page-20-16).

Although literature sources with a detailed discussion on the DMSs implemented for self-driving vehicles are scarce, there are references on the topic of efficient data storage and management in the context of wireless sensor networks (WSNs). In general, the data management systems that are deployed for storage and querying data collected by WSNs in real time can be divided into two main categories: warehousing and distributed. The former category provides a centralized data management system where the datastream is accumulated in a database and the clients can query that database. On the other side, the latter category stores data into both, a central database, and the sensors themselves (local databases), enabling clients to query both. Each approach exhibits advantages and disadvantages, and different management systems have been proposed to optimize their performance [\[34,](#page-20-17)[35\]](#page-20-18). The decision-making processes for an autonomous vehicle can further be enhanced with the integration of historical data (e.g., maps of intersections and traffic rules), an approach that is very common in the self-driving car industry [\[36](#page-20-19)[,37\]](#page-20-20). Another critical factor for real-time sensing and processing is the problem of managing and updating sensor data, as well as the highly time-varying inquiry requests on sensor data. A variety of approaches have been proposed for efficiently tackling the timely refresh of such real-time systems, with a common solution to be deep reinforcement learning (DRL) in real-time databases [\[38\]](#page-21-0).

3. Laboratory-Scale Shuttle Car 3. Laboratory-Scale Shuttle Car 3. Laboratory-Scale Shuttle Car

In order to simulate the operation of a shuttle car and evaluate the performance of the In order to simulate the operation of a shuttle car and evaluate the performance of navigation software stack, a scaled mock mine layout and a scaled shuttle car prototype were designed and constructed. The following subsections describe the basic aspects of the design of the physical testing facility, the locomotive system of the shuttle car prototype, the prototype's body, and the specifications of the sensors selected to be integrated into the prototype. into the prototype.

3.1. Laboratory-Scale Testing Facility 3.1. Laboratory-Scale Testing Facility

The scale ratio of the mock mine and shuttle car are 1/6th of the full size. The mock mine and shuttle car are 1/6th of the full size. The mock mine is built with painted wooden panels and arranged to simulate a room and pillar mine with square pillars having a width of 15.2 m and entries having a width of 6 m. The angle with square pinars having a width of 15.2 m and entries having a width of 6 m. The angle
between the entries and the crosscuts is 90 degrees. Figure 1 presents a view of the mock mine, while the plan in scaled dimensions is presented in Fig[ure](#page-6-2) 2. Note that the shuttle car that is modelled in this project is actually about 9.1 m long and 3.3 m wide. The lab-scale that is modelled in this project is actually about 9.1 m long and 3.3 m wide. The lab-scale shuttle car is approximately 1.5 m long and 0.5 m wide. between the ent[rie](#page-6-1)s and the crosscuts is 90 degrees. Figure 1 presents a view of the mock that is modelled in this project is actually about 9.1 m long and 3.3 m wide. The lab-scale
shottle ass is consecuing taken 1.5 m long and 0.5 m wide. *3.1. Laboratory-Scale Testing Facility*

Figure 1. Mock mine. **Figure 1.** Mock mine. **Figure 1.** Mock mine.

Figure 2. Figure 2. Plan of simulated room and pillar layout. Plan of simulated room and pillar layout.

The design of the simulated mine emphasizes the geometry of the entries and crosscuts but ignores the conditions of the mine floor or roof. The current state of the navigation

system does not utilize any data with respect to the roof, and thus the absence of a "roof" in the mock mine does not affect the development and testing of the algorithms. It should also be noted that the floor of the laboratory space does not simulate the different conditions of the floor in an actual mine, as in an actual mine the friction conditions between the floor and the tires of the vehicle or the tilt of the floor can affect vehicle movement (e.g., wheel slippage). The navigation algorithm currently does not directly account for such conditions, but plans are in place to correct for adverse floor conditions. Despite the simplified assumptions, the performance of the navigation system is anticipated to provide valid and relatively accurate information for the scope of this research, namely, to examine the feasibility of the integration of an autonomous shuttle car in the underground room and pillar mining cycle.

