



7-2014

On the Intersection of Certain Maximal Subgroups of a Finite Group

Adolfo Ballester-Bolinches
University de València, Spain

James C. Beidleman
University of Kentucky, clark@ms.uky.edu

Hermann Heineken
Universität Würzburg, Germany

Matthew F. Ragland
Auburn University at Montgomery

Jack Schmidt
University of Kentucky, jack.schmidt@uky.edu

[Click here to let us know how access to this document benefits you.](#)

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/math_facpub

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Ballester-Bolinches, Adolfo; Beidleman, James C.; Heineken, Hermann; Ragland, Matthew F.; and Schmidt, Jack, "On the Intersection of Certain Maximal Subgroups of a Finite Group" (2014). *Mathematics Faculty Publications*. 11.
https://uknowledge.uky.edu/math_facpub/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

On the Intersection of Certain Maximal Subgroups of a Finite Group

Notes/Citation Information

Published in *Journal of Group Theory*, v. 17, no. 4, p. 705-715.

© de Gruyter 2014

The copyright holders have granted the permission for posting the article here.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/jgt-2013-0052>

On the intersection of certain maximal subgroups of a finite group

Adolfo Ballester-Bolinches, James C. Beidleman,
Hermann Heineken, Matthew F. Ragland and Jack Schmidt

Communicated by Francesco de Giovanni

Dedicated to Professor Ben Brewster on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. Let $\Delta(G)$ denote the intersection of all non-normal maximal subgroups of a group G . We introduce the class of T_2 -groups which are defined as the groups G for which $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group, that is, a group in which normality is a transitive relation. Several results concerning the class T_2 are discussed. In particular, if G is a solvable group, then Sylow permutability is a transitive relation in G if and only if every subgroup H of G is a T_2 -group such that the nilpotent residual of H is a Hall subgroup of H .

1 Introduction and statements of results

All groups considered are finite.

It seems that knowing some information about the intersection of certain types of maximal subgroups of a finite group often provides some worthwhile insight into the structure of a finite group. In [11], Gaschütz developed many interesting properties of the Frattini subgroup of a finite group and showed how these properties could be used to find new structural information of such groups. In [11], he introduced a subgroup $\Delta(G)$ similar to the Frattini subgroup and developed some of its properties. Here $\Delta(G)$ is defined as the intersection of all non-normal maximal subgroups of G (and $\Delta(G) = G$ if all maximal subgroups of G are normal, that is, if G is nilpotent). In [11], the following was established:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a group. Then*

- (i) $\Delta(G)$ is nilpotent,
- (ii) $\Delta(G)/\Phi(G) = Z(G/\Phi(G))$.

Asaad and Ramadan established several other properties of $\Delta(G)$ and their results can be found in [2]. The second author and Seo [9] used $\Delta(G)$ to determine

a number of results about certain nilpotent properties of subnormal subgroups of a group G .

For a group G , we will denote the hypercenter, nilpotent residual, and the Frattini subgroup of G , respectively, by $Z_*(G)$, $\gamma_*(G)$, and $\Phi(G)$. A group G is called a T_0 -group provided that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a T -group, that is, a group in which normality is a transitive relation; T_0 -groups have been studied in [4, 7, 8, 13, 16]. A group G is called a T_1 -group if $G/Z_*(G)$ is a T -group. The second and third authors introduced the concept of a T_1 -group and some of the properties of these groups were developed in [7, 8]. One of our purposes in this paper is to introduce a new class of groups which we call the T_2 -groups and develop some of the properties of these groups. We call a group G a T_2 -group provided that $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group. The next two results provide some of the basic properties of T_2 -groups.

