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A Nth-order linear algorithm for extracting diffuse correlation spectroscopy blood flow indices in heterogeneous tissues
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(Received 25 April 2014; accepted 15 September 2014; published online 1 October 2014)

Conventional semi-infinite analytical solutions of correlation diffusion equation may lead to errors when calculating blood flow index (BFI) from diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS) measurements in tissues with irregular geometries. Very recently, we created an algorithm integrating a Nth-order linear model of autocorrelation function with the Monte Carlo simulation of photon migrations in homogeneous tissues with arbitrary geometries for extraction of BFI (i.e., $\Delta D_P$). The purpose of this study is to extend the capability of the Nth-order linear algorithm for extracting BFI in heterogeneous tissues with arbitrary geometries. The previous linear algorithm was modified to extract BFI s in different types of tissues simultaneously through utilizing DCS data at multiple source-detector separations. We compared the proposed linear algorithm with the semi-infinite homogenous solution in a computer model of adult head with heterogeneous tissue layers of scalp, skull, cerebrospinal fluid, and brain. To test the capability of the linear algorithm for extracting relative changes of cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in deep brain, we assigned ten levels of $\Delta D_P$ in the brain layer with a step decrement of 10% while maintaining $\Delta D_P$ values constant in other layers. Simulation results demonstrate the accuracy (errors $< 3\%$) of high-order ($N \geq 5$) linear algorithm in extracting BFI s in different tissue layers and rCBF in deep brain. By contrast, the semi-infinite homogenous solution resulted in substantial errors in rCBF ($34.5\% \leq \text{errors} \leq 60.2\%$) and BFI s in different layers. The Nth-order linear model simplifies data analysis, thus allowing for online data processing and displaying. Future study will test this linear algorithm in heterogeneous tissues with different levels of blood flow variations and noises. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4896992]

Near-infrared (NIR) diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS), also known as diffusing-wave spectroscopy, has been developed and validated for noninvasive and continuous monitoring of relative changes of blood flow (rBF) in a variety of in vivo tissues with a depth up to centimeters. A blood flow index (BFI) is usually generated by fitting DCS autocorrelation function to analytical solutions of correlation diffusion equation under simple tissue boundaries. Among these boundaries, the semi-infinite geometry is commonly used due to its simplicity, which assumes the tissue measured to have a large volume with flat surface. However, our previous studies found that semi-infinite approximation leads to calculation errors of BFI in tissues with small volume and large curvature.

Very recently, we created an algorithm integrating a Nth-order linear model of autocorrelation function with the Monte Carlo simulation of photon migrations in homogeneous tissues for the extraction of BFI and rBF. Results from computer simulations and in vivo experiments in homogeneous tissue models with different volumes and geometries demonstrate the accuracy and robustness of the linear algorithm. However, most of biological tissues are not homogenous. The purpose of this study is to extend the capability of the Nth-order linear algorithm for extracting BFI values in heterogeneous tissues with arbitrary volumes and geometries. After deriving a Nth-order linear algorithm used in heterogeneous tissues, we compared it with the semi-infinite homogenous solution for extracting BFI and rBF in a computer model of adult head with heterogeneous tissue layers of scalp, skull, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and brain.

The DCS principle and instrumentation can be found elsewhere. Briefly, long-coherence NIR light (650 to 900 nm) is launched by a laser into the tissue via a source fiber. After transporting/scattering through the tissue, photons are collected by avalanche photodiodes via single-mode fibers placed millimeters to centimeters away from the source fiber. An autocorrelator board reads the detected photons and calculates light intensity autocorrelation function, from which the normalized electric field temporal autocorrelation function $g_1(\tau)$ of the detected light is derived. $g_1(\tau)$ is dependent on the motion of moving scatterers (primarily red blood cells) in the tissue. For homogeneous tissues, $g_1(\tau)$ (modulus value) can be determined by

$$g_1(\tau) = \frac{\langle E(0)E^*(\tau)\rangle}{\langle |E(0)|^2 \rangle} = \int_0^\infty P(s)\exp \left( -\frac{1}{3} \frac{s^2}{\bar{\Delta r}^2(\tau)} \right) \frac{\bar{\Delta r}^2(\tau)}{\bar{l}'} ds.$$

Here, $P(s)$ is the normalized distribution of detected photon pathlength $s$, $\bar{k}_0$ is the wave vector magnitude of the light in the medium, $\bar{l}'$ is the photon random-walk step length, which is equal to $1/\mu_s'$ ($\mu_s'$ is the reduced scattering coefficient), and $\tau$ is the delay time of autocorrelation function. $\langle \bar{\Delta r}^2(\tau) \rangle$ is the mean-square-displacement of the moving scatterers. Based on flow models adopted, $\langle \bar{\Delta r}^2(\tau) \rangle$ can have different forms. The diffuse motion model with a form of $\langle \bar{\Delta r}^2(\tau) \rangle = 6D_P\tau$ was found to fit experimental data well over
a wide range of tissues, where \( D_B \) (unit: \( \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \)) is the effective diffusion coefficient. A factor \( x \) is added to \( \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle \) (i.e., \( \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle = 6xD_B\tau \)) because not all scatterers are “moving” in the tissue; \( x \) is the ratio of “moving” scatterers to the total scatterers. The combined term \( xD_B \) is referred to as BFI in the tissue, and the relative change in BFI (i.e., BFI/\( D_B \)) in homogenous tissues.