3.2. Locomotive System 3.2. Locomotive System

The chassis of the laboratory-scale shuttle car prototypes consists of two axles from an off-the-shelf remote control (RC) vehicle, which are connected together with aluminum frame rails. The length of the rails was determined to ensure that the wheelbase-to-width frame rails. The length of the rails was determined to ensure that the wheelbase-to-width ratio represents that of the full-scale shuttle car. Between the rails, a bin is attached for ratio represents that of the full-scale shuttle car. Between the rails, a bin is attached for mounting the electronic parts, while the rails per se provide a means to mount the shuttle car body to the chassis. The locomotive system includes four servomotors for steering and car body to the chassis. The locomotive system includes four servomotors for steering and two brushless DC (BLDC) planetary gear motors for tramming (Figure [3\)](#page-7-0). The tramming motors are controlled by a RoboteQ SBL2360T Brushless DC Motor Controller [\[39\]](#page-21-1) that motors are controlled by a RoboteQ SBL2360T Brushless DC Motor Controller [39] that uses the pulse-width-modulation (PWM) signals sent from a remote controller to a radio receiver mounted in the shuttle car electronics enclosure to control the motor speed. The receiver mounted in the shuttle car electronics enclosure to control the motor speed. The steering servomotors (Savox SC-1256TG) are controlled directly by the PWM signal sent steering servomotors (Savon SC-1256TG) are controlled already by the PWM signal sent
wirelessly to the onboard radio receiver. $\frac{1}{2}$ brushess DC (BLDC) planetary gear motors for training (Figure 3). The transmission of the transmission Ω where $\frac{1}{2}$ to the onboard radio receiver.

Figure 3. Locomotive system. **Figure 3.** Locomotive system.

3.3. Shuttle Car Prototype Body 3.3. Shuttle Car Prototype Body

The design of the prototype body is based on a Joy 10SC32B model (Komatsu Mining The design of the prototype body is based on a Joy 10SC32B model (Komatsu Mining Corp., Saminco, Fort Myers, FL, USA) [40], developed from a Standard for the Exchange Corp., Saminco, Fort Myers, FL, USA) [\[40\]](#page-21-2), developed from a Standard for the Exchange of Product (STP) three-dimension data file provided by Komatsu Mining Corp. This was of Product (STP) three-dimension data file provided by Komatsu Mining Corp. This was used to create an STP file of a 1/6th scale body (Figur[e 4](#page-8-0)). Subsequently, stereo lithography used to create an STP file of a 1/6th scale body (Figure 4). Subsequently, stereo lithography (STL) files were produced for a 3-D printer to print the body into several parts (because (STL) files were produced for a 3-D printer to print the body into several parts (because the available equipment could not print the entire body in one part). A Gigabot $^{\circledR}$ 3+ 3D printer, produced by re3D Inc., Houston, TX, USA [\[41\]](#page-21-3), and a Replicator Z18 3-D printer, produced by MakerBot, Brooklyn, NY, USA [\[42\]](#page-21-4), were used for that purpose. Additional details on the shuttle car can be found in Androulakis et al. [\[19\]](#page-20-5).

Figure 4. Top view of the shuttle car body (STP file). *3.4. Sensors*

3.4. Sensors The prototype collects information about its surroundings and its movement through two different sensor modalities (Figure 5):

- Four 2D LiDAR (light detection and ranging) scanners used for mapping, navigation, and obstacle detection;
	- Four ultraconic sonsc • Four ultrasonic sensors used for proximity safety. • Four ultrasonic sensors used for proximity safety.

Figure 5. Prototype equipped with LiDAR and ultrasonic sensors. Figure 5. Prototype equipped with LiDAR and ultrasonic sensors.
 Figure 5. Prototype equipped with LiDAR and ultrasonic sensors.

As the integration of IMUs (inertial measurement units) for enhancing the navigation algorithms' performance is under development, the discussion below includes only the implementation of LiDAR scanners and ultrasonic sensors.

3.4.1. LiDAR Scanners

The 2D LiDAR scanner used for the lab-scale shuttle car prototype is the RPLiDAR A1M8 scanner developed by SLAMTEC [\[43\]](#page-21-5), which is a low-cost 360° laser scanner with a 12-m range. Table [1](#page-9-0) summarizes its performance specifications. The point data collected can produce a map of the surrounding environment. A housing assembly was designed and 3-D-printed to facilitate the mounting of the sensor on the lab-scale shuttle car.

Parameter	Value		
Measurement range	0.15 to 12 m		
Angular range	0 to 360 degrees		
Measurement resolution	$\langle 1\%$ of actual distance		
Angular resolution	\leq 1 degree		
Time for single measurement	0.5 ms		
Measurement frequency	>4000 Hz		
Scan frequency	5 to 10 Hz (typical 5.5 Hz)		

Table 1. RPLiDAR A1M8 performance specifications (based on information from [\[43\]](#page-21-5)).

3.4.2. Ultrasonic Sensors

The ultrasonic sensor used is the Sonar Phidget DST1200_0 sensor [\[44\]](#page-21-6). This device was selected because of its relatively low cost and convenience of use. DST1200_0 has an ultrasonic transmitter that transmits a series of eight pulses that are reflected back to the DST1200₋0 receiver. The elapsed time between sending and receiving the signal is used to determine the distance to the reflected surface. The sensor has a range of 40.0 mm to 10.0 m and has a maximum working current of 5.6 mA. Preliminary testing with DST1200_0 has shown that it has sufficient accuracy to determine the prototype distance DST1200_0 has shown that it has sufficient accuracy to determine the prototype distance to the simulated coal ribs when the shuttle car is positioned approximately parallel to the simulated coal ribs when the shuttle car is positioned approximately parallel to the rib (e.g., within $\pm 30^\circ$), and the sensor is mounted perpendicular to the direction of travel. Figure [6](#page-9-1) shows the DST1200_0 ultrasonic sensor, and Table [2](#page-10-1) lists its specifications. traver. Tigate 0 shows the DST1200₀ ultrasonic sensor, and Table 2 lists its specifications.
The DST1200₀ comes with an enclosure to facilitate mounting the sensor on the lab-scale shuttle cars (Figure [5\)](#page-8-1). shuttle cars (Figure 5). $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}$