Theorem A. *Let G be a group and let $N \trianglelefteq G$. Then:*

- (i) *If G is a T_2 -group, then G/N is a T_2 -group.*
- (ii) *If $N \leq \Delta(G)$ and G/N is a T_2 -group, then G is a T_2 -group.*
- (iii) *Let $G = H \times K$ for subgroups H and K of G . Then $\Delta(G) = \Delta(H) \times \Delta(K)$.*
- (iv) *If G is a solvable T_2 -group, then G is supersolvable.*
- (v) *G is a T_2 -group if and only if $G/\Phi(G)$ is a T_1 -group.*
- (vi) *If $G/Z_*(G)$ is a T_0 -group, then G is a T_2 -group.*

In Example 4.5 we will see that the converse of part (vi) of Theorem A is false. If G is a solvable group from Example 4.4 or Example 4.6, then G is a T_2 -group which is neither a T_0 -group nor a T_1 -group.

Theorem B. *Let G be a solvable T_2 -group. Then G is a T_0 -group if and only if $\gamma_*(G)$ is a Hall subgroup of G .*

Let G be the group in Example 4.1. G is a solvable T_2 -group which is also a T_1 -group but not a T_0 -group. Note that $\gamma_*(G)$ is not a Hall subgroup of G . Also $\Delta(\text{Fit}(G)) = \text{Fit}(G)$ and $\Delta(G) = Z(G)$. This is very different from how the Frattini subgroup of a group behaves. Recall that if H is a group and $X \trianglelefteq H$, then $\Phi(X) \leq \Phi(H)$. Note that every subgroup of G is a T_2 -group.

Let G be the group in Example 4.2. Then G is a T_0 -group, a T_1 -group, and a T_2 -group.

The group G in Example 4.3 is a T_2 -group and a T_0 -group but not a T_1 -group. The subgroup H of G is neither a T_2 -group nor a T_0 -group. Hence the classes of solvable T_2 -groups and solvable T_0 -groups are not subgroup closed. We note that the class of T_1 -groups is subgroup closed by [7, Lemma 1].

Let H and K be subgroups of the group G . The subgroup H is said to permute with K provided that HK is a subgroup of G , and the subgroup H is said to be permutable (S-permutable) if it permutes with every subgroup (Sylow subgroup) of G . Kegel [12] showed that an S-permutable subgroup of G is subnormal.

A group G is said to be a PST -group (PT -group) if H and K are subgroups of G such that H is S-permutable (permutable) in K and K is S-permutable (permutable) in G , then H is S-permutable (permutable) in G ; PST -groups and PT -groups have been studied in great detail in [1, 3, 4, 8, 13]. By Kegel's result it follows that a group G is a PST -group (PT -group) if and only if the subnormal subgroups of G are S-permutable (permutable).

The next theorem provides a relationship between solvable PST -groups and T_2 -groups.

Theorem C. *Let G be a group. If $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable PST -group, then G is a T_2 -group.*

By a result of Agrawal [1], we are able to characterize solvable T_2 -groups.

Theorem D. *Let G be a solvable group. Then G is a T_2 -group if and only if it satisfies:*

- (i) $\gamma_*(G)\Delta(G)/\Delta(G)$ is an abelian Hall subgroup of $G/\Delta(G)$,
- (ii) G acts by conjugation on $\gamma_*(G)/\Delta(G) \cap \gamma_*(G)$ as a group of power automorphisms.

For a class of groups, X , let X_0 denote the class of groups G such that $G/\Phi(G)$ is an X -group. Likewise, for a class of groups X , let X_2 denote the class of groups G such that $G/\Delta(G)$ is an X -group. In [13], the fourth author proved that the classes of solvable T_0 -groups, solvable PT_0 -groups, and solvable PST_0 -groups are one and the same. A similar result was established in [8, Theorem B]. We will prove a similar result for solvable T_2 -groups.

Theorem E. *The classes of solvable T_2 -groups, solvable PT_2 -groups, and solvable PST_2 -groups are equal.*

Let G be the group in Example 4.3. Then G is a T_0 -group and G has a subgroup which is not a T_0 -group. We also note that G is not a PST -group. However, the following theorem is established in [4].

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a solvable PST -group.
- (ii) Every subgroup of G is a T_0 -group.

A finite group G is said to satisfy property α if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (α_1) every subgroup of G is a T_2 -group,
- (α_2) for every subgroup H of G , $\gamma_*(H)$ is a Hall subgroup of H .

