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Abstract

The Huntington’s disease gene (\textit{HTT}) CAG repeat mutation undergoes somatic expansion that correlates with pathogenesis. Modifiers of somatic expansion may therefore provide routes for therapies targeting the underlying mutation, an approach that is likely applicable to other trinucleotide repeat diseases. Huntington’s disease \textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\) mice exhibit higher levels of somatic \textit{HTT} CAG expansion on a C57BL/6 genetic background (B6.\textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\)) than on a 129 background (129.\textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\)). Linkage mapping in (B6x129).\textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\) F2 intercross animals identified a single quantitative trait locus underlying the strain-specific difference in expansion in the striatum, implicating mismatch repair (MMR) gene \textit{Mlh1} as the most likely candidate modifier. Crossing B6.\textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\) mice onto an \textit{Mlh1} null background demonstrated that \textit{Mlh1} is essential for somatic CAG expansions and that it is an enhancer of nuclear huntingtin accumulation in striatal neurons. \textit{Hdh}\(^{Q111}\) somatic expansion was also abolished in mice deficient in the \textit{Mlh3} gene, implicating MutL\(\alpha\) (MLH1–MLH3) complex as a key driver of somatic expansion. Strikingly, \textit{Mlh1} and \textit{Mlh3} genes encoding MMR effector proteins were as critical to somatic expansion as \textit{Msh2} and \textit{Msh3} genes encoding DNA mismatch recognition complex MutS\(\beta\) (MSH2–MSH3). The \textit{Mlh1} locus is highly polymorphic between B6 and 129 strains. While we were unable to detect any difference in base-base mismatch or short slipped-repeat repair activity between B6 and 129 \textit{MLH1} variants, repair efficiency was \textit{MLH1} dose-dependent. \textit{MLH1} mRNA and protein levels were significantly decreased in 129 mice compared to B6 mice, consistent with a dose-sensitive \textit{MLH1}-dependent DNA repair mechanism underlying the somatic expansion difference between these strains. Together, these data identify \textit{Mlh1} and \textit{Mlh3} as novel critical genetic modifiers of \textit{HTT} CAG instability, point to \textit{Mlh1} genetic variation as the likely source of the instability difference in B6 and 129 strains and suggest that \textit{MLH1} protein levels play an important role in driving of the efficiency of somatic expansions.
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Introduction

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a fatal, dominantly inherited neurodegenerative disease, which is caused by the expansion of a CAG repeat within exon 1 of the \textit{HTT} gene, resulting in an extended glutamine tract in the huntingtin protein (HTT) [1]. The length of the longer CAG repeat tract is the primary determinant of age of disease onset [2]. However, precise disease expression and timing are clearly modifiable by other factors, with strong evidence supporting the contribution of genetic factors [3,4]. The identification of such factors could lead to the development of novel therapeutic interventions that modify the nature and/or pace of the HD-associated pathogenic process, and is being pursued via a number of candidate and global genetic approaches [5]. The expanded \textit{HTT} CAG repeat is highly unstable both in the germline and in somatic tissues [6–13]. In somatic tissues instability is expansion-biased and prevalent in brain regions that are most susceptible to neurodegeneration [7]. Approximately 10% of expanded \textit{HTT} CAG alleles are further expanded by at least 10 repeats in human HD postmortem brain, with dramatic increases of up to 1,000 repeats also occurring, albeit at a lower frequency [7,11]. Given the strong CAG length-dependence of disease onset and severity, somatic expansion is predicted to accelerate the disease process. Mathematical modeling has suggested a mechanism by which somatic expansion beyond a threshold repeat length is required for clinical onset [14]. Whether in fact somatic expansion beyond a typically inherited repeat length of 40–50 CAGs is required for disease onset is unclear.
Author Summary

The expansion of a CAG repeat underlies Huntington’s disease (HD), with longer CAG tracts giving rise to earlier onset and more severe disease. In individuals harboring a CAG expansion the repeat undergoes further somatic expansion over time, particularly in brain cells most susceptible to disease pathogenesis. Preventing this repeat lengthening may delay disease onset and/or slow progression. We are using mouse models of HD to identify the factors that modify the somatic expansion of the HD CAG repeat, as these may provide novel targets for therapeutic intervention. To identify genetic modifiers of somatic expansion in HD mouse models we have used both an unbiased genetic mapping approach in inbred mouse strains that exhibit different levels of somatic expansion, as well as targeted gene knockout approaches. Our results demonstrate that: 1) Mlh1 and Mlh3 genes, encoding components of the DNA mismatch repair pathway, are critical for somatic CAG expansion; 2) in the absence of somatic expansion the pathogenic process in the mouse is slowed; 3) MLH1 protein levels are likely to be a driver of the efficiency of somatic expansion. Together, our data provide new insight into the factors underlying the process of somatic expansion of the HD CAG repeat.

Nevertheless the hypothesis that somatic expansion is at least a disease modifier is supported by the finding that longer somatic HTT CAG expansions are associated with an earlier residual disease onset (onset unexplained by inherited CAG length) in HD patients [11]. These data suggest that factors that modify somatic instability will also modify disease and could be targeted to delay onset or progression of HD.

Identification of modifier genes in the mouse has the potential to provide insight into disease pathways at the earliest stages of the pathogenic process. To study mechanisms of HTT CAG instability and pathogenesis in the mouse we have developed a series of accurate genetic Huntington’s disease homologues (Hdh or Htt) CAG knock-in mice [15–17] that provide powerful tools to uncover genetic modifiers of early dominant, CAG knock-in mice [15–17] that provide powerful tools to identify genetic modifiers of early dominant, CAG-dependent process [18–20]. Similar studies using various mouse models of HD and other trinucleotide repeat diseases support a model in which somatic expansions are a driver of the efficiency of somatic expansion. Together, our data provide new insight into the factors underlying the process of somatic expansion of the HD CAG repeat.

An alternative approach for identifying modifiers in the mouse is to take advantage of naturally occurring strain-specific phenotypic variation. Interestingly, mouse strain-specific differences in trinucleotide repeat instability [17,22,29] and various HD mouse model phenotypes [17,30,31] have been identified. Notably, strain-specific differences in the instability of the HTT CAG repeat in B6/129 transgenic mice were recently found to be associated with polymorphisms in the Msh3 gene [29]. With the aim of performing unbiased genetic screens for HTT CAG-dependent phenotypes we have generated congenic HdhQ111 mice on several different genetic backgrounds [17]. In a comparison of congenic B6.HdhQ111, FVB.HdhQ111 and 129.HdhQ111 strains we previously showed that intergenerational HTT CAG instability, somatic HTT CAG instability, diffusely immunostaining nuclear huntingtin and intranuclear inclusions in striatal neurons were modified by genetic background [17], providing the opportunity to perform unbiased searches for genetic modifiers of HTT CAG-dependent events. Here, we set out to perform a genetic linkage study with the aim of mapping genetic modifier(s) of somatic HTT CAG instability in HdhQ111 mice, in order to gain further insight into factors underlying somatic instability with the potential to uncover novel targets for slowing somatic instability and/or early events in the HD pathogenic process.