Figure 6. Sonar Phidget DST1200_0 ultrasonic sensor. **Figure 6.** Sonar Phidget DST1200_0 ultrasonic sensor.

Table 2. Sonar Phidget DST1200_0 sensor specifications (based on information from [\[44\]](#page-21-6)).

4. Data Management, Decision Making, and Control

The general data workflow, as well as the relevant data management subsystem, for the laboratory-scale shuttle car prototype is described in Androulakis et al. [\[19\]](#page-20-5). The data management approach selected belongs to the warehousing category [\[34\]](#page-20-17) due to the inefficient memory and processing capabilities of the microcontrollers responsible for collecting the sensor data. Moreover, a centralized data storage system was deemed most appropriate for the application under investigation since the individual sensor data most appropriate for the application under investigation since the individual sensor data need to be combined to extract useful information about the vehicle's surroundings. The need to be combined to extract useful information about the ventile s surfoundings. The relatively small operational environment, as well as the reduced amount of data collected relatively shall operational environment, as wen as the reduced amount of data concerted due to the 2-D approach, and the constricted space of the typical underground coal mines have enabled the authors to conduct the laboratory-scale simulations without the need for integrating historical data or building routines for monitoring the refreshing of the datastream. In summary, the system utilizes simultaneous processes and is divided into three main parts or nodes, as shown in Figure 7 . nals the movement of the movement of the shuttle car in real time. This part is represented to the shuttle car depend of decision making and for decision moderation making the community of the shuttle care traction moderation moderati

Figure 7. Schematic of data flow and management. **Figure 7.** Schematic of data flow and management.

- Data collection (onboard sensors): The data collection node includes the onboard hardware that is responsible for collecting the sensor data by onboard microcontrollers and for transmitting the data via Wi-Fi to an SQL database. This part is represented by the upper-left (orange) solid box of the schematic.
- Data management (servers for data storage): The data management node consists of an SQL (Structured Query Language) database server and a webserver that facilitate the storage of the sensor data. This part is represented by the middle (green) solid box of the schematic.
- Data processing and visualization (autonomous logic controller, mapping tool, path planning module, etc.): The data processing and visualization node is implemented as a Windows application that analyzes the datastream and generates the PWM signals that control the movement of the shuttle car in real time. This part is represented by the lower (blue) box of the schematic and includes the multimodular interface developed for decision making and for communication to the shuttle car traction motors and steering servomotors. Human input is also required for setting parameters and assigning missions.

4.1. Data Collection

The data from the onboard sensors are collected through a number of Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+ microcontrollers [\[45\]](#page-21-7). These microcontrollers are equipped with a quad core 64-bit CPU (central processing unit) with a frequency of 1.2 GHz and 1 GB RAM (random-access memory), as well as wireless LAN (local area network) connectivity. Each microcontroller is assigned to one LiDAR scanner and two ultrasonic sensors in parallel processes. The collection of data is accomplished through scripts, written in the Python programming language. The microcontrollers are programmed to collect new data from the sensors and post the data into the custom SQL database through a continuous loop. This data acquisition loop continuously retrieves the newest data from the sensors and uploads them to the SQL database through properly constructed messages. Before each iteration, the connectivity to the sensors is checked and restored in case of nonexistent or corrupted connection.

The sensor maximum update rate is determined by its specifications. In some cases, the user can select any update rate less than or equal to the maximum rate. In general, more advanced sensors have higher update rates. The maximum update rate of the ultrasonic sensors used in this project is 10 Hz or 100 ms per measurement, while the maximum update range of each of the LiDAR scanners is 10 Hz or 100 ms per one full scan. However, the measured update rates of the 2D LiDAR scanners are lower than the maximum reported in the specifications. The operating frequency of the 2D LiDAR scanners is in the range of 5 to 10 Hz per scan, with the typical frequency reported by Slamtec to be 5.5 Hz (under the condition that the LiDAR scanner retrieves 360 range measurements per scan). However, the average update rate measured in the laboratory by units controlled through the Raspberry Pi 3 B+ microcontrollers is between 7 and 8 Hz per scan. This rate is inherent to the sensor and cannot be changed manually since the current library released for this sensor under the Python programming language does not support it. Because of the higher frequency compared with the typical operating frequency, the number of range measurements collected during one scan is less than 360. The average observed value is 160–175 measurements per scan. Despite that the decreased number of measurements reduces the resolution of the maps created, the information provided is sufficient for the navigation algorithms and the decision-making processes.