The group in Example 4.1 is a T_2 -group whose subgroups are T_2 -groups; moreover, G is not a T_0 -group and its nilpotent residual is not a Hall subgroup.

Remark 1.3. If G satisfies (α_1), then G is supersolvable.

Theorem F. *Let G be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G satisfies conditions (α_1)–(α_2).
- (ii) Every subgroup of G is a T_0 -group.
- (iii) G is a solvable PST-group.

Theorem G. *Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Every subgroup of G is a T_2 -group.
- (ii) Every subgroup of G is a PT_2 -group.
- (iii) Every subgroup of G is a PST_2 -group.
- (iv) Every subgroup of G is a solvable T_2 -group.

Let p be a prime. A group G satisfies C_p if and only if each subgroup of a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is normal in the normalizer $N_G(P)$. Robinson showed (see [3, Theorem 2.2.2] or [14]) that a group G is a solvable T -group if and only if it is a C_p -group for all primes p .

Let $\Delta_p(G)$ be the Sylow p -subgroup of $\Delta(G)$. Then G satisfies $\overline{C_p}$ if and only if $G/\Delta_p(G)$ is a C_p -group.

Theorem H. *A group G is a solvable T_2 -group if and only if G is a $\overline{C_p}$ -group for all primes p .*

We now consider how the subgroup $\Delta(G)$ provides some interesting information about certain formations. The following information about formations can be found in [5, 10, 15].

A class of groups \mathfrak{F} is called a formation if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) If $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $N \trianglelefteq G$, then $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$.
- (ii) If G is a group and N and M are normal subgroups such that G/N and G/M belong to \mathfrak{F} , then $G/M \cap N \in \mathfrak{F}$.

A formation is said to be saturated provided that if G is a group such that $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Let P denote the set of primes. Any function f from P to the set of formations is called a formation function. Given a formation function f , we define the class of groups $\text{LF}(f)$ satisfying the following condition: $G \in \text{LF}(f)$ if for all chief factors H/K of G and for primes p dividing $|H/K|$, we have $\text{Aut}_G(H/K) = G/C_G(H/K) \in f(p)$. Then the class $\text{LF}(f)$ is a formation. A class of groups \mathfrak{F} is a local formation if there exists a formation function f such that $\mathfrak{F} = \text{LF}(f)$.

Theorem 1.4 ([5, 10]). *A formation \mathfrak{F} is saturated if and only if \mathfrak{F} is local.*

Let \mathfrak{F} be a saturated formation defined locally by the formation function f . Let G be a group and let H/K be a chief factor of G . If for each prime divisor p of $|H/K|$ we have $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(p)$, then H/K is said to be \mathfrak{F} -central. Otherwise H/K is called \mathfrak{F} -eccentric. By Theorem 1.4, a group G belongs to \mathfrak{F} if and only if every chief factor of G is \mathfrak{F} -central.

Let \mathfrak{F} be a saturated formation containing the class of nilpotent groups. The following theorem is important for our last two results.

Theorem 1.5 ([6]). *Let G be a group and let H be a subnormal subgroup of G containing $\Phi(G)$. If $H/\Phi(G)$ belongs to \mathfrak{F} , then H belongs to \mathfrak{F} .*

Using Theorem 1.5 we are able to obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem I. *Let G be a group and let H be a subnormal subgroup of G containing $\Delta(G)$. If $H/\Delta(G)$ belongs to \mathfrak{F} , then H belongs to \mathfrak{F} .*

Theorem J. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a formation containing the class of nilpotent groups. Then \mathfrak{F} is saturated if and only if, for a group G , $G/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

2 Preliminary lemmas

Next we present four lemmas which are needed to prove Theorems A–J.

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). *Let $G = H \times K$. Then $\Phi(G) = \Phi(H) \times \Phi(K)$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *Let R be the nilpotent residual of G and let G be a solvable T_1 -group. Then G is a T_0 -group if and only if R is a Hall subgroup of G .*

Lemma 2.3 ([13]). *Let G be a solvable T_0 -group. Then the nilpotent residual of G is a nilpotent Hall subgroup of G of odd order.*

Lemma 2.4 ([13]). *Let G be a group with nilpotent residual R . Then G is a solvable T_0 -group if and only if G/R' is a solvable PST-group and R is nilpotent.*

3 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem A. Let G be a group and let $N \trianglelefteq G$.