Equation (1) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( D \nabla^2 - v \mu_a - \frac{1}{3} v \mu'_a k_0^2 \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle \right) G_0(\mathbf{r}, \tau) &= -\nu S(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( \nu \) is the light speed in the medium, \( D \approx \nu/3 \mu'_a \) is the medium photon diffusion coefficient, \( \mu_a \) is the medium absorption coefficient, and \( S(\mathbf{r}) \) is continuous-wave isotropic source. The analytical solution of Eq. (2) with semi-infinite geometry is often used to extract \( xD_B \) in homogenous tissues.

For heterogeneous tissues consisting of \( n \) tissue types (e.g., scalp, skull, CSF, brain) with the assumption that \( \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle \) is homogeneous within each tissue type, \( g_1(\tau) \) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle &= \frac{\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_1}{C_0} + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_2 + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n^{(N)} = \frac{\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_1}{C_0} + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_2 + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n^{(N)} \\
&= \frac{\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_1}{C_0} + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_2 + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n + \cdots + \langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle_n^{(N)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Similar to the linear algorithm for homogenous tissues, \( g_1(\tau) \) can be expressed as the form of \( N \)-order Taylor polynomial

\[
g_1(\tau) = g_1(0) + g_1^{(1)}(0)\tau + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \frac{g_1^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \tau^k + \frac{g_1^{(N+1)}(\xi)}{(N+1)!} \tau^{N+1},
\]

\(0 < \xi < \tau\).

Here,

\[
g_1(0) = \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) d(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = 1.
\]

Let

\[
M(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) xD_B(i) s(i) \mu'_a(i).
\]

From Eq. (3), we have

\[
g_1(\tau) = \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \exp(-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\tau) d(s_1, \ldots, s_n), \quad (7)
\]

\[
g_1^{(k)}(\tau) = \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \left[-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\tau \right]^k \exp[-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\tau] d(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \quad (k \geq 1).
\]

When \( \tau = 0 \)

\[
g_1^{(k)}(0) = \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \left[-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\right]^k d(s_1, \ldots, s_n). \quad (9)
\]

Combining Eqs. (3), (4), and (9), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(\tau) &= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{N} \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \left[-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\right]^k \frac{d(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}{k!} \\
&= \tau \int_0^\infty P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \left[-M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\right]^k d(s_1, \ldots, s_n), \quad (11)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(\tau) - 1 &= -\tau \sum_{p=1}^{Q} w(p) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) xD_B(i) s(i, p) \mu'_a(i) \right) \\
&= -\tau \sum_{p=1}^{Q} w(p) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) xD_B(i) s(i, p) \mu'_a(i) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial D_B(i)}{\partial D_B(i)}. \quad (13)
\end{align*}
\]

When utilizing Monte Carlo simulations of photon migrations in heterogeneous tissues and assuming a total of \( Q \) photons are detected, Eqs. (11) and (12) become

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(\tau) - 1 &= -\tau \sum_{p=1}^{Q} w(p) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) xD_B(i) s(i, p) \mu'_a(i) \right) \\
&= -\tau \sum_{p=1}^{Q} w(p) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) xD_B(i) s(i, p) \mu'_a(i) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial D_B(i)}{\partial D_B(i)}.
\end{align*}
\]
Here, we define \( w(p) = P(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_p) \) to present the normalized distribution of \( p \)th photon detected. \( s(i, p) \) is the photon pathlength of the \( p \)th photon in \( i \)th tissue type.

Equations (13) and (14) contain \( n \) unknowns of BFIs (i.e., \( \Delta D_B(i) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)). To solve these unknowns, it is generally required to collect multiple DCS correlation functions at \( n \) S-D separations.

