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ABSTRACT OF THESIS

HIP MUSCLE STRENGTH AND PELVIC OBLIQUITY IN COLLEGIATE FEMALES DURING WALKING AND STAIR DESCENT TASKS

The goals of the pelvis include maintaining the center of mass of the body, assisting in foot clearance and absorb forces from the lower extremities using muscles and ligaments to stabilize the joint. A better understanding of the influence of muscle strength on controlling pelvic obliquity in a healthy population will help in understanding low back pain and overuse lower extremity injuries. Thirteen females (22 ±2 yrs) participated in isokinetic strength testing of the hip abductors, adductors, internal rotators and external rotators on a Biodex dynamometer. The subjects also underwent gait analysis during self selected pace walking and stair descent. For each muscle group subjects were divided into weaker and stronger groups based on the mean. Independent t-test revealed a significantly greater amount of pelvic obliquity in the stronger group for abductors, adductors, and internal rotators during stair descent. Subjects may be compensating for more pelvic obliquity with less movement of the hip, knee and ankle. During walking weaker external rotators was the only muscle group that significantly increased pelvic obliquity. Our study supports the finding of other studies that the external rotators contribute to pelvic stabilization during walking (Powers, 2003).
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CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

The functions of the pelvis during walking are to maintain the center of mass of the body over the base of support, absorb forces from the lower extremities and assist in foot progression. Both of these goals require stabilization through muscle and ligament control. Our understanding of this muscle control is based on descriptive anatomy that categorizes structures that comprise the body (Pool et al., 1998). A study of the functional anatomy of the pelvis, or the attempt to explain how bones, ligaments and muscles operate as a system (Pool et al., 1998) has been sparse. The difference between descriptive and functional anatomy can lead to conflicts of opinion when it comes to the stabilization of the pelvis. Descriptive anatomy describes the gluteus medius as the main abductor muscle and that weakness in this muscle causes pelvic obliquity, or the rise and fall of the pelvis in the frontal plane (Perry, 1992, Kendal, 1983). Research using functional anatomy reveals that the gluteus medius and the gluteus maximus have similar electromyographic activation timing and intensities during the gait cycle (Lyons et al., 1983; Perry, 1992, Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993). This would suggest that both muscles have an influence on pelvic obliquity, but this theory has never been tested.

Studying the musculature that controls pelvic obliquity is important because the origin and insertions of the muscles control different movements across different joints. For instance the hip abductors, mainly gluteus medius, stabilize the pelvis by preventing pelvic drop while also controlling rotation of the femur. This influences the types of injuries that are associated with muscle weakness. Hip abduction and external rotation weakness has been associated with overuse injuries in all lower extremity joints (Bolga
et al., 2008; Ireland et al., 2003), while decreased gluteal activation and weakness has been found in chronic low back pain sufferers (Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993, Nadler et al., 2002). A slight weakness of the gluteus medius will produce a visible deviation in standing (Kendall, 1983), but there is no quantitative data as to what constitutes a slight weakness. Studies typically use surface or fine wire EMG (electromyography) to determine the percentage of maximum contraction that a particular muscle is working at during a movement, but both methods are impossible to perform on inferior hip musculature, particularly the external rotators, such as obturator externus and internus, due to size and location. The size of most of the muscles originating and inserting on the pelvis are small and individually would be insignificant as to affect the motion of the pelvis. On the other hand, several small muscles working at the same time will be more significant. For this reason it is important to look at the affect of different muscle groups on the movement of the pelvis. The hip abductors, hip adductors, internal rotators and external rotators all have insertions of the pelvis and therefore are expected to have the most impact on the movement of the pelvis in the frontal plane.

Pelvic obliquity has been shown to be significantly greater in females compared to males (Smith, 2002) and younger women compared to elderly women (Hageman & Blanke, 1986). It is not know if these same relationships exist during other activities of daily living. Only two studies (Brindle et al., 2003; Mian et al., 2005) have reported pelvic obliquity during stair descent, but the studies did not distinguish between genders. A better understanding of the influence of muscle groups on the movement of the pelvis will aid in rehabilitation and prevention of compensatory injuries. Therefore the problem
that is seen in the literature is a lack of research on pelvic obliquity during activities of daily living and the affect of muscle group strength on stabilization of the pelvis.

**Purpose**
The primary purpose of this paper was to provide a better understanding of the influence of muscle group strength on the kinematics of the pelvis in the frontal plane. The second purpose of this research was to document the amount of motion in the pelvis during stair descent. The third purpose of this study was to document the natural variations and imbalances in an uninjured population.

**Research Hypothesis**
Our hypothesis is that the weaker the hip abductor muscle group, the more pelvic obliquity will be seen during walking and stair descent. We also hypothesized that the subjects would demonstrate more pelvic obliquity during stair descent then during gait.

**Overview**
The following chapters will elaborate on the relationship between hip strength and pelvic obliquity in females. Chapter 2 is a review of literature about the influences on pelvic obliquity. Chapter 3 describes the methods used to answer the purpose of the research. Chapter 4 and 5 analyze and discuss the results of the study. Chapter 6 describes future studies and clinical relevance of the current study.

**Operational Definitions**

For the purpose of this study the following definitions were utilized:

**Pelvic Obliquity**
Pelvic Obliquity is the total excursion of pelvis in the frontal plane during walking and descending stairs. The pelvis was identified with marker placement on each anterior superior iliac spine and the posterior superior iliac spine. Pelvic obliquity is
characterized by a rise in the ipsilateral pelvis in the stance leg and drop of the ipsilateral pelvis in the swing phase.

Low back pain (LBP)

Discomfort of the lumbar spine and sacral region that can relate to impairments of the discs between the vertebrae, the ligaments around the spine, the spinal cord and peripheral nerves, paraspinal musculature, sacroiliac joint and pelvis

Inclusion Criteria

Female subjects between the ages of 18 to 25 with no history of injuries or surgeries to the lower extremity were used in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria included a history of lower extremity injuries or surgery, participating in a collegiate sport or being pregnant, or have ever had a child. Women over 25 were excluded from the study so that the current study would be comparable to other similar studies with respect to age range and have been shown to have a decrease in pelvic obliquity after the age of thirty five (Hageman & Blanke, 1986).

Strength testing

Testing of the hip musculature was performed using a Biodex System 3 dynamometer with concentric isokinetic testing of the hip abductors, adductors, internal rotators and external rotators.