Measurements by the LiDAR scanners are formatted into an array of triplets in the form of [signal quality, angle, distance], while measurements by the ultrasonic sensors include only a value for distance. Each measurement sequence is paired with the designated name of each sensor, as will be discussed in the following section. The data packet for each sensor type varies in length, which does not vary significantly between different measurement cycles.

4.2. Data Management

An SQL database schema has been developed to handle the data collected from the onboard sensors. The SQL database is populated in real time by data received from the Raspberry Pi microcontrollers. The database server asynchronously accepts the SQL post requests that include the collected data. At the same time, the database server responds to data requests from the data processing and visualization node and the webserver used for visualization of the collected data (Figure [7\)](#page-10-2).

The time needed to post the collected data to the database includes the time for the microcontroller to connect to the database over the available network protocol and the time to post each measurement to the SQL database. Thus, the update rate for the different datastreams is determined by three main factors: (i) the maximum update rate of the sensors, (ii) the number of scans performed per data collection cycle, and (iii) the time needed to post the data to the SQL database.

For example, Table [3](#page-12-0) summarizes the effective update rates for the LiDAR sensors as calculated by the data collection microcontrollers. The average effective update rate from the four LiDAR scanners is about 135 ms or 7.40 Hz.

Table 3. Effective update rates of onboard sensors (calculated by the data collection microcontrollers).

Sensor	Longitudinal Position	Transverse Position	Update Rate (Hz)	Update Rate (RPM)	Update Time (ms)
LRLDOP	Loading end	Operator side	7.19	431.44	139.07
LRLDOF	Loading end	Opposite/off side	7.82	469.23	127.87
LRDSOP	Discharge end	Operator side	7.44	446.13	134.49
LRDSOF	Discharge end	Opposite/off side	7.14	428.11	140.15

Table [4](#page-13-0) depicts an example of data stored in the SQL database as collected from the onboard sensors. Each sensor is designated by a specific name so that the front-end routines that process and visualize the data can easily retrieve the respective sensor data. Sensor names are six to eight characters long, and each character pair is used to denote specific information about the sensor. The first pair denotes the type of sensor, US for ultrasonic or LR for LiDAR scanner; the second pair denotes the longitudinal position of the sensor on the prototype, DS for discharge end or LD for loading end; the third pair denotes the lateral position of the sensor on the prototype, OP for operator side or OF for off side; and the fourth pair is used for denoting the pointing direction of the point sensors (only the ultrasonic sensors need this descriptor), OP for operator side, OF for off side, IB for inby direction, or OB for outby direction (see Figure [4](#page-8-0) for a labeled schematic of the shuttle car's parts). Moving inby corresponds to movement towards the active face, while moving outby corresponds to movement away from the face. The data collected from the 2D LiDAR units are stored as a series of arrays that contain three numbers, namely, [signal quality, angle, distance]. As shown in rows 1 and 15 of Table [4,](#page-13-0) each such triplet is registered in the SQL database using a comma to separate the three values and is enclosed in parentheses.

Table 4. *Cont*.

Whenever the server receives a record, the time that record is created (current timestamp) is also recorded through an event triggered by the record insertion process. These times can be used to calculate another effective update rate for each sensor. Note that this update rate is the rate the database receives a new record from a specific sensor, as opposed to the effective update rate described previously, which corresponds to the rate the microcontroller sends out a new record to the database. These two effective update rates are different because of latencies in sending and/or recording data. Table [5](#page-14-0) shows a sample of the calculations for the update rate of a LiDAR scanner, while Table [6](#page-14-1) summarizes the update rates for the different sensors as calculated from the SQL database server timestamps. These rates were very close to the rates calculated through the timestamps generated by the microcontrollers before sending a record to the database. The average update rate for the LiDAR scanners is 136.15 ms, which corresponds to an update frequency of 7.35 Hz, while the average update rate of the ultrasonic sensors is 100.63 ms or 9.94 Hz.

Table 5. Sample of calculating the update rate of a LiDAR scanner.

Table 6. Effective update rates of onboard sensors (calculated on the database server).

4.3. Data Processing and Visualization

The front-end interface has been designed using a modular architecture, which facilitates the development and debugging of the software stack and provides layered processing of the raw data into a few meaningful parameters that expedite the decision-making process. The most important modules that compose the interface are the following:

- Main module: The main module provides the means for the shuttle car supervisor to create a mission for the vehicle (essentially, the path it is to follow) by creating low-level commands, and controls the starting, pausing, resuming, and termination of the execution of the command queue. Additionally, it enables the remote monitoring of the shuttle car's movement through a number of scrolling switches that handle the speed and steering angle of the vehicle in real time. A screenshot of the currently implemented main window is shown in Figure [8.](#page-15-0)
- Data grabber module: The data grabber module enables the interface to connect to the SQL database and collect the latest updated sensor data in real time.
- Path planning module: The path planning module provides two alternative ways for the interface user to create a mission for the shuttle car: a semiautonomous approach by creating a small number of abstract commands (instead of a relatively bigger

number of low-level commands as in the main module) and a fully autonomous approach through utilization of graph theory (see Figures [9](#page-16-0) and [10\)](#page-16-1).