(i) Assume G is a T_2 -group. Then the factor $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group. Since $\Delta(G)N/N \leq \Delta(G/N)$ and a homomorphic image of a T -group is a T -group, it follows that $(G/N)/\Delta(G/N)$ is a T -group. Hence G is a T_2 -group.

(ii) Assume $N \leq \Delta(G)$ and G/N is a T_2 -group. By (i), $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T_2 -group. Since $\Delta(G/\Delta(G)) = 1$, G is a T_2 -group.

(iii) Let $G = H \times K$. By Lemma 2.1, we obtain $\Phi(G) = \Phi(H) \times \Phi(K)$ and $Z(G/\Phi(G)) = Z(H/\Phi(H)) \times Z(K/\Phi(K))$. It now follows by Theorem 1.1 that $\Delta(G)/\Phi(G) = \Delta(H)/\Phi(H) \times \Delta(K)/\Phi(K)$ so that $\Delta(G) = \Delta(H) \times \Delta(K)$.

(iv) Let G be a solvable T_2 -group. Then $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable T -group and hence $G/\Delta(G)$ is supersolvable. Consider $(G/\Phi(G))/(\Delta(G)/\Phi(G))$ which is isomorphic to $G/\Delta(G)$. Thus, by induction, $G/\Phi(G)$ is a solvable T -group and hence supersolvable. It follows that G is supersolvable.

(v) Assume G is a T_2 -group. Then the factor $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group and so $(G/\Phi(G))/(\Delta(G)/\Phi(G))$ is a T -group. But

$$\Delta(G)/\Phi(G) = Z(G/\Phi(G)) = Z_*(G/\Phi(G))$$

so that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a T_1 -group. Conversely, assume that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a T_1 -group. Then it follows that $G/\Delta(G) \simeq (G/\Phi(G))/Z(G/\Phi(G))$ is a T -group and thus G is a T_2 -group.

(vi) Assume that $G/Z_*(G)$ is a T_0 -group. Since $Z_*(G) \leq \Delta(G)$, it follows that $G/\Phi(G) \simeq (G/\Phi(G))/Z(G/\Phi(G))$ is a T -group and so G is a T_2 -group. \square

In Example 4.4 we note that there are T_2 -groups which are neither T_0 -groups nor T_1 -groups.

Proof of Theorem B. Let G be a solvable T_2 -group. First, if G is a T_0 -group, then by Lemma 2.3, $R = \gamma_*(G)$ is a Hall subgroup of G . So, let us assume that R is a Hall subgroup of G . Since G is a T_2 -group, we may assume $\Delta(G) \neq \Phi(G)$. Assume that $\Phi(G) = 1$. Then $\Delta(G) = Z(G)$ by Theorem 1.1 and G is a T_1 -group. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, G is a T_0 -group. We may assume that $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ and $|G/\Phi(G)| < |G|$. By part (i) of Theorem A, $G/\Phi(G)$ is a solvable T_2 -group and $R\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ is a Hall subgroup and it is the nilpotent residual of $G/\Phi(G)$. By induction on $|G|$, it follows that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a T_0 -group whence G is as well. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let $G/\Delta(G)$ be a solvable PST -group. By part (v) of Theorem A, $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T_1 -group. Since $Z_*(G/\Delta(G)) \leq \Delta(G/\Delta(G)) = 1$, it follows that $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T_2 -group. By part (ii) of Theorem A, G is a solvable T_2 -group. \square

Proof of Theorem D. Assume that G is a solvable T_2 -group. Then $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group and by [13, Theorem 3 (i) and (iii)], (i) and (ii) hold.