Stair Descent Task

Participants were instructed to descend three steps, beginning the descent with the right foot for three consecutive trials and repeating with the left foot. Kinematics were
recorded during the stair descent, specifically, pelvic obliquity, and hip abduction and adduction.

**Walking Task**

Gait analysis of the hip and pelvis in the frontal plane during walking at a self selected pace.

**Mean peak torque**

Mean peak torque is the average of the peak torque value of three repetitions for abduction, adduction, internal and external rotation strength testing on the Biodex dynamometer.

**Muscle imbalance**

(%Δ ab/add) - Percent difference between mean peak torque for abduction and adduction muscle groups within each leg. The imbalance was calculated as the difference between abduction torque and adduction torque, divided by abduction torque and multiplied by 100.

(%Δ in/ex) - Percent difference between mean peak torque for internal and external rotation strength within each leg. The imbalance was calculated as the difference between internal and external rotation torque that was divided by the internal rotation and multiplied by 100.

**Kinematic variables**

(POS)-Pelvic obliquity during stair descent

(HAS)-Hip abduction and adduction angles during stair descent
(POW)-Pelvic obliquity during walking
(HAW)- Hip abduction and adduction angles during walking

Assumptions

The following assumptions were made for this study:

1) It was assumed that subjects provided an accurate account of their injury history.

2) It was assumed that the sample was representative of the female college student population.

Limitations

The following limitations were noted in the study:

1) A sample of convenience was used in the study.

2) Most of the students were recruited from the physical therapy department at the University of Kentucky and may have had more experience with the concept of strength testing.

Delimitations

The following delimitations were noted in the study:

1) The individual contributions of a single muscle with a muscle group will not be able to be assessed.

2) The results will only apply to young females who do not compete in collegiate athletics.
Significance of the study

This study will differentiate between the pattern of motion of the pelvis between two difference tasks of daily living, level walking and stair descent. Several studies have reported the strength of a healthy population, but this will be the first study to also report the imbalances of a healthy population.

CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The movement of the pelvis in the frontal plane, or pelvic obliquity, has been minimally researched, probably due to the complexity of the hip musculature that stabilizes the pelvis. Muscle strength testing and pelvic obliquity are difficult to compare between studies due to the sensitivity of these tests to age and gender. The musculature that supports the pelvis is especially important to research because weakness has been shown to affect both distal (Bolgla et al., 2008, Brindle et al., 2003; Cichanowski et al., 2007; Ireland et al., 2003;) and proximal (Bullock-Satone et al., 1993; Perry, 1992; Cohen, 2005; ) joints of the pelvis. Since the musculature that controls the pelvis also inserts on and controls the femur, it is important to study the relationship of the pelvis and hip during activities of daily living such as walking and stair descent, to have a better understanding of their interaction. The review of literature will also discuss the characteristics of hip muscle origins and insertions, testing the strength of these muscle groups and the injuries that have been linked to hip muscle imbalance and weakness.
Muscle group interaction during gait

Frontal plane kinematics of the hip and pelvis during walking or other activities of daily living are historically overlooked in research and textbooks. The influences of the frontal plane movements of the pelvis and hip on gait have particularly been understudied. One reason for the lack of research is most likely due to the complexity of the pelvic joint and muscles that control it. The muscles around the pelvis and proximal femur are typically small and assist in multiple movements. Having a basic understanding of the anatomy of the hip and the pelvis and its related role in walking is important to research and injury prevention.

The four motions of the hip associated with pelvic obliquity and hip ab/adduction that the study will be examining are hip abduction, hip adduction, hip internal rotation and hip external rotation. The muscles that assist in these movements have origins on the pelvis and insertions on the femur. Table 1 is a list of the muscles that assist in the four motions of interest, along with the origin and insertion of the muscle as reported by Kendall (1983) and Hall (2002).
Table 2.1: Muscle attachments and associated movements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Insertion</th>
<th>Abd</th>
<th>Add</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Ex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adductor brevis</td>
<td>inferior ramus of pubis</td>
<td>upper linea aspera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adductor longus</td>
<td>anterior pubis</td>
<td>middle linea aspera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adductor magnus</td>
<td>inferior ramus of pubis</td>
<td>entire linea aspera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemellus inferior</td>
<td>tuberosity of ischium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemellus Superior</td>
<td>external ischium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteus maximus</td>
<td>posterior ilium, iliac crest, sacrum</td>
<td>femur and iliotibial band</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper gluteus maximus</td>
<td>posterior ilium, iliac crest</td>
<td>femur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower gluteus maximus</td>
<td>sacrum</td>
<td>iliotibial band</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteus medius</td>
<td>ilium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior gluteus medius</td>
<td>ilium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior gluteus medius</td>
<td>ilium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteus minimus</td>
<td>posterior ilium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracilis</td>
<td>pubic symphysis</td>
<td>medial tibia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obturator externus</td>
<td>rami of pubis</td>
<td>femur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obturator internus</td>
<td>pelvic surface of ischium</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectineus</td>
<td>pubic ramus</td>
<td>femur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piriformis</td>
<td>pelvic surface of sacrum</td>
<td>greater trochanter</td>
<td>x*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratus femoris</td>
<td>tuberosity of ischium</td>
<td>quadrate line on femur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sartorius</td>
<td>iliac spine</td>
<td>tibia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abd=abduction, add=adduction, In=internal rotation, Ex=external rotation
*=may assist when hip is flexed

Hip abduction movement is achieved through contraction of the gluteus medius, gluteus minimus and tensor fascia latae. All three muscles have origins on the lateral aspect of the ilium and insert on the femur. Of the three muscles the gluteus medius is the main stabilizer of the pelvis (Kendall, 1983) and the only muscle listed in the literature as having an effect on the pelvis when weak (Kendall, 1983; Perry, 1992; Smith et al., 2002; Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993). Abductor muscle activity is generated beginning in terminal swing and reaching 20% of maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) at initial contact, before ending by mid stance to control abduction of the hip (Perry, 1992). The stabilization of the pelvis requires increased intensity, 35% of
MVIC, during loading response and single leg support during swing. The amount of muscle activity generated depends on the speed of the walk and the high mechanical demand (Perry, 1992).