Results

Quantification of somatic instability in congenic HdhQ111 mice

Our previous qualitative analyses revealed high and low levels of HTT CAG instability in striata from B6.HdhQ111/+ and 129.HdhQ111/+ mice, respectively, at both 10 and 20 weeks of age [17]. At 10 weeks of age B6.HdhQ111/+ striata displayed a broadened and expansion-biased CAG length distribution, in contrast to 129.HdhQ111/+ mice that display very low levels of somatic expansion (Figure 1A and [17]). By 20 weeks of age a bimodal CAG length distribution is apparent in B6.HdhQ111/+ striata, while 129.HdhQ111/+ show a broadened CAG distribution similar to that in B6.HdhQ111/+ striata at 10 weeks of age (Figure S1 and [17]). We were interested in identifying early-acting modifiers of instability, and therefore we determined whether the difference in instability in B6 and 129 strains at 10 weeks of age could be captured as a quantitative trait for genetic mapping experiments. We thus quantified a somatic “instability index” from GeneMapper traces of PCR-amplified HTT CAG repeats from B6.HdhQ111/+ and 129.HdhQ111/+ striata using a previously described method [32]. In addition, given the observation of high levels of HTT CAG instability in the liver of CD1.HdhQ111/+ mice [33], we also quantified instability indices in B6.HdhQ111/+ and 129.HdhQ111/+ livers. In concordance with our previous qualitative assessment [17], the quantification of instability in striatum and liver of 10-week-old mice revealed significantly higher levels in B6.HdhQ111/+ mice compared to 129.HdhQ111/+ mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p<0.0001 for both striatum and liver; Figure 1B). Note that there was a significant difference in the constitutive CAG repeat size between these B6 and 129 mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p<0.0001; Figure S2); While CAG length could, in principle, account for at least some of the difference in instability between strains, our previous analyses demonstrated a strain-specific difference in instability that was unaccounted for by CAG size alone [17], strongly indicating that identification of additional instability modifiers would be plausible. Striatal instability indices from the two strains were quite distinct (Figure 1B and Figure 2A), indicating that the instability index was likely to provide a sensitive quantitative trait for mapping genetic modifiers. Liver instability
Figure 1. Somatic HTT CAG instability differs between B6.HdhQ111/+ and 129.HdhQ111/+ mice. (A) Representative GeneMap profiles of HTT CAG repeat size distributions in the tail, striatum and liver of 10-week-old B6.HdhQ111/+ and 129.HdhQ111/+ mice, highlighting the altered contribution of B6 and 129 genetic background to somatic HTT CAG repeat expansion, as previously described [17]. Tail and striatum: B6.HdhQ111/+ CAG116; 129.HdhQ111/+ CAG112. Liver: B6.HdhQ111/+ CAG113; 129.HdhQ111/+ CAG111. (B) Quantification of CAG instability index reveals a statistically significant decrease in somatic HTT CAG instability in the striatum and liver of 129.HdhQ111/+ mice compared to B6.HdhQ111/+ mice. B6.HdhQ111/+ striatum, n = 10, CAG116.9±1.25D; B6.HdhQ111/+ liver, n = 10, CAG114.3±1.25D; 129.HdhQ111/+ striatum, n = 12, CAG110.9±1.25D; 129.HdhQ111/+ liver, n = 9, CAG109.5±1.45D; Bar graphs represent mean ± SD; ****, p<0.0001. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003930.g001

indices were less well separated between the two strains (Figure 1B), predicting less power in the ability to identify genetic modifiers of liver instability than striatal instability. 

Identification of a quantitative trait locus associated with somatic HTT CAG instability

Based on the findings above we used striatal instability index, which showed very good separation between B6 and 129 strains, as a quantitative phenotype for linkage mapping. Analyses of HTT CAG instability in striata from (B6x129).HdhQ111/+ F1 mice showed comparable instability indices to those in B6.HdhQ111/+ mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p = 0.11), and significantly higher instability indices than in 129.HdhQ111/+ mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p<0.0001) (Figure 2A), suggesting the presence of a B6 genetic locus or loci that dominantly enhance HTT CAG expansion. While these data were consistent with a dominant B6 modifier(s) we established an F2 intercross in order to search in an unbiased manner for both dominant and recessive modifier loci [34]. Instability indices were quantified from the striata of 69 10-week-old (B6x129).HdhQ111/+ F2 animals (Figure 2A). We observed no correlation between constitutive CAG size and striatal CAG instability in the F2 intercross mice (Pearson correlation: R2 = 0.011, p = 0.39), implying the contribution of other genetic factors to the difference in HTT CAG instability between the two strains. Note that the genetic background of the region surrounding the HdhQ111 allele in both strains is 129 due to the etiology of the targeted ES cells, ruling out the possibility of identifying interacting modifiers. The F2 intercross mice were genotyped using an initial panel of 117 SNPs that distinguishes B6 and 129 strains (Figure S3 and Table S1). Linkage analysis identified a single quantitative trait locus (QTL) on chromosome 9 associated with striatal HTT CAG instability with a peak LOD score of approximately 11 (Figure S4). Notably, the MMR gene Mlh1 is located within this interval (Figure S5). As MMR genes Msh2 and Msh3 had been previously established as modifiers of somatic CAG repeat expansion in HdhQ111/+ mice [18-20], additional members of this pathway would be strongly indicated as potential modifiers. In an attempt to primarily enhance resolution at this QTL, but also to specifically investigate the Mlh1 gene, we genotyped the F2 animals for 10 additional markers distributed across the QTL region, including two markers located within the Mlh1 gene (Figure S3 and Table S1). We also genotyped additional markers to improve overall genome coverage and specifically the coverage of the Msh2 and Msh3 genes. Subsequent linkage analysis that included these additional markers (total 147 SNPs) not only confirmed the mapping of a single QTL on chromosome 9 (Figure 3), but also significantly narrowed down the implicated genomic region to an interval of approximately 5 Mb (chr9:107,982,655–113,057,967; GRCh38/mm10) (Figure S6). This genomic region, which represents a 95% confidence interval, is defined by the markers encompassing a 2-LOD drop-off from the peak LOD score [35]. Interestingly, the markers at the Mlh1 locus defined the QTL peak, which was significantly increased to a LOD score of approximately 14 (Figure 3 and Figure S6). We did not find any evidence for linkage to the Msh2 or Msh3 genes on chromosomes 17 and 13, respectively (Figure 2B and Figure 3). Further, the effect of the QTL was consistent with the B6 allele in both strains is 129 due to the etiology of the targeted ES cells, ruling out the possibility of identifying interacting modifiers based on our genetic data. However, none of these genes has been shown or is suspected to be involved in repeat instability. Past observations that the MMR pathway plays a major role in modulating somatic HTT CAG instability, together with the highest LOD scores observed with two markers that were located within the Mlh1 gene, strongly suggest that this MMR gene is a likely candidate modifier underlying the chromosome 9 QTL.

Mlh1 is a modifier of somatic HTT CAG instability and nuclear mutant huntingtin

Based on our above findings we hypothesized that Mlh1 was a modifier of somatic HTT CAG expansion. Therefore, to investigate the role of the Mlh1 gene in somatic HTT CAG expansion we crossed B6.HdhQ111/+ and Mlh1 null mice (B6) [36]...
and quantified CAG repeat size distributions in tail, striatum and liver of 22-week-old B6.HdhQ111/+ animals on Mlh1+/+ and Mlh1−/− genetic backgrounds (Figure 4). By 22 weeks a bimodal repeat size distribution was apparent both in striatum and liver of Mlh1+/+ mice, as previously shown [33]. Mlh1+/− mice exhibited similar levels of instability in striatum and liver to those in Mlh1+/+ mice (2-tailed unpaired t-tests: striatum, p = 0.30; liver, p = 0.47). However, no instability was present in either of these tissues in Mlh1−/− mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p < 0.0001 compared to Mlh1+/−). These findings demonstrate that Mlh1 is absolutely required for somatic HTT CAG expansions in B6.HdhQ111/+ mice, and provide compelling evidence that genetic differences between B6 and 129 strains at the Mlh1 gene are likely to underlie the difference in somatic instability between these two strains. Note that the effect of the Mlh1 knockout is to eliminate somatic HTT expansion at 22 weeks of age, while the 129 genetic background results in reduced somatic expansion at the same age (Figure S1). Therefore, if Mlh1 genetic variants do indeed underlie
the difference in striatal instability between B6 and 129 strains, such variants are likely to confer a moderate effect on MLH1.

We have previously shown that deletion of mismatch repair genes *Msh2* or *Msh3* is sufficient to delay the accumulation/epitope accessibility of diffusely immunostained mutant huntingtin in the nuclei of striatal neurons [18–20]. This early phenotype, which is both dominant and CAG length-dependent [16], is a sensitive marker of the ongoing pathogenic process in these mice.

To determine whether *Mlh1* also modified this phenotype we quantified diffusely-immunostained nuclear huntingtin in striatal sections of 22-week-old B6.*HdhQ111/+* animals on *Mlh1*+/+*, *Mlh1*+/− and *Mlh1*−/− genetic backgrounds (Figure 5). Nuclear huntingtin immunostaining intensity was reduced in *Mlh1*+/− striata to approximately 60% of *Mlh1*+/+ levels, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (2-tailed unpaired *t*-test: *p* = 0.06). In *Mlh1*−/− striata nuclear huntingtin immunostaining intensity was dramatically reduced to approximately 18% of *Mlh1*+/+ levels (2-tailed unpaired *t*-test: *p* = 0.0018). Together, these findings reveal *Mlh1* as a genetic enhancer both of somatic expansion and of an early CAG length-dependent phenotype in B6.*HdhQ111/+* mice, supporting the hypothesis that somatic expansion accelerates HTT CAG-dependent events.