For \( j \)th (\( j = 1, \ldots, n \)) S-D separation, Eqs. (13) and (14) become

\[
g_1(\tau, j) - 1 = -\tau \sum_{p=1}^{n} w(p, j) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0(i) zD_B(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i) \right) = \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(i, j) zD_B(i) \tag{15}
\]

\[
g_1(\tau, j) - 1 = \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{n} w(p, j) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0(i) zD_B(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i) \right)^{k!} \tau^k = \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(i, j) zD_B(i) \tag{16}
\]

For the first-order (\( N = 1 \)) approximation (Eq. (15)), the \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(i, j) zD_B(i) \) is the slope \( S_l(j) \) at \( j \)th S-D separation. Thus, BFIs \( zD_B(i) \) can be calculated from \( A(i, j) \) and the slope \( S_l(j) \), i.e., \( zD_B = (A^T)^{-1}S_l \). Here, \( zD_B = [zD_B(1), \ldots, zD_B(n)]^T \), \( A = [A(i, j)]_{n \times n} \), and \( S_l = [S_l(1), \ldots, S_l(n)]^T \).

For the \( N \)th-order approximation (Eq. (16), containing the unknown \( zD_B \) on both left and right sides), \( zD_B \) can be derived iteratively using following equations (Eqs. (17) and (18)):

\[
g_1(\tau, j) - 1 = \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{n} w(p, j) \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0(i) zD_B^{(N-1)}(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i) \right)^{k!} \tau^k = \tau S_l^{(N)}(j) \tag{17}
\]

\[
zD_B^{(N)} = (A^T)^{-1}S_l^{(N)} \tag{18}
\]

To estimate the errors of \( zD_B \) determined by Eqs. (15)–(18), let

\[
M(p) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) zD_B(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i) \tag{19}
\]

As such, \( M(p), M(p)_{N-1} \), and \( M(p)_{N} \) contain the true \( zD_B \), estimated \( zD_B^{(N-1)} \), and estimated \( zD_B^{(N)} \), respectively.

Let \( \Delta M_{N-1} = M(p)_{N-1} - M(p) \) and follows the similar mathematical procedures of error estimation described in our previous study.\(^9\) we finally have

\[
err(\tau) = \left| \frac{\Delta D_B^{(N)} - \Delta D_B}{\Delta D_B} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n} w(p, j) \left[ (M(p)^{N+1}) - (M(p)_{N-1})^k \right] \right| \tau^{k-1} + \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n} w(p, j)M_N(p) \right| \tau^N \left( \frac{\max (\Delta M_{N-1}, M_N, (M(p)\tau)^{k-1}))}{(N + 1)!} \right) \tag{20}
\]

The \( err(\tau) \) is approximately equal to zero when

\[
M(p)\tau = 2 \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) zD_B(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i) \ll 1,
\]

that is,

\[
\tau \ll \frac{1}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_0^2(i) zD_B(i)s(i, p, j)\mu'_s(i)} \tag{21}
\]

To evaluate the accuracy of the proposed \( N \)th-order linear algorithm (Eqs. (15)–(18)) and corresponding errors (Eq. (20)), we built a simple 4-layer spherical model of adult head with multiple source and detector fibers on it for DCS data collection (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 1(b), the layers of head in order from outer to inner represent scalp, skull, CSF, and brain tissues, respectively.\(^{10,11}\) According to multiple-scattering theory,\(^{2,3,13}\) \( g_1(\tau) \) decay results from the scattering events of moving scatterers, and can be quantified using Eq. (1) (for homogenous tissues) and Eq. (3) (for heterogeneous tissues). It is known from the literature\(^{14}\) that the CSF has very low absorption and scattering coefficients (i.e., \( \mu_a = 0.017 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( \mu'_s = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) compared to other layered tissues (\( \mu_a > 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( \mu'_s > 7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for scalp, skull, and brain). Therefore, the weight of CSF (depending on \( 1/\tau = \mu_a \)) contributing to \( g_1(\tau) \) decay (Eq. (3)) is remarkably less than those of other layers, and thus its contribution
These values were then combined with the brain tissue optical properties and the S-D separation. The Monte Carlo simulations of $10^6$ photon migrations in heterogeneous tissues were utilized to generate $w(p)$ and $s(i, p, j)$ inside the head model. These values were then combined with the assigned BFIs ($z_{DB}$) and optical properties (i.e., $\mu_s$ and $\mu'_s$) marked in Fig. 1(b) to generate $g_1(\tau)$ at each detector based on Eq. (3). From the generated $g_1(\tau)$ curves at multiple S-D separations, we extracted BFIs using the semi-infinite homogeneous solution and Nth-order linear algorithm, respectively. Note that only the BFIs in three tissue layers (i.e., scalp, skull, brain) were extracted using the Nth-order linear algorithm because the CSF layer contributes little to the decay of $g_1(\tau)$. Similar to our previous study, DCS data with the delay times of $0.2 \leq \tau \leq 30 \mu$s (78 data points) were used for extracting $z_{DB}$ values in the linear algorithm.

To test the capability of the Nth-order linear algorithm for extracting relative changes of cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in deep brain, we assigned ten levels of $z_{DB}$ in the brain layer with a step decrement of 10% (i.e., $z_{DB}(k) = [1 - (k-1)/10] \times 10^{-8} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 10$) while maintaining the $z_{DB}$ values constant in other layers. This protocol simulates CBF changes during functional stimulations (e.g., visual and motor cortex stimuli or memory tests).