Hip adduction is achieved with five muscles that have origins on the ramus of pubis or symphysis pubis and insert on the medial aspect of the femur and tibia. The pectineus, adductor magnus, gracilis, adductor brevis and adductor longus are historically weaker than the hip abductor muscles and also assist in hip flexion, and knee medial rotation (Kendall, 1986, Hall, 2002). Each muscle assists in maintaining hip stability during different stages of the gait cycle. For instance the lower gluteus maximus and adductor magnus provide stability at the hip during loading response while the gluteus maximus and adductor magnus increase their activity to 30% MVIC during early loading. The adductor muscles as a whole are vital in pre swing to decelerate the passive abduction of the hip caused by weight transfer to the other foot and the gracilis assists the passive momentum of the hip during initial swing (Perry, 1992).

Internal or medial hip rotation is controlled by the same muscles as hip abduction but with the tensor fascia latae and gluteus minimus as the main rotators with assistance from the anterior fibers of the gluteus medius (Kendall, 1983, Hall, 2005). The internal rotators are activated during loading response as a result of subtalar joint reaction to heel loading and rotation of ipsilateral pelvis from the trailing limb (Perry, 124). The external or lateral rotators of the hip are made up of six to nine muscles with origins on the pelvis and insertions on the greater trochanter of the femur. Kendall lists the piriformis, quadratus femoris, obturator internus, obturator externus, gemellus superior, and gemullus inferior as external rotators while Hall, 2005 included the gluteus maximus,
adductor magnus, and the adductor brevis (Hall, 2005). The external rotators are the shortest and weakest muscle group of the hip.

**Pattern and variation of movement of the pelvis and hip during gait**

For the purpose of this paper, the focus will be on the kinematics of the frontal plane of the pelvis and hip during walking. Although the total excursions of these movements are small during walking, they are no less important in maintaining an efficient gait pattern and control of the trunk. At initial contact, the pelvis is level but quickly moves into the loading response where the pelvis looses the support of the contralateral leg, causing the pelvis of the supported limb to raise to counter balance the contralateral pelvis. This pattern continues throughout the stance phase. During preswing the unloading of the limb results in an ipsilateral pelvic drop due to the loss of support of the limb (Perry, 1992). The total excursion of the pelvis or the sum of the degrees of the rise and fall of the pelvis is that is reported most in the literature when talking about pelvic obliquity. The sacroiliac joint initiates pelvic movement when the trunk mass is eccentric to the center of the supporting hip joint and is stimulated by the height of the center of gravity and the change in momentum induced by foot initial contact (Perry, 1992). The movement of the hip follows the pelvis in the frontal plane so that when one side of the pelvis is high the hip is adducted in relation to the pelvis (Kendall, 170).

Only a hand full of studies has researched pelvic obliquity in a healthy population. The majority of studies that investigate pelvic obliquity involve subjects with lower extremity amputations, arthritis in the hip, stroke and cerebral palsy. Our knowledge of pelvic obliquity in a healthy population has come from three main authors (Blanke &
Hageman, 1989; Hageman & Blanke, 1986; and Smith et al., 2002). In 1986, Hageman and Blanke reported the difference in pelvic obliquity between young and elderly women. Thirteen 20-35 year olds and thirteen 60+ aged women demonstrated a significant difference in pelvic obliquity. The younger women demonstrated 9.86 ± 2.38° of pelvic obliquity while the older group only demonstrated 6.77 ± 2.05° of pelvic obliquity (Hageman, 1986). This was followed up in 1989 by Blanke and Hageman studying the pelvic obliquity of twenty four males. Twelve men aged 20-32 were reported to have 7.42 ± 2.11° of pelvic obliquity while the second group of twelve men aged 60-74 had 6.08 ± 2.5° pelvic obliquity (Blank & Hageman, 1989). While the first two studies focused on age differences, in 2002, Smith et al. examined the affect of gender on pelvic obliquity. Four groups of thirty subjects made up of younger and older men and women of similar ages as the prior two studies were used. Comparisons were made between younger men and women, older men and women, and all men and women from both age groups. All women had significantly greater pelvic obliquity than all men with 9.4 ± 3.5° for all women and 7.4 ± 3.4° for men at a p=.0024. Young women were close to a significant difference in pelvic obliquity compared to young men with 11.1 ± 3.2° and 9.6 ± 3.2° at p=.07, respectively. Older women demonstrated significantly greater pelvic obliquity than older men at 7.7 ± 3.1° compared to 5.3 ± 1.9° with p=.0005 (Smith et al., 2002). In summary the three papers have established that younger women have greater pelvic obliquity than older women and in general women have more pelvic obliquity than men.
Kinematics of Stair Descent Task

Stair climbing is a common activity of daily living, especially in the college population. Sagittal plane kinematics and kinetics have been well documented in the elderly and those with knee injuries including patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS) and ACL tears. The scarce amount of research that has been conducted on stair kinematics and kinetics in the frontal plane has been primarily confined to the knee and ankle in a PFPS population. The results reported in these investigations have been inconsistent. Similar to level walking, stair descent is made up of stance and swing phase. The Stance phase during stair descent makes up 68±2% of the gait cycle (GC) comprised of three subphases; weight acceptance (0-14% of GC), forward continuance (14-34% of GC) and controlled lowering (34-68% of GC). The swing phase makes up 32 ±2% of the gait cycle with two subphases of leg pull through (68-84% of GC) and foot placement (84-100% of GC) (Zachazewski et al., 1993). Brindle et al. reported 5.1± 3.0° of pelvic obliquity during stair descent in subjects with symptomatic PFPS and 4.4± 4.7° in asymptomatic PFPS. The study used twelve females and four males in the PFP group and seven females and five males in the control group. Measurements were collapsed across genders and although not reported, it is assumed that these values are of pelvic drop as compared to pelvic obliquity. Mian et al., 2005, reported 6.6 ± 2.5 degrees pelvic obliquity ROM and 10.1 ± 2.2 degrees hip ab/adduction ROM during stair descent in healthy young men. It is assumed that the same relationship between pelvic obliquity and gender during gait would exist during stair ascent and descent as well.
Muscle recruitment patterns during stair descent

The gluteus medius is important in the progression of the gait cycle during the stair descent task because the muscle facilitates the shift of weight to the support limb (McFadyen & Winter, 1988). The gluteus medius activity begins at terminal swing and continues into stance at 20% MVIC while the upper portion of the gluteus maximus is activated at the same time with 15% MVIC (Lyons et al., 1983; Mcfadyen & Winter, 1987). Hollman et al recently found similar results when testing 20 healthy women during stair descent. Hollman et al reported the %MVIC of the gluteus medius and maximus at 21.9± 13.1 % and 9.2±4.1 %, respectively. The study also tested and correlated hip adduction and knee valgus angles, and abductor and external rotator strength. There were significant correlations for gluteus maximus %MVIC and knee valgus, and hip abductor strength and knee valgus. The study concluded that the gluteus maximus can eccentrically control femoral internal rotation and adduction during unilateral tasks and that gluteus medius strength may contribute to femoral internal rotation during single-limb weight bearing (Hollman et al., 2009).