**Figure 3. Identification of a quantitative trait locus (QTL) associated with striatal HTT CAG instability.** Linkage analysis in 10-week-old (B6x129).*HdhQ111/+* F2 mice (n = 69) identified a single QTL on chromosome 9, with a maximum LOD score of approximately 14 and a 2-LOD-dropoff interval of 5 Mb (chr9:107,982,655–113,057,967; GRCm38/mm10) (Figure S6). Note that the 2 markers positioned within the *Mlh1* gene (dbSNP rs30131926 and rs30174694) define the QTL peak. The red dashed line represents the threshold (LOD = 4.3) considered for the identification of significant QTLs [85]. The coordinates (cM) of the 147 genetic markers used are represented by open triangles. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003930.g003
MLH3 is a modifier of somatic HTT CAG repeat instability

Given the critical role of MLH1 in somatic HTT CAG expansion we were interested in investigating further this MLH1-mediated pathway. It is known that MLH1 is an obligate subunit of three MutL complexes: MutLα (MLH1-PMS2), MutLβ (MLH1-PMS1) and MutLγ (MLH1–MLH3) (reviewed in [37,38]). These MutL heterodimers are essential downstream factors in MMR and are recruited to the MMR reaction following the binding of mismatched DNA by MutSα (MSH2–MSH6) or MutSβ (MSH2–MSH3). Outside of its role in meiotic recombination [39], MutLγ appears to function predominantly with MutSβ both in somatic and germ cells [40,41]. Given the specific requirement for MutSβ in somatic CAG expansion in HdhQ111 mice [19] and other mouse models of CAG/CTG disease [22,25,26], we hypothesized that MLH3 may also play a major role in somatic expansion. A role for MLH3 had also been

**Figure 4.** Mlh1 is required for striatal and liver HTT CAG repeat instability in B6.HdhQ111/+ mice. (A) Representative GeneMapper profiles of HTT CAG repeat size distributions in the tail, striatum and liver of 22-week-old B6.HdhQ111/+ mice on different Mlh1 genetic backgrounds. Mlh1+/+, CAG113; Mlh1−/-, CAG113; Mlh1−/−, CAG110. (B) Quantification of striatal and liver HTT CAG instability indices in these mice reveals a statistically significant decrease in HTT CAG instability in the absence of Mlh1. Mlh1+/+, CAG115.3±4.9SD, n=6; Mlh1−/−, CAG112.0±2.1SD, n=6; Mlh1−/−, CAG109.3±2.6SD, n=6. Bar graphs represent mean ±SD. ****, p<0.0001.

**Figure 5.** Mlh1 is an enhancer of nuclear mutant huntingtin immunostaining in B6.HdhQ111/+ mice. (A) Representative EM48 immunostained histological sections from striata of 22-week-old B6.HdhQ111/+ mice on different Mlh1 genetic backgrounds. Mlh1+/+, CAG110; Mlh1−/-, CAG108; Mlh1−/−, CAG110. (B) Quantification of diffuse nuclear EM48 staining demonstrates a statistically significant reduction in the absence of Mlh1. Mlh1+/+, CAG115.3±4.9SD, n=6; Mlh1−/−, CAG112.0±2.1SD, n=6; Mlh1−/−, CAG109.2±2.9SD, n=5. Bar graphs represent mean ±SD. **, p<0.01.
suggested from findings in a mouse model of myotonic dystrophy type 1 in which knockout of Pme2, encoding MLH1’s major binding partner, reduced the rate of somatic CTG expansion by ~50%, but did not eliminate somatic expansions [24]. We therefore crossed B6.HdhQ111 with Mlh3 null mice (B6) [39] and quantified HTT CAG repeat size distributions in the tail, striatum and liver of 24-week-old B6.HdhQ111/+ animals on Mlh3+/+ and Mlh3−− mice (Table S7). Slightly reduced striatum- and liver-specific CAG instability was observed in Mlh3−− mice when compared to Mlh3+/+ animals (2-tailed unpaired t-tests: striatum, p = 0.06; liver, p = 0.03). Interestingly, no instability was present in Mlh3−−−− mice (Figure 6). Slightly reduced striatum- and liver-specific CAG instability was observed in Mlh3−− mice when compared to Mlh3+/+ animals (2-tailed unpaired t-tests: striatum or liver (2 tailed unpaired t-tests: p<0.0001 for both tissues compared to Mlh3+/+)), demonstrating, as for MLH1, that MLH3 is absolutely required for somatic HTT CAG instability in B6.HdhQ111 mice, and implying that MutY dimers act in this process. The slight reduction of instability in Mlh3+/− mice (Figure 6), not apparent in Mlh1+/− mice (Figure 4) suggests that Mlh3 may be a limiting factor in somatic expansion, as previously reported for Msh3 [19,26]. The relatively strong impacts of heterozygous loss of Mlh3 and Msh3 compared to heterozygous loss of the Mlh1 and Msh2 genes encoding their respective binding partners may be explained in part by the lower levels of MSH3 compared to MSH2 and of MLH3 compared to MLH1 [42,43].

The Mlh1 locus is highly polymorphic between B6 and 129 strains

While our linkage peak contained many genes, given the finding that Mlh1 is necessary for somatic HTT CAG expansion, we focused on this gene as the most likely candidate modifier at the linked chromosome 9 locus. We initially investigated polymorphisms at the Mlh1 locus between C57BL/6NJcrl and 129S2/SvPasCrlf strains (in which the QTL mapping was carried out) by sequencing all Mlh1 exons as well as the immediate 5' and 3' flanking regions (2.6 kb and 2.3 kb respectively). A relatively high frequency of SNPs was identified in the 5' UTR of Mlh1 (8 SNPs in an 84 bp region), and a single SNP was detected in the 3' UTR (Table 1). We also identified 14 exonic SNPs, 4 of which result in an amino acid change: F192I, E390D, G404V and M528I (Figure 7). A subsequent investigation of the Mlh1 locus in the highly related C57BL/6NJ and 129S1/SvImJ strains using whole genome sequencing data from the Mouse Genomes Project [44,45] confirmed all of the B6-129 polymorphisms initially identified by us by Sanger sequencing. It also resulted in the identification of a large number of additional polymorphisms between B6 and 129 strains, dispersed throughout the entire Mlh1 locus (Table 1 and Figure S7). In total, 642 polymorphisms were identified in a 64 kb region encompassing the Mlh1 gene, averaging approximately 10 polymorphisms per kb. In comparison to the average genome wide variation between B6 and 129 strains of 2.4 polymorphisms per kb the Mlh1 gene exhibits a high degree of variation, with only 5.9% of the genome displaying a relative density greater than or equal to 10 polymorphism per kb (see Materials and Methods and [44]). It is noteworthy that the haplotype across this 64 kb region in FVB/N and DBA/2J strains that display similar high somatic HTT CAG instability to B6 strains is highly similar to the B6 haplotype (Figure S7 and Figure S8). While this finding was consistent with a B6-like haplotype at the Mlh1 locus underlying...
high instability, the relatedness of the B6, FVB/N and DBA/2J haplotypes did not provide the means to further refine the putative instability-associated region(s).

All 4 nonsynonymous SNPs are suspected to be in key protein domains: F192I falls within the putative ATP binding domain, though outside conserved ATP binding motifs [46]; E390D and G404V are within a domain thought to be necessary for interaction with MSH3 [41], and M528I is in a region implicated in interaction with MSH3, EXO1, MLH3, PMS1 and PMS2 [41] (Figure 7A). Note that none of these variants has been identified in human MLH1 [47]. Cross-species alignment of MLH1 proteins (Figure 7B) shows that the Phe residue at aa192 of the B6 MLH1 protein was fully conserved across the organisms investigated, with an Ile residue at this position present in 129 strains. At positions 390 and 528 the B6-like amino acid is highly conserved, mainly in higher organisms, while the 129-like amino acid at position 528 is also well represented, particularly among lower organisms. In contrast, aa404 is poorly conserved. While none of the SNP variants alters the general chemical similarity of the amino acids, the conservation data indicate that the F192I substitution may have a functional impact. This is supported by PolyPhen-2 analysis [48] predicting E390D, G404V and M528I to be “benign”, but predicting the F192I mutation to be “probably damaging” with a maximum confidence score.

### Table 1. The Mlh1 locus is highly polymorphic between B6 and 129 strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genomic region</th>
<th>Number of polymorphisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5' of Mlh1 (16 kb)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mlh1 (43 kb)</td>
<td>5' UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3' UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3' of Mlh1 (5 kb)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (64 kb)</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nonsynonymous SNPs.