Conversely, assume (i) and (ii) are satisfied by $G/\Delta(G)$. By [13, Theorem 3 (i) and (iii)], $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable PST -group and by Theorem C, G is a solvable T_2 -group. \square

Proof of Theorem E. Let G be a solvable PST_2 -group. Then $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable PST -group and, by Theorem C, G is a solvable T_2 -group. Equality of the classes follows easily now as it is clear that $\mathfrak{S} \cap T_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \cap PT_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \cap PST_2$, where \mathfrak{S} is the class solvable groups. \square

Proof of Remark 1.3. Let G be a group satisfying condition (α_1) . Then every subgroup of G is a T_2 -group. Hence, by induction, every subgroup of G is supersolvable. By a well-known result of Huppert, [15, Theorem 10.3.4], G is solvable. Thus, by part (iv) of Theorem A, G is supersolvable. \square

Proof of Theorem F. Let G be a group that satisfies condition α . By Remark 1.3, G is supersolvable. Therefore, by Theorem B, every subgroup of G is a T_0 -group and so (i) implies (ii).

Now assume (ii) holds. Then G is a solvable PST -group by Theorem 1.2 and (iii) holds.

Assume (iii). Then every subgroup of G is also a solvable PST -group. Let H be a subgroup of G . Then H is a solvable T_2 -group by Theorem C. By Agrawal, [1, Theorem 1], the nilpotent residual of H is a Hall subgroup of H . Thus, G satisfies property α and (i) holds completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem G. The implications (i) implies (ii), (ii) implies (iii), and (iv) implies (i) are clear.

Let us show (iii) implies (iv). Assume that every subgroup of G is a PST_2 -group. Then every proper subgroup is a solvable T_2 -group by induction. Then, by part (iv) of Theorem A, we have that every proper subgroup of G is supersolvable. By a result of Huppert (see [15, Theorem 10.3.4]), G is a solvable group. Hence G is a solvable PST_2 -group and by Theorem E, G is a solvable T_2 -group. \square

Proof of Theorem H. Assume G is a \overline{C}_p -group for all primes. We must show the factor $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable T -group, or equivalently, $G/\Delta(G)$ is a C_q -group for all primes q . Assume q does not divide $|\Delta(G)|$. Then G is a C_q -group so that

$G/\Delta(G)$ is a C_q -group. Now assume that q divides $|\Delta(G)|$ and let $\Delta(G)_q$ be the Sylow q -subgroup of $\Delta(G)$. Then the factor $G/\Delta(G)_q$ is a C_q -group and by [8, Lemma 2 (iii)], we have that $(G/\Delta(G)_q)/(\Delta(G)/\Delta(G)_q)$ is a C_q -group. Now $\Delta(G/\Delta_q) = \Delta(G)/\Delta(G)_q$ so that $G/\Delta(G)$ is a C_q -group. Therefore, $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable T -group and G is a solvable T_2 -group.

Conversely, assume that $G/\Delta(G)$ is a solvable T -group. We want to show that G is a \overline{C}_q -group for all primes q . Assume q divides $|\Delta(G)|$. Then $G/\Delta(G)_q$ is a solvable T_2 -group by part (ii) of Theorem A. If q does not divide $|G/\Delta(G)_q|$, then G is a C_q -group and hence a \overline{C}_q -group. Now q does not divide $|\Delta(G)/\Delta(G)_q|$ and hence, by induction, $G/\Delta(G)_q$ is a \overline{C}_q -group. This means $G/\Delta(G)_q$ is a C_q -group and G is a \overline{C}_q -group.

Now assume that q does not divide $|\Delta(G)|$. Then $G/\Delta(G)$ is a C_q -group. Now $\Delta(G)$ is a q' -group and one has $G/\Delta(G) \in C_q$ so that G is a C_q -group by [8, Lemma 2 (ii)]. Thus G is a \overline{C}_q -group and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem I. Let \mathfrak{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathfrak{N} . By [10, Proposition IV, 3.8], there is a unique formation function, say f , defining \mathfrak{F} which is integrated and full.