Strength Testing

Strength testing is important in clinical and research setting to understanding more about the influence of the muscle on the accompanied movement. Isokinetic strength testing is considered by most the gold standard for strength measurements (Lund et al., 2005) and can be performed in concentric or eccentric contractions. Isokinetic measures are popular due to the ability to measure muscle performance including peak torque and endurance during a specified limb movement (Rothstein et al, 1987).
Mechanical isokinetic dynamometer’s such as Cybex and Biodex brands are considered the gold standard by the industry. They are more reliable than a hand-held dynamometer because the test does not rely on tester strength or tester response to the subject. Furthermore, the Biodex has been found to be highly reliable with no learning effect for the subject with proper instruction (Lund et al., 2005). The Biodex and Cybex dynamometers also have an advantage to clinicians and researchers by supplying a wide variety of parameters relating to force, power, work and arithmetic calculations (Saepa, 1990).

One previous study has reported abduction strength testing in a supine position, but the author also built a body stabilization frame to assist with stability (Cahalan et al., 1988). Due to the isokinetic testing, the recommendations of the manufacturer, and the similarity to the other dynamic activities being tested, the subjects in this study were standing during the hip adduction and abduction testing. While no study has directly compared standing and side-lying positions, one study found no difference in strength measures between side-lying and side-lying with restraints of the pelvis (Laheru et al., 2007). This leads the author to believe that testing in a standing position can increase pelvic instability, but will not significantly alter strength testing results.

Although strength testing with dynamometers has been popular since the 1970’s the development of population specific data with sensitivity to muscle group, age, gender, and activity level is a formidable task that has not been competed to date (Saepa, 1990). Furthermore, small muscles like hip internal and external rotators have long been overlooked for larger muscle groups. Other confounding factors of comparing strength testing between studies include subject population, equipment used, and specification of
test including type of contraction, speed, and position of the body during testing. Cahalan et al reported strength measurements in closest relation to the current study testing isokinetic ab/adduction and hip internal and external rotation in women. The abduction and adduction torque at a speed of 90 degrees/sec were 54 ± 20 nm and 62 ± 32 nm, respectively. Hip internal and external rotation torque at 30 degrees/sec were 47 ± 13 nm and 43 ± 13 nm, respectively. Cahalan also showed that strength will decrease as speed increases.

It has been established that muscle imbalances exist in a normal population. At the same time, “in non-athletes the assumption that symmetry is the norm for muscular performance is reasonable for all major muscle groups in the lower extremities” (Sapega, 1990). Caution must be taken when describing a muscle difference as an imbalance because a difference of 10 percent qualifies by definition as a real difference in the capacity of performance between two extremities, but is not necessarily considered an abnormal difference. Since the degree of imbalance that impairs functional performance and or predisposes the area to injury has not been clearly established, the literature remains vague as to categorizing muscle imbalances (Sapega, 1990). The following guidelines have been established to name muscle imbalances in a healthy population; less then ten percent is considered normal; ten to twenty percent difference is considered a possible abnormality; and differences greater than 20 percent are probably abnormal (Sapega, 1990).

Pelvic stabilization and gluteus medius weakness

Kendall described two stages of gluteus medius weakness as marked weakness and slight weakness. Marked weakness consists of “displacement of the body weight
laterally toward the side of the weak muscle in such a way that the hip joint is thrust in the position of hip abduction in relation to the pelvis.” (Kendall, 1983). Slight weakness is described as only a postural deviation when standing caused by a high pelvis and adducted femur on the side with the abductor weakness. Other authors only make one classification of abductor weakness as the point when the gluteus medius is unable to stabilize the pelvis in single leg stance (Whittle & Levine, 1999) due to an abductor muscle strength less than grade 3+ out of 6 for manual muscle testing (Perry, 1992).

Lower Extremity injuries associated with gluteus medius weakness

In the last decade however, researchers have consistently found significant weakness of the hip abductor and external rotator strength in subjects with PFPS (Ireland et al., 2003; Cichanowski et al., 2007; Leetun et al., 2004; Bolgla et al., 2007, Robinson & Nee, 2007). Niemuth et al attributed weaker abduction strength in recreational runners to overuse lower extremity injuries such as plantar fascitis, Achilles tendonitis, tibial stress fractures, illiotibial band syndrome and patellar tendinitis (Niemuth et al., 2005).

Although strength testing among those with PFPS has consistently found statistically significant weaknesses in the hip abductors and external rotators, the kinematic affects of the weakness during stair descent has not yielded consistent results. Mascal et al. reported the changes in stair descent in two females participating in physical therapy for PFPS. Kinematic analysis of stair descent before and after treatment revealed an improvement in the hip rotator angle from 1.4° internal rotation to 2.6° of external rotation. Hip adduction decreased from 8.7° to 2.3° and the contralateral pelvic drop was reduced from 3.9° to 1.1° (Mascal et al., 2003). Bolgla et al. however compared PFPS patients with healthy females and found similar strength measures as Mascal et al. in the
PFPS group but did not find any significant differences in kinematics compared to the control group during stair descent.

**Low back pain associated with gluteus medius weakness**

Nadler et al. has investigated the affect of hip strength on core strength and the development of low back pain in an athletic population. Injured females were found to have greater differences in side to side strength then injured males (Nadler et al., 2000). Interestingly, female athletes with weaker left abductors were significantly more likely to develop LBP (Nadler et al., 2001) while the difference in side to side abductor strength of the same population did not lead to the development of LBP (Nadler et al., 2002). It is unknown if the same relationships exist in a non-athletic female population. Fifteen to twenty percent of persistent low back pain is estimated to be caused by sacroiliac joint dysfunction (Rathmell, 2008). The cause and therefore treatment of SI joint dysfunction is still unknown. Nine muscles of the hips and abdomen support the lower back and specifically the sacroiliac (SI) joint. Of this nine, three, the gluteus maximus, gluteus medius and piriformis are also involved in movement of the hip in the frontal plane. All nine muscles are used to create stability for effective transfer of forces across the sacrum and pelvis and successful compression of the SI joint to prevent shearing. Several studies have already shown significant weakness in these stabilizing muscles that could potentially disrupt the stability of the SI joint (Harrison et al., 1997).