DNA polymorphisms (SNPs, short indels and structural variants) identified at a 64 kb region surrounding the Mlh1 locus (chr9:111,223,496-111,287,496; GRCm38/mm10) as determined by Sanger sequencing (C57BL/6N vs. 129S2/SvPasCrlf) and whole genome sequencing (C57BL/6NJ vs. 129S1/SvImJ) performed as part of the Mouse Genomes Project (Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute) [44,45]. The Mlh1 locus is highly polymorphic between B6 and 129 strains, averaging approximately 10 polymorphisms per kb (Figure S7 and [44]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003930.001

### Mlh1 mRNA and protein levels are reduced in 129 versus B6 mice

The cell-free CTG slip-out repair assays suggested that levels of MLH1 may impact the ability of MutL complexes to execute a repair process that results in CAG expansion in vivo. We therefore assessed whether Mlh1 expression levels differed between the B6 and 129 strains that exhibit comparatively high and low HTT CAG instability, respectively. Striatal Mlh1 mRNA amount was significantly reduced in 129 mice to 54% of that in B6 mice (2-tailed unpaired t-test: \( p = 0.017 \)), reaching approximately the same mRNA level as that in B6, Mlh1+/- mice (Figure 9A). Striatal Mlh1 mRNA levels were consistently reduced in 129 mice across 3 distinct regions of the primary Mlh1 transcript (exons 4–5, 11–12, and 18–19), and in various other tissues (cerebellum, liver, jejunum and ileum) to between 25% and 50% of B6 levels (Figure S13). Analysis of MLH1 protein by western blot showed similarly reduced protein levels in 129 compared to B6 striata (Figure 9B, C). In contrast to the mRNA, however, the MLH1 protein level in B6, Mlh1+/+ mice was intermediate between that in B6 (Mlh1+/+-) and 129 striata (Figure 9B, C). We were unable to detect any evidence for novel isoforms or truncation products in the 129 mice (Figure S14).

Given the difference in Mlh1 mRNA levels between B6 and 129 strains we investigated possible polymorphisms that might underlie this difference. As we had identified polymorphisms in both 5' and 3' regulatory regions of Mlh1 (Table 1 and Figure S7) we tested
whether either the immediate 5′- or 3′-flanking regions (2.4 kb and 1.7 kb, respectively) of either the B6 or 129 Mlh1 gene were able to drive differential steady state levels of a luciferase reporter gene (Figure 10). As shown in Figure 10A there was no significant difference in firefly luciferase activity when either the B6 5′ region or the 129 5′ region was used to drive firefly luciferase expression (2-tailed unpaired t-test: \( p = 0.18 \)). In contrast, when the 3′ region was cloned downstream of the firefly luciferase gene (Figure 10B, panel i), whose expression was driven from the SV40 promoter, the 129 3′ region resulted in a 2-fold reduction in firefly luciferase activity compared to the B6 3′ region (2-tailed unpaired t-test: \( p = 0.012 \)). These results suggest that polymorphisms in this 3′ genomic region may be relevant to the 2-fold reduction of Mlh1 mRNA seen in vivo in 129 mice compared to B6 mice (Figure 9). In an effort to narrow down the polymorphisms within this region that contributed to the differential luciferase expression we performed further luciferase reporter assays in which the 3′ genomic region from either strain was either successively deleted (Figure 10B, panels ii–iv) or in which the original 1.7 kb 3′ region from the B6 Mlh1 gene was substituted with different subdomains of 129 genomic sequence (Figure 10B, panel v). The deletion experiments (panels ii, iii, iv) indicated that neither the single

---

**Figure 7. B6-129 MLH1 protein polymorphisms.** (A) Schematic representation of the murine MLH1 protein showing the location of B6-129 nonsynonymous polymorphisms identified (purple triangles) and their positions relative to conserved ATP binding motifs and ATP binding domain (dark and light red boxes, respectively) [46], as well as proposed MMR protein interaction domains (blue boxes) [41]. (B) Cross-species alignment of B6 and 129 MLH1 proteins in regions encompassing the polymorphic sites between the two strains. Protein sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega [96] and visualized in Jalview [97] with BLOSUM62 color scheme: white, residue does not match the consensus residue at that position; light blue, residue does not match the consensus residue but the two residues have a positive BLOSUM62 score; dark blue, residue matches consensus sequence.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003930.g007
polymorphism within the 3’UTR (Figure 10B, panel iv), nor the 3’most 4 polymorphisms (Figure 10, panel ii) contributed to the
differential firefly luciferase expression. The data indicated that
polymorphisms both in the 129 3’ genomic region from 205 bp to
591 bp (panel iii) and in the genomic region from 591 bp to
1,280 bp (panels ii and iii) contributed to the 2-fold reduction in
firefly luciferase activity. The domain “swap” experiments (panel
v) showed partial reduction of firefly luciferase activity when each
of three B6 genomic regions was substituted with 129 sequence,
confirming the contribution of multiple 3’polymorphisms to the
differential firefly luciferase activity.

Taken together, the results of our expression analyses indicate
that genetic differences between B6 and 129 strains result in lower
steady state Mlh1 mRNA levels in 129 compared to B6 mice.
Luciferase reporter assays suggest that this may, at least in part, be
driven by a combination of polymorphisms 3’ to the Mlh1 coding
region. In addition, the lower relative level of MLH1 protein in
129 versus B6 Mlh1+/+ striata despite similar Mlh1 mRNA levels
(Figure 9) further suggests that genetic differences between these
strains also act post-transcriptionally. While we currently have no
good evidence for altered protein isoforms/truncation products in
129 versus B6 mice, the high degree of variation at the Mlh1 locus suggests that mechanisms that might impact the levels of
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full-length protein in 129 mice, including altered mRNA splicing, warrant further investigation. Our data indicate, therefore, that the low HTT CAG instability in 129 versus B6 mice may be in part driven by reduced levels of MLH1 protein. These findings are consistent with the strong genetic linkage of an instability modifier to the Mlh1 gene and indicate that B6 versus 129 variants may act in multiple ways to ultimately determine the different MLH1 protein levels in these strains.

Discussion

Here we report the first unbiased QTL mapping study in a mouse model of Huntington’s disease, in which we have mapped a locus that modifies the somatic expansion of the HTT CAG repeat. Using a quantitative measure of striatal HTT CAG instability we were able to detect a single modifier locus of large effect using as few as 69 F2 intercross mice. These results indicate that, depending on the number and effect size of the modifier loci, an intercross mapping strategy in congenic Mlh1 strains is a potentially powerful approach that could be applied to identify modifiers of a variety of HTT CAG-dependent phenotypes.

While our genetic data do not exclude a role for other gene(s) within the linked locus as instability modifiers, the high LOD score observed with markers positioned over the Mlh1 gene and the knowledge that this gene is essential for somatic HTT CAG instability provide compelling evidence that Mlh1 is the likely genetic modifier underlying the difference in striatal HTT CAG instability between the B6 and 129 HdhQ111 mice. Further experiments would be needed to determine whether the same QTL contributes to the difference in liver instability between B6 and 129 strains, and/or whether other genetic loci might play a role. Two additional genes, Trelx and Atrp, located within the 2 LOD drop-off interval, are involved in DNA repair [52,53]. However, in a comparison with two additional unstable strains, FVB.HdhQ111 and DBA.HdhQ111 (Figure S8), we note that Trelx and Atrp polymorphisms do not correlate with the instability phenotype (Figure S9A, B). Further, Trelx and Atrp striatal mRNA levels are not significantly different in 129 and B6 strains (2-tailed unpaired t-test: p = 0.73 and p = 0.43, respectively) (Figure S9C). While these data do not rule out a role for these genes, these observations make them less compelling candidates as the likely modifiers of strain-specific instability. In contrast, the observation that a “B6-like” haplotype at the Mlh1 locus is also shared in unstable FVB.HdhQ111 and DBA.HdhQ111 strains (Figure S7 and Figure S8) is consistent with the hypothesis that genetic variation at the Mlh1 locus underlies the difference in striatal HTT CAG instability between B6 and 129 strains. This hypothesis also predicts that strains with a “129-like” Mlh1 haplotype might be more likely to exhibit low HTT CAG instability. It is important to note, however, that somatic instability in any particular strain background is likely to be influenced by other genetic variation. Notably, the Mlh3 gene (chromosome 12), found to be a modifier of CAG instability in this study, does not show genotype differences between B6 and 129S1 strains [44], which are closely related. Therefore, linkage to the Mlh3 gene would not be expected in our genetic cross. Interestingly, Mlh3 gene variants were recently found to correlate with HTT CAG instability in some strains of R6/1 transgenic mice [29]. However, at least for the B6N and 129S2 strains in which we have performed genome-wide QTL mapping, it is clear from the genetic data that any polymorphisms in the Mlh3 gene do not play a significant role in driving these strain-specific differences in somatic expansion of the HdhQ111 CAG repeat (Figure 2B).