Figure 2(a) shows $g_1(\tau)$ curves generated by Eq. (3) with the assigned $z_{DB}$ values at the first step (i.e., $z_{DB} = 0.5$, 0, and $1 \times 10^{-8} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ for scalp, skull, and brain, respectively). Larger S-D separations resulted in longer photon pathlength and faster decay of autocorrelation function. To examine the fitting of the linear model to the DCS data, we defined the left sides of Eqs. (15) and (17) as the modified autocorrelation decays (MADs). Figs. 2(b)–2(d) show the linear regressions of MADs at the S-D separation of 3.0 cm using the first-order (b), third-order (c), and fifth-order (d) linear models (Eqs. (15) and (17)). Higher-order (i.e., $N \geq 3$) linear models exhibited excellent linear relationships between the MADs and delay time $\tau$ (Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)).

Figure 3 shows the BFIs calculated by the semi-infinite homogeneous solution and the Nth-order linear algorithm ($N = 1, 3, \text{and } 5$) at the first step (i.e., $z_{DB} = 0.5$, 0, and $1 \times 10^{-8} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ for scalp, skull, and brain, respectively). The semi-infinite homogeneous solution extracted the BFIs separately from DCS data at different S-D separations (i.e., 2.0, 2.5, or 3.0 cm). Based on photon diffusion theory in biological tissues, light penetration depth depends on tissue optical properties and the S-D separation. The maximum penetration depth is approximately one half of the S-D separation. Therefore, it is not surprising that the BFI decreased with the...
CBF values were normalized to their reconstructed values at the first variations and the fifth-order linear model at the 10 variation steps of BFIs.

FIG. 4. rCBF (%) calculated by the semi-infinite solution at three S-D separations (i.e., 2.0, 2.5, or 3.0 cm) and the fifth-order linear model at the 10 variation steps of BFIs. The reconstructed errors of $aD_B$ decreased with the increase of the order number, and the solutions with higher orders (i.e., $N \geq 3$) generated smaller $aD_B$ errors than the semi-infinite solution.

increase of S-D separation (Fig. 3) since photons detected at larger separations travel inside the skull layer ($aD_B = 0$) more than other layers ($aD_B > 0$). By contrast, the linear algorithm (Eqs. (15)–(18)) used DCS data at all S-D separations simultaneously to extract BFIs at different layer tissues. The estimation errors of BFIs decreased with the increase of the order number. Using the fifth-order solution, for example, the reconstructed errors of $aD_B$ in different tissue layers were less than 3%, and fell into the range estimated by Eq. (20). In fact, the linear model with higher orders ($N > 5$) generated even smaller errors (<2%) in calculating BFIs in different layers (data are not shown).

To compare the accuracies of the semi-infinite homogeneous solution and the high-order linear algorithm for quantifying rCBF in deep brain, BFIs at the ten variation steps were calculated using both methods. All BFIs were normalized (divided) to their reconstructed values at the first variation step, respectively, and presented as percentage changes (%). As shown in Fig. 4, rCBF values extracted by the fifth-order linear algorithm were highly consistent with the assigned true flow values at all steps (errors <3%). By contrast, the semi-infinite homogeneous solution resulted in large errors in rCBF over the ten steps (34.5% ≤ errors ≤ 60.2%). As expected, the estimation errors increased with the decrease of S-D separation.

In summary, we have extended our previous Nth-order linear algorithm for extracting BFI and rBF in homogenous tissues to heterogeneous tissues. This algorithm integrates a Nth-order linear model and Monte Carlo simulation of photon migrations in heterogeneous tissues with arbitrary geometry, and utilizes the DCS data at multiple S-D separations simultaneously. As long as the one-time Monte Carlo simulation is done, the linear model requires only simple algebraic calculations (Eqs. (17) and (18)), thus allowing for online data processing and displaying. Simulation results on an adult head model with 4-layer tissues of scalp, skull, CSF, and brain demonstrate its accuracy in extracting both BFI and rBF values in different layers. Although we have tested this linear algorithm only on the simple spherical layer tissues, arbitrary tissue geometry and volume can be obtained and tested in the future by incorporating other imaging modalities (e.g., MRI). By contrast, the semi-infinite homogeneous solution is susceptible to overlaying tissues, leading to substantial evaluation errors in BFIs of layered tissues and underestimations in rCBF (i.e., partial volume effect).

Note that for simplicity, we assumed scalp blood flow remains constant in the simulation, which may not be true during specific physiological manipulations (e.g., head-up bed tilting, breath-holding). Future study will test this linear algorithm for the use in heterogeneous tissues with different levels of blood flow variations and noises.
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