**Conclusion**

In summary, gluteus medius weakness has been associated with low back pain and lower extremity injuries. The gluteus medius is associated with pelvic obliquity but the association has not been directly tested. Furthermore, the complexity of the
musculature that stabilizes the hip makes it unlikely that the weakness of one muscle would lead to increased pelvic obliquity. Testing the strength of hip muscle groups and comparing them to the movement of the pelvis in a healthy population will give a better idea of muscle interactions and the importance of the strength of different muscle groups.

CHAPTER THREE: METHODS

Based on the literature there was a lack of research about pelvic obliquity that was gender and age specific. Secondly, muscle strength and the kinematics of the distal segments have been studied (Bolgla et al., 2008; Hollman et al., 2009) but muscle strength and the proximal segments have not been studied to date. Lastly, pelvic obliquity has not been documented during activities of daily living in a specific age and gender group. The following describes the methods used to test the hip muscle strength and pelvic obliquity during activities of daily living in college females.

Subjects

Female subjects between the ages of 18 to 25 were recruited from flyers in the University of Kentucky Kinesiology and Health Promotion department and the Rehabilitation Sciences department. Testing was held in the Biodynamics Laboratory at the University of Kentucky. Based on prior testing by Cichanowski et al., strength differences can be detected when using thirteen subjects with an effect size of 1 and a 70% power to detect significant differences between groups with a two-sample t-test (Cichanowski et al., 2007). Thirteen females were recruited (mean age=23±2 yrs, mean height= 167 ± 14cm and weight 61.8 ± 16.9 kgs).
Procedures

All subjects read and signed an informed consent form approved by the University of Kentucky Institutional Review Board before testing began. A full version of the consent form is in Appendix 1. All procedures followed were within ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board at the University of Kentucky. A short questionnaire, Appendix 2, was completed by the subject as to medical history of lower extremity injuries to confirm eligibility.

Subjects underwent familiarization of isokinetic strength testing on the Biodex System 3 dynamometer (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY). Hip abduction and adduction were tested in a standing position, facing the Biodex lever arm. The pad was positioned on the lower third of the thigh on the medial and lateral aspects of the leg.
The range of motion was set by setting hip abduction at the point prior to pelvic rise and placing feet together for adduction. Subjects were instructed to perform the full range of motion as fast and as hard as possible for three repetitions of isokinetic concentric contractions at 120 °/sec. Subjects went through the same procedure on both legs and performed three complete repetitions on each leg. Next the subjects sat in the Biodex bench and straps were applied across each shoulder and over the uninvolved leg. The lever arm with pad was changed and repositioned on the proximal third of the shank.
Maximum internal rotation was set without allowing the subject to lift the thigh off the chair or go into pelvic obliquity. External rotation was the farthest point without the subject flexing the hip while externally rotating. The same procedures were followed as previously stated with three repetitions of isokinetic concentric contractions at 60 °/sec on both legs. These results were not used for analysis since it was a familiarization trial.
Once familiarization of the strength testing was complete the subject was unstrapped from the Biodex and reflective markers were positioned bilaterally on the bony landmarks of the subject’s shoulder, humerus, elbow, forearm, wrist, ASIS, PSIS, medial and lateral epicondyle, medial and lateral malleolus, fifth metatarsal, heels, toes and one off set on the superior right foot. Clusters of three markers were also placed on the thighs and shanks of the subject and secured by wrapping pre-wrap and athletic tape around the cluster and leg. Eight Eagle Digital Cameras (Motion Analysis Corp., Santa Rosa, CA) recorded the subject using Cortex 1.14 (Motion Analysis Corp., Santa Rosa, CA) during gait and stair descent. During stair descent a total of six trials were collected with three trials starting the right foot descent and three trials with left foot descent. Three trials of walking were collected at a self selected pace. A one second static picture was collected with the subject standing in anatomical position. Next, medial knee and ankle markers were removed for dynamic tasks. The trials were collected for four seconds at 100 Hz. The trials were rectified, smoothed at 6 Hz and unknown markers
were deleted. Trials were loaded into Visual 3-D v. 4.00.17 (C-Motion, Germantown, MD) post processing software for further analysis. Stair trials were analyzed from toe off at the highest step to heel strike of the ipsilateral leg on the ground level. Walking trials were analyzed by full strides, defined as heel strike to ipsilateral heel strike. The three trials of each leg were averaged and graphed.

Figure 3.4: Subject performing stair descent task.
Once finished with the stair descent and gait trials, all markers were removed and subjects were instructed to sit on the Biodex chair and were again strapped to the chair for safety, and were aligned with the lever arm for hip internal and external rotation starting on the right leg. Range of motion and all instructions were reviewed with the subject as previously stated before the test was administered.

Once the subject had performed three repetitions of internal and external rotation through the full range of motion on the right leg, the leg stabilization strap was moved to the right leg and the same procedure was administered on the left leg. Once the subject had completed the internal and external hip rotation strength, the subject was unstrapped from the chair and the computer and dynamometer were set up for hip abduction and adduction strength testing. Once set up, placement of the subject and range of motion was complete as previously stated, the subject performed to test on the right leg and then the left leg. Patients were given a print out with two graphs, one with right leg and left leg during abduction and adduction and one with right and left leg during internal and external rotation. For analysis, the numerical data from the Biodex was exported into Excel 2003 (Farmington, CT). The peak force produced for each movement was found for all three repetitions and averaged together.

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS version 15.0 (Chicago, IL) was used to perform all statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were run on all variables including mean, and standard deviation. Strength measures were calculated by averaging the peak torque of three repetitions for abduction, adduction, internal rotation and external rotation. Joint angles that were analyzed were expressed as the total excursion of the pelvis and hip in the frontal plane in
degrees. Pelvic obliquity during stair descent (POS), hip ab/adduction during stair descent (HAS), pelvic obliquity during walking (POW) and hip ab/adduction during walking (HAW) were compared to the strength measures. The percent difference between mean peak torque for abduction and adduction ($\% \Delta$ ab/add) muscle groups were calculated within each leg. The imbalance was calculated as the difference between abduction torque and adduction torque, divided by abduction torque and multiplied by 100. Percent difference between mean peak torque for internal and external rotation ($\% \Delta$ in/ex) strength were calculated within each leg. The imbalance was calculated as the difference between internal and external rotation torque that was divided by the internal rotation and multiplied by 100. A paired sample t-test was run to determine significant differences between the right and left sides. The mean value of each strength measurement was used to divide all strength tests into two groups. The group of subjects that tested above the mean was named the “stronger” group while the subjects that tested below the mean fell into the category of “weaker”. An independent t-test using Levene’s test for equality of variance was used to determine differences between the weaker and stronger group in the amount of pelvic and hip motion seen in the subjects during walking and stair descent.