- Mapping tool: The mapping tool interprets the data collected from the LiDAR scanners to create a map of the surroundings in real time. Subsequently, the tool extracts salient features from that map and stores their characteristics into parameters that are used as input for the decision agent module. The mapping tool form is used to visualize the data collected from the LiDAR units in real time. This provides a real-time map of the current surroundings of the vehicle up to a distance of 12 m (the range of the LiDAR units). The user can specify the refresh rate and the range of the size of the map (the map is always square). The latter parameter gives the user the ability to zoom in and out and observe points of interest (see Figure [11\)](#page-17-1).
- Decision agent module: The decision agent module analyzes the latest available information about the surroundings and decides whether the current low-level command is safe to be executed or alternative corrective actions need to be taken.
- Device control module: The device control module converts the decisions of the agent into appropriate PWM signals and controls the signal transfer to the RC and the radio receiver on board.

Figure 8. Main window of the shuttle car interface. **Figure 8.** Main window of the shuttle car interface.

Figure 9. Mission planner form. **Figure 9.** Mission planner form.

Figure 10. Optimum path finder tool. **Figure 10.** Optimum path finder tool.

pairs from the two LiDAR scanners on the direction of movement (in this case, Inby). The yellow linear segments model the ribs of the entries/crosscuts. The red stars denote the four closest detected linear segments model the ribs of the entries/crosscuts. The red stars denote the four closest detected corners, while the yellow stars denote the remaining detected corners. The black square denotes the center of the shuttle car. The direction of movement is always towards the increasing values of *y*-axis. the *y*-axis. **Figure 11.** Instance of a created map in the mapping tool. The blue squares denote the collected x–y

5. Latency Considerations

The multiple functionalities of the lab-scale shuttle car prototype, which are governed by the tiered software stack, inherently exhibit latencies. These latencies occur not only between the data management node and the other two nodes, but also within the multimodular data processing and visualization node. The magnitude of these latencies is critically affected by the integrated hardware as well. Sensors and microcontrollers with higher speed and processing power would naturally lead to shorter latencies. Alternatively, the software developed must compensate for the hardware. The most common approach is to employ parallel processing techniques. Such techniques have been implemented on both the microcontroller side (collection of data) and the front-end interface side (processing and visualization of data).

In Table [7,](#page-18-1) the average durations of the most important processes of the interface are summarized and compared with the total time that the interface needs to process the latest data and make a single decision. The process for making a single decision for the next movement of the shuttle car involves the following steps:

- (i) Communicate with the SQL server and collect the latest updated sensors data;
- (ii) Create a map of the immediate surroundings;
- (iii) Employ the agent to make a decision for the next movement; and
- (iv) Send the proper signal to the shuttle car actuators to execute this decision.

Table 7. Front-end interface process times.

As shown in Table [7,](#page-18-1) the fastest processes are the process of acquiring the latest sensor data from the SQL database and the decision-making process based on the mapping output. The duration of the former process is longer than the time needed to acquire the data from the SQL database because it includes some preprocessing for the acquired data as well. The creation of the immediate surroundings map requires about one-fourth of the total time. Finally, the execution of the latest decision takes up to 58% of the total time. Note, however, that the signals sent to the prototype's actuators are programmed to be sent every 500 ms. However, each decision-making process starts at the same time as the fourth step of the previous decision. In other words, the interface starts processing the latest data for the next decision (i.e., data grabbing, mapping, decision making) while the shuttle car executes the latest decision. Therefore, this 500 ms is part of the average execution time (514.42 ms) but does not hinder the process due to the concurrent programming techniques implemented. This compensates for part of the total latencies and subsequently allows for uninterrupted movement of the prototype. The data processing and visualization module is able to retrieve and process the latest data and make a decision for the next movement of the shuttle car prototype in less than 900 ms.

6. Conclusions

Data management systems play a crucial role in the implementation of an autonomous solution. Smart solutions are based on processing vast amounts of data collected by a carefully designed sensor network. Therefore, a reliable data management system is the backbone of the entire implementation, and its efficiency will directly determine the performance of the solution. The DMS implemented in the current research attempts to (i) efficiently store the data collected from the onboard sensors and (ii) make the data accessible to any client request. Both objectives need to be fulfilled in real time and with minimum latencies.