To understand this further we compared non-synonymous Msh3 SNPs, proposed to underlie the difference in CAG instability between B6 (high instability) and BALB/cj (low instability) R6/1 mice [29], in strains (B6, 129, FVB and DBA) for which we had quantitative measures of HdhQ111 striatal instability (Figure S8). Notably B6-BALB/cj SNPs that are present in 129 and that might be predicted to contribute to low instability in HdhQ111 mice (those in exons 2, 3 and 7) are also present in unstable FVB and DBA strains (Figure S10A). This suggests that these SNPs are unlikely to contribute to the differences in HdhQ111 CAG instability between B6 and 129 striata. We also note a very high degree of B6 versus BALB/cj genetic variation relative to B6 versus 129 genetic variation at the Msh3 locus (Figure S10B), suggesting the possibility that the apparently complete CAG repeat stabilization in BALB/cj[R6/1 mice [29] is driven by a Msh3 polymorphism(s) present in BALB/cj but not in 129. It is also noteworthy that a single 129 allele increases the instability of the R6/1 CAG repeat in BALB/129 heterozygotes, consistent with higher levels of MSH3 in 129 mice than in BALB/cj mice [29]. Despite possible locus-specific (HdhQ111 versus R6/1 mice) and sub-strain differences, the data presented here and previously [29] suggest that the combination of genetic variants in Mlh1, Msh3, and potentially other MMR genes that are present in any particular mouse strain may determine the rate of CAG expansion in certain tissues.

Given that MLH1 protein levels correlate with striatal expansion in B6 and 129 strains and that the activity of MLH1-dependent DNA repair in cell-free assays is dose-dependent, it is more than plausible to hypothesize that the reduced levels of Mlh1 expression in 129 mice play an important role in determining the reduced somatic CAG instability observed in HdhQ111 mice in this genetic background. Given the finding that Mlh1 is an enhancer of nuclear huntingtin immunostaining, it is also possible that the lower levels of MLH1 in 129 mice contribute to the slowed nuclear huntingtin and inclusion phenotypes previously identified in 129.HdhQ111 mice compared to B6.HdhQ111 mice [17]. Further unbiased genetic studies would be needed to identify the modifier gene(s) that contribute to these phenotypes. It is worth noting that a number of other studies support a role for the levels or stoichiometries of DNA repair proteins in trinucleotide repeat instability [43,54–57].

Expression analyses of MLH1 mRNA and protein in B6 and 129 strains (Figure 9 and Figure 10) indicate that strain-specific polymorphisms may act at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. Assuming that B6.Mlh1+/− and B6.Mlh1+/−/− striata display comparable levels of instability at 10 weeks of age, as seems likely from the similar levels of instability in B6.Mlh1+/− and B6.Mlh1+/− mice at 22 weeks of age (Figure 4), a comparison of
somatic instability and MLH1 protein in B6.\textit{Mlh1}^{+/+}, 129.\textit{Mlh1}^{+/+} and B6.\textit{Mlh1}^{+/−} striata (Figure 1, Figure 4, Figure S1, and Figure 9) suggests that there may be a threshold level of MLH1 protein below which MLH1-dependent process(es) that mediate expansion are compromised. In this scenario, MLH1 protein in B6.\textit{Mlh1}^{+/−} mice, although reduced compared to that in B6.\textit{Mlh1}^{+/+} mice, exceeds this threshold, with the result that the \textit{HTT} CAG repeat remains unstable. In 129 mice, the MLH1 protein level falls below the threshold and the \textit{HTT} CAG repeat is consequently stabilized. Alternatively, it is possible that reduced MLH1 protein alone is insufficient to explain the \textit{HTT} CAG repeat stabilization in 129 mice, but that a functional alteration of the 129 protein acts in concert with the reduced expression level to decrease \textit{HTT} CAG expansion efficiency. Although we were unable to demonstrate any difference in activity between B6 and 129 recombinant MLH1 proteins in cell-free MMR assays (Figure 8 and Figure S12), these assays may not be sufficiently sensitive to detect subtle alterations in function. It is also important to note that the MMR ability of MLH1 was only investigated in the context of MutLz-mediated repair. Therefore, taking into account our finding that MLH3 is essential for somatic \textit{HTT} CAG instability in \textit{vivo}, we cannot rule out the hypothesis that B6 and 129 MLH1 proteins may have dissimilar MutLz-mediated repair potential. It is also possible that MLH1 function may differ between B6 and 129 strains in other ways \textit{in vivo} that cannot be captured in the cell-free systems, e.g. altered interaction with binding partners. Thus, while our data indicate that MLH1 protein levels are likely to be a driving force in determining the differential \textit{HTT} CAG somatic expansion potential in B6 and 129 strains, phenotypic comparisons between strains at the level of MLH1 mRNA, protein and \textit{HTT} CAG instability, together with the highly polymorphic nature of the \textit{Mlh1} locus, suggest that the genetic architecture underlying the strain-specific differences in instability may be complex.

MLH1 has been found to play a role in CAG repeat instability in a selectible cell-based system [58]. A functional form of MLH1, with an intact ATPase domain, is also required to repair slipped CAG/CTG structures \textit{in vitro} [50] (Figure 8). To our knowledge no role for MLH3 in trinucleotide repeat instability has been previously demonstrated. Here, we show for the first time that both \textit{Mlh1} and \textit{Mlh3} genes enhance \textit{HTT} CAG expansion in a trinucleotide repeat disease mouse model. Our data further consolidate the critical role of MMR genes as enhancers of \textit{HTT} CAG-dependent events [18–27,29] in \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice. We were unable to determine the effect of loss of \textit{Mlh1} or \textit{Mlh3} on intergenerational instability of the \textit{HTT} CAG repeat in \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice as \textit{Mlh1} and \textit{Mlh3} null mice are sterile [36,39]. Interestingly, as with somatic instability, B6.\textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice show a greater degree of intergenerational CAG repeat instability than 129.\textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice [17]. Given evidence suggesting a role for MMR pathways in both somatic and intergenerational repeat instability [18,23,59], it is plausible that genetic variation at the \textit{Mlh1} locus also underlies the difference in intergenerational instability between the two strains.

The mechanism(s) by which MMR proteins mediate somatic CAG/CTG expansion is unclear. Importantly, we find that the MutLz components, MLH1 and MLH3, are as critical to somatic \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} CAG expansion as the MutS\textsuperscript{β} components MSH2 and MSH3 [18,19], suggesting that MutLz and MutS\textsuperscript{β} are involved in the same pathway that promotes CAG/CTG expansion. While a role for proteins downstream of MutL complex in somatic CAG/CTG expansion has not been demonstrated to date, the requirements for MLH1 and MLH3 indicate that the generation of somatic \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} CAG expansions requires active engagement of the MMR machinery, in contrast to a model whereby expansions occur due to the inability of MutS\textsuperscript{β}-CAG/CTG repeat binding to execute coupling to downstream effector functions [25,60]. Our findings also argue against MutS\textsuperscript{β}-mediated expansion arising via other pathways that are MutL-independent, such as single strand annealing [23,61]. Our results support previously published studies in mouse models of DM1 in which somatic expansion of the CTG repeat was reduced in Pms2 null mice [24] or inhibited in mice deficient in MSH2's ATPase function, which is required for MutL complexes recruitment [27]. Recruitment of MutL complexes is a required step for subsequent enzymatic processing of the DNA mismatch [37,38]. An essential function of MutLz is the activation of the latent endonuclease activity of PMS2 [62], which, interestingly, is activated by extrabical CAG/CTG repeats \textit{in vitro} [63]. It would therefore be of interest to determine whether MLH3's putative endonuclease domain [62] is required for CAG expansion \textit{in vivo}.

The MMR pathway, as traditionally described, is employed to repair errors that are incurred during DNA replication. However, there is increasing evidence that MMR proteins play various roles in the absence of DNA replication and participate in a variety of other pathways, distinct from MMR [64–69]. Recently, a promutagenic noncanonical MMR pathway has been described, which occurs in multiple cell types, is independent of DNA replication and is activated by DNA lesions rather than mismatches [70]. The findings that MMR proteins are required for, rather than protect against somatic CAG/CTG instability, that repeat expansions occur in postmitotic cells [10,33,71] and that expansions in neurons require MSH2 [20], suggest that CAG/CTG repeat expansion may arise via a noncanonical MMR pathway(s).