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS

The purpose of the study was to examine the influence of hip muscle strength on the range of motion of the hip and pelvis in the frontal plane during a walking task and stair descent task. This was achieved by testing the strength of the hip abductors, adductors, internal rotators and external rotators and tracking the pelvic obliquity and hip
ab/adduction ROM during self selected pace walking and self selected pace stair descent walking. This chapter describes the results of the strength testing and kinematic analysis of the pelvis.

Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics for strength testing, imbalances, and range of motion of the pelvis and hip of the combined right and left legs for all subjects are shown in Table 4.1. The minimum value, maximum value, mean and standard deviation and paired differences t-test between the right and left leg are displayed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean &amp; Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abductors (Nm)</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>37.89± 14.89</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adductors (Nm)</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>87.37</td>
<td>42.28± 20.45</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotators (Nm)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>38.14± 12.72</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotators (Nm)</td>
<td>19.83</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>29.35± 5.44</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Δ ab/add(%)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>59.21</td>
<td>27.25±16.79</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Δ in/ex(%)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>55.59</td>
<td>21.58±14.56</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS(º)</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>10.06±2.24</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAS(º)</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>14.27±3.61</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POW(º)</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>11.47±2.84</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAW(º)</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.03±3.65</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POS=pelvic obliquity during stair descent  HAS=hip angle in the frontal plane during stair descent
POW=pelvic obliquity during walking  HAW=hip angle in the frontal plane during walking
%Δab/add=percent difference between abductors and adductors in both right and left legs
%Δin/ex=percent difference between internal and external rotators in both right and left legs

The hip adductors were the strongest muscle group tested and had the greatest variability at 42.28± 20.45 Nm. The external rotators were the weakest muscle group tested at 29.35± 5.44 Nm. Abductors and internal rotators were almost identical in strength measurement. There was no difference in the ROM of the pelvis and hip between stair descent and level walking. There was also no significant difference (p=.05)
between the measurements of the right and left leg on any test parameter. Since the right and left side variables are not significantly different the two sides were combined to lower the risk of a type I or type II error due to the smaller population size.

**Independent T-tests**

Using a t-test to compare means and Levene’s test for equality of variance, the mean value of the muscle group strength measures and imbalances (percent difference of a pair of muscles on the same leg) was used to determine the affect of strength on the range of motion of the pelvis and hip. These results are reported in Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.2: Results from 2-tail independent t-test of strength testing and affect on pelvic and hip ROM with p-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>abductors&gt; 40 nm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>abductors&lt; 40nm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>adductors&gt; 40nm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>adductors&lt; 40nm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>internal&gt; 40nm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>internal&lt; 40nm</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%Δab/add=percent difference between abductors and adductors
%Δrotators=percent difference between internal and external rotators

Table 4.2 shows that subjects with abduction, adduction or internal rotation torque greater than the mean of all subjects demonstrated significantly more pelvic obliquity during stair descent then those that had less then 40nm of strength. Subjects with external rotation torque less than the mean (>30 nm) demonstrated significantly more pelvic obliquity during walking then those that had more then 30nm of strength. The amount of imbalance between the hip abductors and adductors did not have an effect on
the pelvis or hip during stair descent or walking while the greater imbalance found in the rotators lead to an increase in pelvic obliquity during stair descent.

Muscle imbalances were calculated as a percent difference between agonist and antagonist muscle groups within each leg for the left and the right legs. The amount of imbalance between the abductor and adductor group did not significantly change the ROM of the pelvis during stair descent or walking. On the other hand, the more imbalances that were seen between the internal and external rotators, the more motion that was seen in the pelvis during stair descent.

**Representative Kinematics during Stair Descent**

Based on the peak mean torque of the hip abductors, a representative weaker and stronger subject was randomly selected and graphed to demonstrate the kinematic affects of hip abductor strength on the pattern of movement. Figures 4.1 to 4.4 are graphed from right heel strike to right heel strike with the stance phase and swing phase labeled on each graph. In Figure 4.1 the mean and standard deviation pelvic obliquity pattern of three strides on the right side of the two representative subjects during stair descent is graphed from right heel strike on the stair to right heel strike on the ground.
Figure 4.1-Mean and standard deviation of the pelvis in the frontal plane in a representative subject with a weaker and stronger hip abductor strength.

The red line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a weaker hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed. The black line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a stronger hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed. Stance phase (0-68%) is made up of weight acceptance (0-14%), forward continuance (14-34%), and controlled lowering (34-68%), while swing phase (68-100%) is comprised of leg pull through (68-84%) and foot placement (84-100%) as described by Zachazewski et al, 1993.

The temporal phases of the stair descent task were as described by Zachazewski et al. in 1993. During weight acceptance (0-14%) both subjects demonstrated an approximate two degree rise in the pelvis but the stronger subject performed the motion with a greater amount of magnitude. Both subjects demonstrated a similar pattern of a fall and rise of the pelvis during forward continuance (14-34%) but the weaker subject demonstrated a delay in the rise of the pelvis. During controlled lowering (34-68%) the pelvis dropped throughout the phase but the stronger subject demonstrated a greater magnitude in the second half of the phase and had two degrees more range of motion during this phase than the weaker subject. Leg pull through (68-84%) was assisted by a rise and slight fall of the pelvis with the weaker subject demonstrating a greater magnitude of rise and fall while the stronger subject maintained the level of the pelvis.
through most of the phase. Lastly, the foot placement phase (84-100%) demonstrated a steady rise of approximately six degrees in the pelvis of the stronger subject while the weaker subject only demonstrated an approximate one degree rise and did not reach the same degree of pelvic rise that was seen at the beginning of the gait cycle.

**Figure 4.2- Hip motion in the sagittal plane during stair descent in a representative subject with a strong or weak hip abduction strength**

Figure 4.2-The red line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a weaker hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed. The black line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a stronger hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed.