The necessity of developing three separate nodes, namely, the data collection node, the data management node, and the data processing and visualization node, was mandated by the large amount of collected data and the need to ensure uninterrupted and fast data storage and flow. Utilization of an SQL database server is one solution that allows for asynchronous, real-time, and reliable data management. Asynchronous access from multiple sources ensures that the data will not be lost because of conflicts between the different writing processes, as well as ensures that the data will be recorded in real time or near real-time speed. A similar concept applies to data requests from multiple clients.

However, one disadvantage of the three-node approach is that it introduces latencies that are associated with the data management node and the other two nodes. In general, the transmission latencies are defined by the quality of the Wi-Fi network and the length of the corresponding POST and GET messages sent to the server. The length of the message is defined by the type of sensor data. The server update rate for the LiDAR scanners is 7.35 Hz, while the rate for the ultrasonic sensors is 9.94 Hz. The average update rate for the LiDAR scanners as reported by the microcontrollers is 7.40 Hz. The difference between these two update rates is attributed to the handshake and transmission time between the SQL server and each microcontroller. The small difference indicates that the latency imposed by the communication network is negligible. The update rates of the ultrasonic sensors

were not calculated on the microcontroller side because of the low overhead required to transmit and store a single measurement. This is confirmed by the measured frequency on the server side (9.94 Hz), which is very close to the maximum operating frequency of the ultrasonic sensors.