With regard to potential mechanisms of CAG expansion it is of interest that MSH3 and MLH3 appear to play relatively minor roles in classical MMR inasmuch as \textit{Mlh3} and \textit{Mlh3} deficiencies result in weak mutator phenotypes and relatively low cancer predisposition phenotypes [42,72–75]. In strong contrast, loss of either of these two proteins has a major impact on CAG/CTG expansion. Conversely, MSH6 and PMS2 play prominent roles in classical MMR [72–74]. However, MSH6 is either unnecessary for, or plays a very minimal role in mediating somatic CAG/CTG expansions [19,22,25], and knockout of Pms2 had a moderate effect of CAG expansion in DM1 mice [24], implicating a role for different MLH1 partners. In the present study the complete absence of \textit{HTT} CAG expansion in \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice argues against a role for PMS2 in generating expansions in these mice. Further genetic crosses in both DM1 and \textit{Hdh}^{Q111} mice would be needed to determine whether the relative contributions of Pms2 and \textit{Mlh3} genes in the two mouse models depends on the genomic locus of the repeat and/or strain background. While we do not expect PMS2 levels to be altered in \textit{Mlh3} knockout mice [74], additional experiments are needed in \textit{Mlh3} and Pms2 knockout mouse tissues to determine whether any compensatory changes in PMS2 or MLH3 proteins, respectively, occur. However, overall, the data thus far indicate that MLH3 is a more significant player than PMS2 in CAG/CTG expansion and suggest that CAG/CTG repeats may preferentially engage a pathway(s) involving MutS\textsuperscript{β} and MutLz complexes, as illustrated in Figure 11.

Given the overlapping roles of MMR proteins in both DM1 and HD mouse models [18–27,29], the findings in the present study are predicted to be directly relevant both to DM1 and likely other CAG/CTG repeat expansion diseases. However, subtle qualitative and quantitative differences in the effects of MMR genes in the various mouse models suggest a potential modulatory role for the cis-sequence surrounding the repeat. In addition, proteins in base excision repair and nucleotide excision repair pathways have
Following MutL suggesting that these events may be genetic locus and/or mouse strain plays no role at all in this process. However, PMS2 has been shown to have a direct implication in CAG/CTG somatic instability in mice [76–78], alternative DNA repair pathways, namely OGG1, XPA and NEIL1 have also been found to play a role in mouse models of CAG/CTG expansion disorders [76–78]. Further studies will be needed to determine how the various DNA repair proteins might interact to mediate CAG/CTG expansion and the extent to which their effects might depend on genomic context.

In summary, we have taken both unbiased and candidate gene approaches towards understanding the factors that underlie the instability of the HTT CAG repeat. Unbiased linkage mapping in congeneric Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> mice indicated Mlh1 as a potential genetic modifier of strain-specific HTT CAG instability. Subsequent candidate gene approaches demonstrated both Mlh1 and Mlh3 as critical novel modifiers of HTT CAG instability. The identification of Mlh1 and Mlh3 as modifiers of CAG instability in Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> mice suggests that variation in the human MLH1 and MLH3 genes may contribute to differences in somatic HTT CAG expansion that occurs between HD patients [9,11]. Further, given their minor roles in human tumorogenesis, both MLH3 and MSH3 currently stand as the most promising targets of the MMR proteins that have been identified as modifiers of the HTT CAG pathogenic process to date. Further delineation of the factors involved in somatic instability and the pathway(s) involved are likely to increase the ability to specifically intervene in the process of CAG/CTG expansion in HD as well as other trinucleotide repeat disorders.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice**

Ethics statement: All animal procedures were carried out to minimize pain and discomfort, under approved IACUC protocols of the Massachusetts General Hospital and Cornell University. Congenic Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> strains on C57BL/6NCrl (B6N), 129S2/SvPasCrlf (129) and FVB/NCrI (FVB) genetic backgrounds have been previously described [17]. In addition we generated Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> strains on DBA/2J (DBA) and C57BL/6J (B6J) backgrounds by repeated backcrossing of CD1.Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup> mice [15] for at least 10 generations. To map genetic modifiers of somatic HTT CAG instability we generated (B6N×129).Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup> and (B6N×129).Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup>F1 mice which were subsequently intercrossed to generate (B6N×129).Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup>F2 progeny. B6.Mlh1 knockout mice (B6N) [36] were crossed with B6N.Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> mice, and B6.Mlh3 knockout mice (B6J) [39] were crossed with B6J.Hdh<sup>Q111</sup> mice to generate B6.Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup> mice heterozygous for the respective DNA repair mutation. These mice were then intercrossed to generate B6.Hdh<sup>Q111/+</sup> littermates that were wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/−) or homozygous mutant (−/−) for the respective DNA repair gene. For reasons of simplicity, both B6N and B6J will be referred to as B6 unless otherwise specified. Mlh1 knockout mice were also generated on the 129 background by repeated backcrossing of B6.Mlh1<sup>−/−</sup> mice for 4 generations. These mice were then intercrossed to generate 129.Mlh1<sup>−/−</sup>, 129.Mlh1<sup>−/+</sup> and 129.Mlh1<sup>−/−</sup> littermates. Animal husbandry was performed on a controlled temperature and light/dark cycles.

**Genotyping and HTT CAG repeat analysis**

Genomic DNA was isolated from tail biopsies at weaning for routine genotyping analysis or from adult tissues (fresh frozen or fixed as below) for somatic instability analysis, using the PureGene DNA isolation kit (Qiagen). Routine genotyping was carried out as previously described [19,36,39]. The size of the HTT CAG repeat
was determined using a human-specific PCR assay that amplifies the \textit{Htt} CAG repeat from the knock-in allele but does not amplify the mouse sequence [79]. The forward primer was fluorescently labeled with 6-FAM (Applied Biosystems) and products were resolved using the ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with GeneScan 500 LIZ as internal size standard (Applied Biosystems). GeneMapper v3.7 (Applied Biosystems) was used to generate CAG repeat size distribution traces. Repeat size was determined from the peak with the greatest intensity in the GeneMapper trace from the tail biopsy ("main allele"). CAG repeat instability index was calculated as previously described [32]. Briefly, the highest peak in each trace was used to determine a relative threshold of 20% and peaks falling below this threshold were excluded from analysis. Peak heights normalized to the sum of all peak heights were multiplied by the change in CAG length of each peak relative to the main allele size in tail. These values were summed to generate an instability index, which represents the mean CAG repeat length change in the population of cells being analyzed. Statistical comparisons of instability indices were carried out using 2-tailed unpaired \textit{t}-tests.

**Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping**

Somatic CAG instability indices were determined in the striatum of 69 10-week-old (B6x129):\textit{Htt}^{Q71/Q74} F2 mice, as described above. These F2 intercross mice were originally genotyped using a panel of 117 SNPs that distinguishes between C57BL/6J and 129S1/SvImJ strains (Figure S3 and Table S1) [80]. An additional set of 30 SNPs was subsequently used to add resolution to the analysis (Figure S3 and Table S1), particularly at the chromosome 9 QTL, including two markers inside the \textit{Mlh1} gene (\textit{dbSNP} rs30131926 and rs30174694); as well as to encompass the \textit{Msh3} (\textit{dbSNP} rs33609112 and rs49012398) and \textit{Msh3} (\textit{dbSNP} rs29551174) genes. Linkage analysis was performed using Mapmaker/QTL [81–84], with striatal \textit{Htt} CAG instability indices as quantitative traits. A threshold LOD-score of 4.3 was considered for the identification of significant QTLs [85]. A QTL 95% confidence interval was determined by using the 2-LOD-dropoff method [35,86].