Figure 4.2 represents the mean and standard deviation of three strides in a representative subject that demonstrated a stronger (in black) amount of hip abductor strength and a weaker (in red) amount of hip abductor strength. The sagittal plane motion of the hip is graphed from right heel strike to right heel strike and the stance and swing phases are labeled. The weaker subject was in approximately five degrees more hip flexion at heel strike then the stronger subject and demonstrated a higher magnitude of
extension till 20 % of the gait cycle. From 20 to 70% of the gait cycle the two subjects demonstrated similar magnitude and range of motion of the hip. During the swing phase, the weaker subject maintained approximately five degrees greater hip flexion throughout the swing phase then the stronger subject.

**Figure 4.3**-The red line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a weaker hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed. The black line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a stronger hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed.

Figure 4.3 represents the motion of the knee in the sagittal plane during stair descent. The mean and standard deviation of the three strides are shown from right heel strike to right heel strike. Both subjects demonstrated a similar pattern of motion throughout the gait cycle with the weaker subject demonstrating a greater amount of flexion at 0-10 % of the gait cycle and form 60-80 % of the gait cycle.
Figure 4.4-The red line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a weaker hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed. The black line represents the pattern of motion of a subject with a stronger hip abductor with the mean and standard deviation of three strides being graphed.

Figure 4.4 represents the pattern of ankle motion in the sagittal plane from right heel strike to right heel strike in two representative subjects that demonstrated weaker (red line) and stronger (black line) abductor strengths. The stronger subject demonstrates less magnitude in dorsiflexion from 15-60 % of the gait cycle then the weaker subject while the weaker subject demonstrates a greater magnitude of plantarflexion during the swing phase.

**Kinematics of Walking**

The walking phase of the test was at a self selected pace (mean 2.75 ± .43 mls/hr) and was graphed in figure 4.5 from right heel strike to right heel strike. The stance phase
and swing phase of the gait cycle are labeled with the mean and standard deviation of pelvic obliquity in all subjects.

During the first half of the gait cycle the hip is going into adduction and the pelvis is moving in an upward direction. They descend and level off between 40 to 50% of the gait cycle before the pelvis continues to drop and the hip goes into abduction till 70% of gait cycle. From 70 to 100% of the gait cycle the pelvis and hip rise and adduct to neutral.

**Conclusion**

Over all hip abduction, adduction and internal rotation significantly influence the amount of pelvic obliquity seen during stair descent. A greater amount of pelvic obliquity was seen in patients that were considered to have stronger hip muscle torque production. Differences in sagittal plane motions were seen between a representative weaker and stronger hip abductor subject. Hip abduction weakness was not a contributing factor in increased pelvic obliquity during gait, but subjects with weaker external rotators did experience an increase in pelvic obliquity during walking.
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION

The purpose of this paper was to investigate the relationship between hip musculature strength and pelvic obliquity in females during walking and stair descent. To accomplish this goal, thirteen females were recruited to participate in the study. The average peak torque of four muscle groups were compared to the amount of pelvic obliquity the subjects demonstrated during stair descent self selected pace walking. The following chapter will provide a discussion of the finding of the current study in comparison to current literature.

Strength testing

Compared to the literature, our strength measures were lower than expected. Cahalan et al. tested 18 females aged 20 to 40 using isokinetic concentric motion at 30°, 90°, 150°, and 210° per second. As the testing procedures in this investigation were similar to Cahalan except for the type of contraction, it was expected our hip abduction and adduction strength measures, measured at 120° per sec, to be less than Cahalan’s measures at 90° per second but greater that at 150° per second. The measures that we recorded were similar to the measures that Cahalan reported at 210° per second. Internal and external hip rotators were closer to expected values due to the decrease in range of hip strength that the muscle can produce. The lower values of strength can be attributed to the smaller age range of predominately advanced degree seeking college students. Furthermore, every dynamometer measures differently and the fact that our standard deviations were smaller then Cahalan et al, shows that the values are still relevant and accurate.
**Muscle Imbalances**

Muscle imbalances between agonist and antagonist muscles have not been reported in a healthy population. Several studies have reported significant imbalances of the same muscle between legs in a healthy population (Jacobs et al., 2005) and an injured athletic population (Nadler et al., 2002). Our study did not support either of these findings since the current study did not find any significant differences between muscle groups on the right and left sides. Imbalances were calculated between abduction and adduction and internal and external rotation of the same leg. Both sets of groups exhibited a mean that was greater than 20 percent which is considered “probably abnormal” for a normal population according to Sapega, 1990. Since none of the subjects had ever experience a lower extremity injury it is likely that injuries are caused by more than one mechanism besides just a muscle imbalance.

**Kinematics of the pelvis and hip**

The results of this investigation for the range of motion of the pelvis during walking ($11.47 \pm 2.84^\circ$) were higher then Hageman et al., who reported $9.86 \pm 2.38^\circ$ of pelvic obliquity in thirteen females aged 20 to 35, but were in agreement with Smith et al who reported 30 females aged 22 to 40 with a pelvic obliquity of $11.1 \pm 3.2^\circ$. Our hip ab/adduction ROM is in agreement with Perry who stated that during walking the hip will go through 15 degrees of ab/adduction.

Although no study has directly compared pelvic obliquity during stair descent and level walking, it was hypothesized in this study that stair descent would require more eccentric force, and therefore produces higher torque within the muscle and would increase the amount of pelvic obliquity. One study of young men, aged 24-30 years
reported pelvic obliquity during stair descent as 6.6±2.5º (Mian et al., 2007), while Blanke et al reported pelvic obliquity at 7.42±2.11º during walking in men of the same age range. This study was in agreement with the later two studies and found no difference in pelvic obliquity between level walking and stair descent.

Relationship between muscle strength and pelvic kinematics

Based on the literature, the gluteus medius is the main abductor muscle of the hip and the main stabilizer of the pelvis (Kendall, 1983; Perry, 1992). Weakness of the gluteus medius is thought to cause an increase in pelvic obliquity (Perry, 1992). This theory leads to the hypothesis that weaker musculature would equate to more range of motion in stairs and walking. However, in this investigation this theory was not found to be the case for walking or stair descent. The only hip musculature that significantly increased pelvic obliquity when the muscle was weaker was the external rotators during the walking task. Although this study cannot distinguish which of the external rotator muscles has the most influence on pelvic movement, it can be theorized which muscles have the most ability to influence the pelvis. Given the size, location, and muscle activation patterns, it is most likely that the upper portions of the gluteus maximus have the most influence of all the external rotators. External hip rotation strength was testing in a sitting position which would increase the use of the gluteus maximus as a simultaneous external rotator and abductor due to the hips being flexed. Furthermore, several books and articles have commented on the similar activation timing and relative intensity of the gluteus maximus and gluteus medius during gait (Perry, 1992; Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993; Lyons et al., 1983). Also, PFPS patients have been shown to have both abductor and external rotator weakness when compared to normal subjects (Bolgla
et al., 2008; Ireland et al., 2003). This leads to the conclusion that hip external rotators may play as much or more of a role in pelvis stability than hip abductors in young females during self-selected pace walking. More research is needed to determine the exact relationship between hip abductors, external rotators, and pelvic obliquity during gait.