The speed of the different processes undertaken within one single decision cycle was evaluated. As expected, the most time within one decision cycle is spent in the creation of the map of the environment around the moving shuttle car (in this case, 25.2%). The acquisition of the latest sensor data consumes 10.8% of the total cycle time, and the determination of the optimal decision based on the newest map takes 5.9% of the cycle time. Finally, the execution of the optimal decision accounts for the remaining 58.1% of the cycle time. The average total time for one cycle with respect to data processing and visualization (e.g., retrieve and process the latest data and make a decision about the next optimal movement of the shuttle car prototype) is less than 900 ms. This includes the time required for the shuttle car to move for one time step. During the move time, the autonomous vehicle interface has already started processing the next decision cycle, which eliminates any interruptions in the movement of the prototype.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S., J.S. and Z.A.; funding acquisition, S.S., J.S. and Z.A.; methodology, S.S. and Z.A.; project administration, Z.A.; software, V.A. and S.S.; supervision, Z.A.; validation, V.A., S.S. and J.S.; visualization, V.A. and Z.A.; writing—original draft, V.A.; writing review and editing, J.S. and Z.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by the Alpha Foundation for the Improvement of Mine Safety and Health, Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA (Alpha Foundation), grant number AFC 417-21. The views, opinions, and recommendations expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not imply any endorsement by the Alpha Foundation or its directors or staff.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the exceptional and enthusiastic undergraduate students who helped in the construction of the prototypes and the development and testing of some aspects of the interface: Trevor Rosania, Harrison B. Stranc, Kevin Oliver, and Hannah D. Heady. In addition, an invaluable role in the endeavors of this research must be attributed to the industry for the support and feedback. The authors would like to thank Komatsu Mining Corp., Saminco, Fort Myers, FL, USA, and Auxier Welding, Belva, WV, USA.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Sahu, R. How harnessing computer vision and machine learning will revolutionize global mining. *Min. Eng.* **2018**, *70*, 33–35.
- 2. Paraszczak, J. Maximization of productivity of autonomous trackless loading and haulage equipment in underground metal mines—A challenging task. *Min. Eng.* **2014**, *66*, 24–41.
- 3. Paraszczak, J.; Gustafson, A.; Schunnesson, H. Technical and operational aspects of autonomous LHD application in metal mines. *Int. J. Min. Reclam. Environ.* **2015**, *29*, 391–403. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/17480930.2015.1086553)
- 4. Rogers, W.P.; Kahraman, M.M.; Drews, F.A.; Powell, K.; Haight, J.M.; Wang, Y.; Baxla, K.; Sobalkar, M. Automation in the mining industry: Review of technology, systems, human factors, and political risk. *Min. Metall. Explor.* **2019**, *36*, 607–631. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s42461-019-0094-2)
- 5. Sammarco, J.; Wesh, J.; Reyes, M.; Ruff, T.; Sunderman, C. *Mine of the Future: Disruptive Technologies that Impact our Future Mine Worker Health & Safety Research Focus*; Internal NIOSH Report (5 February 2018); Unpublished; 2018; pp. 5–11, 57–62.
- 6. Reid, D.; Ralston, J.; Dunn, M.; Hainsworth, D. Longwall shearer automation: From research to reality. In *Machine Vision and Mechatronics in Practice*; Billingsley, J., Brett, P., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45514-2_5)
- 7. Mäkelä, H. Overview of LHD navigation without artificial beacons. *Robot. Auton. Syst.* **2001**, *36*, 21–35. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8890(01)00115-4)
- 8. Schunnesson, H.; Gustafson, A.; Kumar, U. Performance of automated LHD machines: A review. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Mine Planning and Equipment Selection, Banff, AB, Canada, 16–19 November 2009.
- 9. Gleason, W. Autonomous haulage growing fast; Komatsu continues to innovate in driverless fleet sector. *Min. Eng.* **2018**, *70*, 28–31.
- 10. Hamada, T.; Saito, S. Autonomous haulage system for mining rationalization. *Hitachi Rev.* **2018**, *67*, 87–92.
- 11. Caterpillar. Cat® MineStarTM Command. Available online: [https://www.cat.com/en_US/by-industry/mining/surface-mining/](https://www.cat.com/en_US/by-industry/mining/surface-mining/surface-technology/command.html) [surface-technology/command.html](https://www.cat.com/en_US/by-industry/mining/surface-mining/surface-technology/command.html) (accessed on 8 January 2021).
- 12. Kempenaars, C. Implementation of Mechanized Roof-Bolters for Low-Seam Hard-Rock Mining. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 2019.
- 13. King, R.L.; Hicks, M.A.; Signer, S.P. Using unsupervised learning for feature detection in a coal mine roof. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.* **1993**, *6*, 565–573. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0952-1976(93)90053-Z)
- 14. Mansouri, M.; Andreasson, H.; Pecora, F. Hybrid reasoning for multirobot drill planning in open pit mines. *Acta Polytech.* **2016**, *56*, 47–56. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.14311/APP.2016.56.0047)
- 15. Ralston, J.; Dunn, M.; Hargrave, C.; Reid, D. Advances in continuous miner automation. In Proceedings of the Mine Planning & Equipment Selection Conference (MPES 2010), Fremantle, Australia, 1–3 December 2010; pp. 275–292.
- 16. Bodin, U.; Andersson, U.; Dadhich, S.; Uhlin, E.; Marklund, U.; Häggströmff, D. Remote controlled short-cycle loading of bulk material in mining applications. *IFAC-Pap.* **2015**, *48*, 54–59. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.10.077)
- 17. Hyder, Z.; Siau, K.; Nah, F. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and autonomous technologies in mining industry. *J. Database Manag.* **2019**, *30*, 67–79. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4018/JDM.2019040104)
- 18. Magnusson, M.; Lilienthal, A.; Duckett, T. Scan registration for autonomous mining vehicles using 3D-NDT. *J. Field Robot.* **2007**, *24*, 803–827. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/rob.20204)
- 19. Androulakis, V.; Sottile, J.; Schafrik, S.; Agioutantis, Z. Concepts for development of autonomous coal mine shuttle cars. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **2020**, *56*, 3272–3280. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2020.2972786)
- 20. Chong-chong, Q. Big data management in the mining industry. *Int. J. Miner. Metall. Mater.* **2020**, *27*, 131–139.