**Identification and analyses of polymorphisms**

Polymorphisms at the \textit{Mlh1} locus were investigated between C57BL/6Ncr (B6N), 129S2/SvPasCrlf (129S2), FVB/Ncr (FVB) and DBA/2J (DBA) genetic strains by standard DNA Sanger sequencing. PCR products were generated using \textit{Tag} DNA polymerase (Qiagen) with DNA extracted from tail as template. A combination of primer pairs (Table S2) was used to screen the complete coding sequence of \textit{Mlh1} as well as its immediate 5’ and 3’ flanking regions (2.6 kb and 2 kb respectively) by sequencing both sense and antisense strands. Polymorphisms were validated in two animals from each genetic strain. We also utilized an online database for the Mouse Genomes Project (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/mouse/genomes), provided by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute. This database was derived from whole genome sequencing of 17 different genetic mouse strains [44,45], including C57BL/6Ncr (B6N), 129S2/SvPasCrlf (129S2), DBA/2J (DBA) and FVB/Ncr (FVB). We used this database to retrieve information on SNPs, short indels and structural variants over a 64 kb region encompassing the \textit{Mlh1} gene (chr9:111,223,496–111,287,496), as well as at the \textit{Mlh3} (chr1:128,534,529–85,270,591), \textit{Msh3} (chr1:93,201,881–92,365,003) and \textit{T snel/AmpA} loci (chr9: 109,057,933–109,074,124; GRCm38/mm10 assembly). The average genome-wide variation between B6 and 129 was determined using the total number of SNPs and indels reported in this database (B6 versus 129S1) relative to the GRCm38/mm10 genome size (chromosomes 1–19 and X). The relative density of polymorphisms between B6 and 129 was determined by binning genome-wide SNPs and indels into 64 kb regions (the same size as the \textit{Mlh1} genomic region analyzed) and the mean density of polymorphisms/kb determined over each of the 64 kb bins. For reasons of simplicity, both B6N and B6NJ are referred to as B6, 129S1 and 129S2 are referred to as 129, and FVB/Ncr and FVB/N are referred to as FVB, unless otherwise specified.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunostaining was carried out with polyclonal anti-huntingtin antibody EM48 [87] on 7 µm paraffin-embedded coronal sections of periodate-lysine-paraformaldehyde (PLP)-perfused mouse brains, as previously described [17]. Diffuse EM48 immunostaining was quantified as a “staining index” that captures both the nuclear staining intensity and the number of immunostained nuclei, as described previously [17]. Statistical comparisons of staining indices were carried out using 2-tailed unpaired \textit{t}-tests.

**Cell-free mismatch repair assays**

Total RNA was isolated from the striatum of wild-type B6 and 129 mice using Trizol (Life Technologies) by mechanical grinding with disposable pestle and cDNA was then prepared using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix for qRT-PCR (Invitrogen). Full-length \textit{Mlh1} cDNAs were amplified by PCR (for primers used see Table S2) using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), and were subsequently cloned between the unique Neol and XhoI sites of a modified pFastBac1 baculovirus expression vector [88], so that the resulting recombinant \textit{MLH1} proteins would carry N-terminal FLAG and 6xHis epitope tags. \textit{Mlh1} cDNA pFastBac1 constructs were fully verified by DNA sequence analysis confirming the presence of all B6-129 SNPs (for primers used see Table S2). The wild-type human \textit{MLH1} cDNA (\textit{hMLH1}-WT) baculovirus expression vector [49] was used to generate a mutant \textit{hMLH1} cDNA construct carrying the 129-like Ile residue at aa192 (\textit{hMLH1}-F192I) by site directed mutagenesis. Mouse and human recombinant \textit{MLH1} proteins were independently co-expressed with human PMS2 and purified using a baculovirus expression system to near homogeneity (Figure S11), as previously described [49]. Protein concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically and confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Repair of a single base mismatch by \textit{MLH1} was investigated as previously described [49]. In essence, repair of single base mismatch (G-T) substrate containing a 5’ nick was assessed using HeLa or MutLz-deficient HCT116 [89] nuclear protein extracts (100 ng) complemented with equal amounts of purified MutLz protein complexes: hMLH1.WT-hPMS2, hMLH1.F192I-hPMS2, mMLH1.B6-hPMS2 or mMLH1.129-hPMS2 (100 ng). Note that as mMLH1-hPMS2 was functional in this well-established human-based assay, consistent with previous mixed yeast-human MMR assays [90–92], we compared B6 and 129 MLH1 proteins in a mixed mouse-human MutLz complex, avoiding the need to introduce mouse PMS2 as another assay variable. Single base mismatch repair was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis followed by ethidium bromide staining [49]. Repair of a single trinucleotide repeat slip-out by \textit{MLH1} was investigated as previously described [50]. In summary, repair of single CTG slip-out substrates (CAG)\textsubscript{5-}\textsubscript{5CTG} containing a 5’ nick was assessed using HeLa or MutLz-deficient HEK293T [42,93] whole cell extracts (120–180 ng) complemented with equal amounts of purified hMLH1.WT-hPMS2, mMLH1.B6-hPMS2 or mMLH1.129-hPMS2 complexes (100 ng), or with increasing amounts of mMLH1.B6-hPMS2 or mMLH1.129-hPMS2 complexes (5, 25 and 100 ng). This experiment with increasing concentrations was
reproduced three times. Repair of CTG slip-outs was analyzed by Southern blotting. For both MMR assays, intensity of fragments was determined by densitometry and repair activity was determined as the intensity of repair fragments in proportion to the total intensity of all fragments [49,50]. Statistical comparison between mMLH1-B6-hPMS2 and mMLH1.129-hPMS2 repair efficiency was carried out using 2-tailed unpaired t-tests. MutLα dose-dependency of CTG slip-out repair was determined by linear regression. The HEK293T cell line was a gift from Dr. G. Plotz. HeLa cells were from the National Cell Culture Center, National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

mRNA and protein expression analyses

mRNA and protein expression was investigated in frozen striatum samples from 10-week-old mice (B6.Mlh1+/+, n = 3; 129.Mlh1+/+, n = 3; B6.Mlh1−/−, n = 3; and B6.Mlh1−/−, n = 1), with the striatum from one hemisphere being used for mRNA analysis by qRT-PCR and the other being used for protein analysis by western blotting. Total RNA extraction and first-strand cDNA synthesis were performed as described above. Relative qRT-PCR was performed on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche) using TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) for: Mlh1 (exons 4–5, Mm01248478_m1; exons 11–12, Mm00503449_m1; exons 18–19, Mm00503455_m1), Trec1 (Mm00810120_s1), and Atrip (Mm00555350_m1). Relative mRNA expression levels were determined using the 2−ΔΔCt method [94] by normalization to the housekeeping gene Actb (Mm00607939_s1). Each sample was run in triplicates and a total of 2 runs were performed. Protein lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer supplemented with 5 mM EDTA and protease inhibitors (Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, Thermo Scientific) by mechanical grinding with disposable pestle and two 10-second sonication pulses (Branson sonifier, power level 3.5), on ice. The homogenates were kept on ice for 30 min and then clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 30 minutes at 14000 rpm. Protein concentration was determined using the DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). Western blot analysis was carried out by resolving protein extracts (50 μg) on 4–12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels (NuPAGE, Life Technologies). All samples were run in the same gel and a total of 2 gels were run. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against the C-terminal end of MLH1 (1:200; sc-582, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and mouse monoclonal antibody against α-tubulin (1:1,000; #3873, Cell Signaling Technologies) were used as primary antibodies and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit and anti-mouse (1:10,000; NA934VS and NA931VS respectively, Amersham) were used as secondary antibodies. Signals were visualized using enhancedchemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Thermo Scientific). Densitometric analysis of protein levels was performed using UN-SCAN-IT software (Silk Scientific Corp.). Following background subtraction, MLH1 protein levels were normalized to α-tubulin, and determined relative to B6.Mlh1+/+ levels. Statistical comparisons of mRNA and protein levels were carried out using 2-tailed unpaired t-tests.