Let G be a group and H a subnormal subgroup of G such that $H/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. We are to show $H \in \mathfrak{F}$. Note that

$$\Phi(G) \subseteq \Delta(G) \subseteq H$$

and

$$(H/\Phi(G))/(\Delta(G)/\Phi(G)) \simeq H/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Assume $\Phi(G) \neq 1$. By induction on $|G|$, it follows that $H/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. By Theorem 1.5, $H \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence, we may assume that $\Delta(G) = Z(G)$. Let K/L be a chief factor of H such that $Z(G) \subseteq L \subseteq K \subseteq H$. Then the factor K/L is \mathfrak{F} -central since $H/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Next let Y/X be a p -chief factor of H below $\Delta(G)$. Then Y/X is central in H and so $1 = G/C_H(Y/X) \in f(p)$. This means that each chief factor of H is \mathfrak{F} -central and hence $H \in \mathfrak{F}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem J. Let \mathfrak{F} be a formation containing \mathfrak{N} . Assume first that \mathfrak{F} is saturated and G is a group such that $G/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. By Theorem I it follows that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Conversely, assume that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. We are to show \mathfrak{F} is saturated. Let G be a group such that $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. We must show $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Consider the group $(G/\Phi(G))/(\Delta(G)/\Phi(G)) \simeq G/\Delta(G)$. Now $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ and so $G/\Delta(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ being a homomorphic image of $G/\Phi(G)$. Therefore, $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and \mathfrak{F} is saturated. \square

4 Examples

Example 4.1. Let X be a non-abelian group of order pq where p and q are distinct primes such that q divides $p - 1$. Let Y be a group of order p and put $G = X \times Y$. Then $\Phi(G) = 1$, $\Delta(G) = Z(G) = Y$ and $G/\Delta(G) \simeq X$ which is a T -group. Thus G is a T_1 -group and a T_2 -group. Note G is not a T_0 -group. Let W be the Sylow p -subgroup of X and note that $\gamma_*(G) = W$ which is not a Hall subgroup of G . Also, $\Delta(F(G)) = F(G) = W \times Y$, the Fitting subgroup of G . Thus $\Delta(G) = Y \leq \Delta(F(G))$. Recall that $\Phi(H) \leq \Phi(M)$ where H is a normal subgroup of the group M and the Δ -subgroup does not satisfy this property of the Frattini subgroup of a group.

Example 4.2. Let $E = \langle x, y \mid x^3 = y^3 = [x, y] = [[x, y], x] = [[x, y], y] = 1 \rangle$ so that E is an extra-special 3-group of order 27 and exponent 3. There is an automorphism σ of E of order 2 given by $x^\sigma = x^{-1}$, $y^\sigma = y^{-1}$ and $[x, y]^\sigma = [x, y]$. Let $G = E \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then $Z(G) = Z(E) = \Phi(E) = \Phi(G)$ and G is both a T_1 -group and a T_0 -group; G is also a T_2 -group with $Z(G) = \langle [x, y] \rangle$ and $\Delta(G) = \Phi(G)$.

Example 4.3. Let $P = \langle x, y \mid x^5 = y^5 = [x, y]^5 = 1 \rangle$ be an extra-special group of order 125 and exponent 5. Let $z = [x, y]$ and note that $Z(P) = \Phi(P) = \langle z \rangle$. Note that P has an automorphism a of order 4 given by $x^a = x^2$, $y^a = y^2$, and $z^a = z^4 = z^{-1}$. Put $G = P \rtimes \langle a \rangle$. Now $Z(G) = 1$, $\Delta(G) = \langle z \rangle$, and $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group. Thus G is a T_2 -group and note it is also a T_0 -group. Let $H = \langle y, z, a \rangle$ and notice that $\Phi(H) = \Delta(H) = 1$. Further observe that H is not a T -group since $\gamma_*(H) = \langle y, z \rangle$ and a does not act as a power automorphism on the abelian subgroup $\gamma_*(H)$. This means that H is neither a T_2 -group nor a T_0 -group. Therefore, the class of solvable T_2 -groups is not subgroup-closed. Likewise, the class of solvable T_0 -groups is not subgroup-closed.