- 21. Komatsu America Corp. Autonomous Haulage System Optimizes Surface Mining—Komatsu America Corp. Available online: <https://www.komatsuamerica.com/autonomous-haulage-system> (accessed on 8 January 2021).
- 22. Komatsu Australia Pty Ltd. What Is a FrontRunner AHS Truck, and How Do They Operate?—Komatsu Australia. Available online: [https://www.komatsu.com.au/innovation/autonomous-haulage-system/what-is-a-frontrunner-ahs-truck,-and-how](https://www.komatsu.com.au/innovation/autonomous-haulage-system/what-is-a-frontrunner-ahs-truck,-and-how-do-they-o)[do-they-o](https://www.komatsu.com.au/innovation/autonomous-haulage-system/what-is-a-frontrunner-ahs-truck,-and-how-do-they-o) (accessed on 8 January 2021).
- 23. Sandvik, A.B. AutoMine® Equipment Automation and Teleoperation Systems. Available online: [https://www.rocktechnology.](https://www.rocktechnology.sandvik/en/products/automation/automine-equipment-and-teleoperation-systems/) [sandvik/en/products/automation/automine-equipment-and-teleoperation-systems/](https://www.rocktechnology.sandvik/en/products/automation/automine-equipment-and-teleoperation-systems/) (accessed on 8 January 2021).
- 24. Sandvik, A.B. OptiMine® Analytics and Process Optimization. Available online: [https://www.rocktechnology.sandvik/en/](https://www.rocktechnology.sandvik/en/products/automation/optimine-information-management-system/) [products/automation/optimine-information-management-system/](https://www.rocktechnology.sandvik/en/products/automation/optimine-information-management-system/) (accessed on 8 January 2021).
- 25. Agioutantis, Z.; Delmadorou, S.; Steiakakis, N.; Steiakakis, C.; Papaterpos, S. A real-time event-driven database productivity and maintenance planning tool for continuous surface mining operations. *Int. J. Min. Mineral. Eng.* **2019**, *10*, 177–188. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1504/IJMME.2019.104446)
- 26. Keerthika, R.; Jagadeeswari, M. Coal conveyor belt fault detection and control in thermal power plant using PLC and SCADA. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Comput. Eng. Technol.* **2015**, *4*, 1649–1652.
- 27. Merchán, D.F.; Peralta, J.A.; Vazquez-Rodas, A.; Minchala, L.I.; Astudillo-Salinas, D. Open source SCADA system for advanced monitoring of industrial processes. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Science (INCISCOS), Quito, Ecuador, 23–25 November 2017; pp. 160–165.
- 28. Sangeetha, A.L.; Naveenkumar, B.; Ganesh, A.B.; Bharathi, N. Experimental validation of PID based cascade control system through SCADA–PLC–OPC and internet architectures. *Measurement* **2012**, *45*, 643–649. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2012.01.005)
- 29. Diaconescu, E.; Spirleanu, C. Communication solution for industrial control applications with multi-agents using OPC servers. In Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Applied and Theoretical Electricity (ICATE), Craiova, Romania, 25–27 October 2012; pp. 1–6.
- 30. Coito, T.; Firme, B.; Martins, M.S.E.; Vieira, S.M.; Figueiredo, J.; Sousa, J.M.C. Intelligent sensors for real-Time decision-making. *Automation* **2021**, *2*, 62–82. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/automation2020004)
- 31. Steiakakis, C.; Papavgeri, G.; Steiakakis, N.; Agioutantis, Z.; Schilizzi, P. A cloud-based near real-time slope movement monitoring system. *Int. J. Min. Mineral. Eng.* **2019**, *10*, 233–254. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1504/IJMME.2019.104455)
- 32. Fung, W.H.; Kinsil, R.J.; Jamaludin, S.; Krishnan, S. Early warning and real-time slope monitoring systems in West and East Malaysia. In *Landslide Science for a Safer Geoenvironment*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; pp. 569–575.
- 33. Jaboyedoff, M.; Ornstein, P.; Rouiller, J.-D. Design of a geodetic database and associated tools for monitoring rock-slope movements: The example of the top of Randa rockfall scar. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* **2004**, *4*, 187–196. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-4-187-2004)
- 34. Diallo, O.; Rodrigues, J.J.; Sene, M. Real-time data management on wireless sensor networks: A survey. *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.* **2012**, *35*, 1013–1021. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2011.12.006)
- 35. Sarkar, J.L.; Panigrahi, C.R.; Pati, B.; Das, H. A novel approach for real-time data management in wireless sensor networks. In *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Advanced Computing, Networking and Informatics*; Springer: Delhi, India, 2015; pp. 599–607.
- 36. Suresh, V.; Watson, P.; Neasham, J.; Bell, M.; Pearson, D.; Oliver, D.; Galatioto, F.; Hill, G.; Parmar, J. Data management for intelligent transport system using pervasive sensing. In *eScience All Hands Meeting*; Newcastle University: Newcastle, UK, 2009.
- 37. Badue, C.; Guidolini, R.; Carneiro, R.V.; Azevedo, P.; Cardoso, V.B.; Forechi, A.; Jesus, L.; Berriel, R.; Paixão, T.M.; Mutz, F. Self-driving cars: A survey. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **2020**, *165*, 113816. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113816)
- 38. Moon, J.; Cheong, M.; Yeom, I.; Woo, H. Deep reinforcement learning based sensor data management for vehicles. In Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 9–11 January 2019; pp. 345–349.
- 39. Nidec Motor Corporation. Brushless DC Motor Controller. Available online: [https://www.roboteq.com/products/products](https://www.roboteq.com/products/products-brushless-dc-motor-controllers/sbl2360t-detail)[brushless-dc-motor-controllers/sbl2360t-detail](https://www.roboteq.com/products/products-brushless-dc-motor-controllers/sbl2360t-detail) (accessed on 28 July 2021).
- 40. Komatsu Mining Corp. Batch Haulage—Joy Shuttle Cars. Available online: [https://mining.komatsu/product-details/shuttle](https://mining.komatsu/product-details/shuttle-cars)[cars](https://mining.komatsu/product-details/shuttle-cars) (accessed on 28 July 2021).
- 41. Re3d Inc. GIGABOT. Available online: <https://re3d.org/gigabot/> (accessed on 28 July 2021).
- 42. Makerbot Industries LLC. Available online: <https://www.makerbot.com/3d-printers/replicator-z18/> (accessed on 28 July 2021).
- 43. Shanghai Slamtec Co. RPLIDAR A1. Available online: <https://www.slamtec.com/en/Lidar/A1Spec> (accessed on 18 January 2021).
- 44. Phidgets Inc. Sonar Phidget. Available online: <https://www.phidgets.com/?&prodid=973> (accessed on 19 May 2021).
- 45. Raspberry Pi Foundation. Raspberry Pi 3 Model, B. Available online: [https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-3](https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-3-model-b) [model-b](https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-3-model-b) (accessed on 28 July 2021).