Mlh1–luciferase reporter assays

The immediate 5’- and 3’-flanking regions of Mlh1 were amplified by PCR from both B6 and 129 genomic DNA (for primers used see Table S2) using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). The immediate 5’-flanking region of Mlh1 (2,441 bp) was cloned upstream of the firefly luciferase reporter in pGL4.20 (Promega) between the unique KpnI and NheI sites. Progressively smaller segments of the immediate 3’-flanking region of Mlh1 (1,676 bp, 1,280 bp, 591 bp and 205 bp) were cloned downstream of the firefly luciferase reporter in pGL3-Promoter (Promega) between the unique XbaI and BamHI sites. Additional “swap” constructs were also generated for the immediate 3’-flanking region of Mlh1 (1,676 bp) by dividing this region into 3 distinct subdomains (3’-3’: 530 bp, 438 bp and 708 bp; using Pac1 and KpnI) and replacing individual subdomains from the B6 3’-flanking region of Mlh1 with the corresponding 129 subdomain. “Swap” constructs were cloned downstream of the firefly luciferase reporter in pGL3-Promoter (Promega) at the unique XbaI site. Mlh1–luciferase reporter constructs were fully verified by DNA sequence analysis, confirming the presence of all B6-129 SNPs (for primers used see Table S2). Individual Mlh1–firefly luciferase reporter constructs were co-transfected (Lipofectamine LTX, Invitrogen) with the Renilla luciferase reporter control pGL4.74 (Promega) into wild-type mouse immortalized striatal cells [95]. The transfected cells were cultured for 36–48 hours and luciferase expression was subsequently quantified using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) on a microplate luminometer (MicroLumat Plus LB96V, Berthold Technologies). Analogous B6 and 129 Mlh1–luciferase constructs were investigated in the same experiment in triplicate. The relative luciferase activity was calculated by normalizing firefly luminescence to the internal Renilla signal and determined relative to the corresponding B6 construct. Statistical comparison of relative luciferase activity between analogous B6 and 129 Mlh1–luciferase constructs was carried out using 2-tailed unpaired t-tests.
Marker chromosomal positions and dbSNP references can be found in Table S1. (TIF)

**Figure S4** Preliminary mapping of QTL associated with striatal CAG instability. Preliminary linkage analysis in 10-week-old (B6x129).HdhQ111/+ F2 mice (n = 69) identified a single QTL on chromosome 9 with a LOD score of ~11. The red dashed line represents the threshold (LOD = 4.3) considered for the identification of significant QTLs [85]. The coordinates (cM) of the 117 genetic markers used are represented by open triangles. (TIF)

**Figure S5** Preliminary mapping of QTL on chromosome 9 implicates numerous genes, including the MMR gene Mlh1. Genome-wide linkage analysis using an initial set of 117 SNPs mapped a single QTL on chromosome 9 strongly linked to striatal CAG instability (Figure S4). A 95% confidence interval was determined using the 2-LOD-dropoff method [35,86], implicating a genomic region of approximately 39 Mb (chr9:495,988–123,231,477; GRCh38/mm10) that contained numerous genes (~420), including the MMR gene Mlh1. (TIF)

**Figure S6** Fine-mapping of chromosome 9 QTL significantly narrowed down the implicated genomic region and number of candidate genes. Follow-up genome-wide linkage analysis with additional genetic markers mapped a single QTL on chromosome 9 strongly linked to striatal CAG instability (Figure S4). A 95% confidence interval was determined using the 2-LOD-dropoff method [35,86], narrowing down the implicated region to approximately 5 Mb (chr9:107,982,655–113,057,967; GRCh38/mm10). In addition to Mlh1, the implicated genomic region contains numerous genes (~100). (TIF)

**Figure S7** Genetic variation at the Mlh1 locus between different mouse strains. (A) Nonsynonymous polymorphisms identified at the Mlh1 locus in the unstable C57BL/6NCrl, FVB/NCrI and DBA/2J HdhQ111/+ strain, versus the more stable 129S2/SvPasCrlf HdhQ111 strain. (B) Distribution of polymorphisms identified between C57BL/6J, BALB/cJ, 129S1/SvImJ, FVB/NJ and DBA/2J mouse strains across a region encompassing the Mlh1 gene (chr13:92,201,881–92,365,003; GRCh38/mm10) using information from the Mouse Genomes Project [44,45]. Red, nonsynonymous SNPs (nsSNPs); blue, SNPs; green, short indels; purple, structural variants (SV). (TIF)

**Figure S8** Higher levels of somatic HTT CAG instability in B6, FVB and DBA mouse strains compared to 129. (A) Representative GeneMapper profiles of HTT CAG repeat size distributions in the tail and striatum of 10-week-old C57BL/6NCrl (B6), 129S2/SvPasCrlf (129), FVB/NCrI (FVB) and DBA/2J (DBA) HdhQ111/+ mice, emphasizing the contribution of genetic background to somatic HTT CAG repeat expansion, as previously described [17]. Tail: B6.HdhQ111/+, CAG117; 129.HdhQ111/+, CAG108; FVB. HdhQ111/+, CAG122; DBA.HdhQ111/+, CAG115. (B) Quantification of CAG instability index reveals significantly higher levels of somatic HTT CAG instability in the striatum of B6, FVB and DBA HdhQ111/+ mice compared to 129.HdhQ111/+ mice. B6.HdhQ111/+, n = 10, CAG116.9 ± 1.2SD; 129.HdhQ111/+, n = 12, CAG1110.9 ± 1.2SD; FVB.HdhQ111/+, n = 3, CAG123.7 ± 2.1SD; DBA.HdhQ111/+, n = 3, CAG115.7 ± 2.1SD; Bar graphs represent mean ± SD, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. (TIF)

**Figure S9** Comparison of Tex1 and Atp6 genes in different mouse strains. (A) Nonsynonymous polymorphisms identified at the Tex1 and Atp6 locus in the unstable B6, FVB and DBA HdhQ111 strains, versus the more stable 129.HdhQ111 strain. (B) Distribution of polymorphisms identified between B6, 129, FVB and DBA mouse strains at a 16 kb genomic region containing the Tex1 and Atp6 genes (chr9:109,057,932–109,074,124; GRCh38/mm10) using information from the Mouse Genomes Project [44,45]. Red, nonsynonymous SNPs (nsSNPs); blue, SNPs; green, short indels. (C) Quantification of Tex1 and Atp6 mRNA levels in the striatum of B6 and 129 10-week-old mice (n = 3) by TaqMan-based qRT-PCR. mRNA levels were determined relative to the housekeeping gene Actb. Bar graphs represent mean ± SD. (TIF)

**Figure S10** Genetic variation at the Mdh1 locus between different mouse strains. (A) Nonsynonymous polymorphisms identified at the Mdh1 locus in the unstable B6, BALB, 129, FVB and DBA mouse strains. (B) Distribution of genetic polymorphisms identified between C57BL/6J, BALB/cJ, 129S1/SvImJ, FVB/NJ and DBA/2J mouse strains at a 16 kb genomic region encompassing the Mdh1 gene (chr13:92,201,881–92,365,003; GRCh38/mm10) using information from the Mouse Genomes Project [44,45]. Red, nonsynonymous SNPs (nsSNPs); blue, SNPs; green, short indels; purple, structural variants (SV). (TIF)

**Figure S11** Purified MutLα protein complexes used for cell-free MMR assays. Human and mouse MLH1 proteins from B6 and 129 strains were independently co-expressed with human PMS2 protein in a baculovirus expression system. Purified MutLα complexes were analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and coomassie blue staining. (TIF)

**Figure S12** B6 and 129 MLH1 proteins show similar ability to repair single base mismatches in a cell-free MMR assay. Repair of a single base mismatch (G-T) containing 5’ nick using HeLa or HCT116 (MutLα-deficient) nuclear extracts complemented with equal amounts of purified MutLα protein complexes: hMLH1, WT-hPMS2, hMLH1.F192I-hPMS2, mMLH1.B6-hPMS2 or mMLH1.129-hPMS2. Both B6 and 129 MLH1 proteins show ability to repair the mismatch when in a complex with hPMS2, with no overt difference in repair efficiency being observed between the two (lanes 5 and 6). Likewise, introduction of the 129-like F192I mutation in the human MLH1 protein had no discernible effect in mismatch repair efficiency (lane 4). (TIF)

**Figure S13** Additional analyses of Mlh1 mRNA levels in B6 versus 129 strains. (A) Quantification of Mlh1 mRNA levels in the striatum of B6 and 129 10-week-old mice (n = 5) using TaqMan assays probing 3 distinct regions of the primary Mlh1 transcript (exons 4–5, 11–12, and 18–19) confirmed consistently reduced Mlh1 mRNA levels in the striata of 129 mice (n = 5) using TaqMan assays probing 3 distinct regions of the primary Mlh1 transcript (exons 4–5, 11–12, and 18–19) confirmed consistently reduced Mlh1 mRNA levels in the striata of 129 mice (n = 5) by TaqMan-based qRT-PCR relative to the housekeeping gene Actb. Bar graphs represent mean ± SD, ** p < 0.01. (TIF)

**Figure S14** Additional analyses of Mlh1 protein by western blot. (A) Representative western blot used for quantification of Mlh1 protein levels in the striatum of B6.Mlh1+/+, 129.Mlh1+/+ and B6.Mlh1+/10-week-old mice as represented in Figure 9C.
The horizontal dashed line represents where the blot was cut (~60 kDa). The top panel of the blot was probed against MHL1, while the bottom was probed against α-tubulin as loading control.

Table S1  List of genetic markers used for QTL mapping. (PDF)

Table S2  List of primers used. (PDF)
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