Example 4.4. Let p be an odd prime and let $C = \langle x \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p^2 . Let $S = \langle y, z \mid y^p = z^2 = 1, y^z = y^{-1} \rangle$ be a dihedral group of order $2p$. Let S act on C as follows: $x^z = x^{-1}$ and $x^y = x$. Put $H = C \rtimes S$. Note that $\Phi(H) = \langle x^p \rangle$ and $Z(H) = 1$. Further observe that H is a solvable T_0 -group and a T_2 -group but not a T_1 -group.

Let $G = H \times C_p$ where C_p is a cyclic group of order p . Note that $\Phi(G) = \langle x^p \rangle$ and $Z(G) = C_p$ is the hypercenter of G . G is neither a T_0 -group nor a T_1 -group. Now $\Delta(G)/\Phi(G) = Z(G/\Phi(G)) \simeq C_p$ and so G is a T_2 -group.

Example 4.5. Let $C = \langle x \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order 9 and $D = \langle y, z \rangle = \langle y \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order 6 with $|y| = 3$ and $|z| = 2$ where D is viewed as the automorphism group of C . Put $G = C \rtimes D$. Then $\Phi(G) = \Phi(C) = \langle x^3 \rangle$,

$Z_*(G) = 1$ and $G/\Phi(G)$ is not a T -group. Note that $\Delta(G) = \langle x^3 \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ and $G/\Delta(G)$ is a T -group. Hence G is a T_2 -group but not a T_0 -group.

Example 4.6. Let $G = P \rtimes \langle a \rangle$ be the group in Example 4.3 and let $X = G \times \langle t \rangle$ where $\langle t \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order 5. Then X is a solvable T_2 -group which is neither a T_0 -group nor a T_1 -group.

Bibliography

- [1] R. K. Agrawal, [Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups](#), *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47** (1975), 77–83.
- [2] M. Asaad and M. Ramadan, On the intersection of maximal subgroups of a finite group, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **61** (1993), no. 3, 206–214.
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. Asaad, *Products of Finite Groups*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. 53, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010.
- [4] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, On a class of p -soluble groups, *Algebra Colloq.* **12** (2005), no. 2, 263–267.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L. M. Ezquerro, *Classes of Finite Groups*, Springer Math. Appl. 584, Springer-Verlag, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches and M. D. Perez-Ramos, On \mathfrak{F} -subnormal subgroups and Frattini-like subgroups of a finite group, *Glasg. Math. J.* **36** (1994), no. 2, 241–247.
- [7] J. C. Beidleman and H. Heineken, Groups in which the hypercentral factor group is a T -group, *Ric. Mat.* **55** (2006), no. 2, 219–225.
- [8] J. C. Beidleman, H. Heineken and M. F. Ragland, On hypercentral factor groups from certain classes, *J. Group Theory* **11** (2008), no. 4, 525–535.
- [9] J. C. Beidleman and T. K. Seo, Generalized Frattini subgroups of finite groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **23** (1967), 441–450.
- [10] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. 4 Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] W. Gaschütz, Über die Φ -Untergruppe endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **58** (1953), 160–170.
- [12] O. H. Kegel, [Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen](#), *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [13] M. F. Ragland, Generalizations of groups in which normality is transitive, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), no. 10, 3242–3252.
- [14] D. J. S. Robinson, A note on finite groups in which normality is transitive, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 933–937.

- [15] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [16] R. W. van der Waall and A. Fransman, On products of groups for which normality is a transitive relation on their Frattini factor groups, *Quaest. Math.* **19** (1996), no. 1–2, 59–82.

Received May 22, 2013.

Author information

Adolfo Ballester-Bolínches, Departament d'Àlgebra, Universitat de València,
Dr. Moliner, 50, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain.
E-mail: Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es

James C. Beidleman, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, KY 40605-0027, USA.
E-mail: clark@ms.uky.edu

Hermann Heineken, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Würzburg,
Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany.
E-mail: heineken@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Matthew F. Ragland, Department of Mathematics, Auburn University at Montgomery,
P.O. Box 244023, Montgomery, AL 36124-4023, USA.
E-mail: mragland@aum.edu

Jack Schmidt, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, KY 40605-0027, USA.
E-mail: jack.schmidt@uky.edu