**Stair Descent**

Walking down stairs is an activity of daily living and is achieved through eccentric contractions that control the gravitational forces acting on the body (McFadyen and Winters, 1987). In the current study, the hip musculature had an opposite affect on the kinematics of the pelvis as what was hypothesized during stair descent. The stronger the hip abductors, adductors, and internal rotators were, the more range of motion the pelvis demonstrated. Stair descent is a dynamic process that requires more balance compensation based on a greater magnitude of separation between the center of mass and center of pressure (Zachazewski et al., 1993). Theoretically, if a muscle was stronger, then it would be able to lengthen more during an eccentric contraction, allowing the joint that it was inserted into to move through a greater range of motion during the contraction. Furthermore, Smith et al., 2002 stated that greater pelvic obliquity reduces vertical center of mass displacement and conserves energy. It may be that during the greater demands of stair descent that only the stronger women are able to take advantage of decreasing the amount of movement of their center of mass.

Based on the analysis of the sagittal plane kinematics of two subjects representing the weaker and stronger abductor group, subjects with greater range of motion in the pelvis may compensate by a decreased range of motion in the sagittal plane in the hip,
knee, or ankle. On the other hand, subjects with weaker hip abduction strength that demonstrated less pelvic obliquity during stairs may compensate by increasing the sagittal plane range of motion of the hip, knee, or ankle during stair descent. More research is needed to determine if a consistent pattern of joint compensation is used to negotiate stair descent with hip abductor weakness.

Conclusion

Up to this point the influence of muscle groups on pelvic obliquity has not been researched. Surface EMG cannot reach inferior muscles and can not distinguish while fine wire EMG cannot accurately test the small inferior muscles of the pelvis such as the external rotators. The pelvis absorbs forces from the lower and upper extremity and more and more studies are reporting the influence of hip musculature weakness on the development of lower extremity and low back injuries. For this reason, it is important to have a better understanding of the interactions of the hip musculature on pelvic movement during activities of daily living. Our findings indicate that the external rotators may play an important role in pelvic obliquity in females during gait. Furthermore, this study shows that the pelvis may have different compensatory actions during stair descent compared to gait that needs to be researched further.

CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary

To date, the movements of the hip and pelvis have largely been over looked, especially in the frontal plane. Weakness in the muscles that control pelvic obliquity have been associated with low back pain (Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993; Nadler et al., 2002; Hollman et al., 2009) and over use injuries in the lower extremities (Bolgla et al.,
Pelvic obliquity is important in the transfer of forces from the lower extremities to the spine, yet the study of the movement and the muscles that control this movement have been sparsely studied. For these reasons the purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of muscle strength on pelvic obliquity during activities of daily living in a healthy population of female college students. This will serve as a baseline for comparison of those with hip musculature weakness to better understand the affects on pelvic obliquity.

To achieve this goal, healthy females from the University of Kentucky were recruited to participate. Subjects underwent isokinetic strength testing of the hip abductors, adductors, internal rotators and external rotators on a Biodex System 3. Subjects also underwent 3-dimensional gait analysis during self selected pace walking and stair descent. An independent t-test was run to determine the strength of a particular hip muscle group resulted in a significant difference in pelvic obliquity or hip ab/adduction. Muscle groups and % difference imbalances were divided into two groups (above and below the mean) and compared to the total excursion of the pelvis and hip in the frontal plane during stair descent and walking.

The subjects demonstrated a wide range of strengths and imbalances from 10.33 nm to 87.37nm of torque in the adductors to 1.83 % to 59.21 % difference between abductors and adductors. No differences were found between the right and left legs for all variables and no kinematic differences were found between the stairs descent and walking tasks. Kinematic differences were found in relation to strength in several muscle
groups for stair descent and walking tasks. Hip abductors, adductors, and internal rotators that were stronger than the mean of the group demonstrated more pelvic obliquity during stair descent then the subjects that produced less torque then the mean of the group. On the other hand, the subjects with stronger external rotators produced less pelvic obliquity during walking.

In this study, healthy young women demonstrated a different relationship between muscle group torque production and the range of motion of the pelvis during walking and stair descent. During stair descent, subjects with higher torque production demonstrated more pelvic obliquity which may be a mechanism for controlling the center of mass during controlled lowering. The finding of this paper that the external rotators were the only muscle group to have a significant affect on pelvic obliquity during walking supports the theory of several other authors that the external rotators, mainly gluteus maximus also works as a pelvic stabilizer (Powers, 2003; Bullock-Saxton et al., 1993; Cichanowski et al, 2007; Cohen, 2005;Pool et al., 1998). A better understanding of the affects of the hip musculature on the stability of the pelvis may lead to better care for low back and lower extremity injuries.

**Conclusion**
Based on the results of the study the following conclusions are warranted:

The hypothesis that weaker hip abductor strength was associated with increased pelvic obliquity during stair descent or level walking in a population of healthy females was rejected.

The hypothesis that pelvic obliquity would be greater during stair descent then level walking was rejected.
Clinical implications

Although the clinical relevance of 2 or 3 degrees differences in pelvic obliquity is questionable, the importance of a baseline measure of normals is helpful in understanding the pathology of patients with hip muscle weakness. The pelvis is an important structure and its function has implications for both the lower extremities and the trunk. A better understanding of muscular influences during tasks will help physicians and physical therapist provide better preventative care. This data is a baseline for future research that could help establish clinical parameters for treatment.

Recommendations for future research

Future research should focus on establishing the role of both the hip abductors and external rotators on pelvic obliquity in males and the elderly. Future research of PFPS and low back pain is needed to determine if the abductor and external rotator weakness these populations exhibit will influence the kinematics of the pelvis in the frontal plane. Lastly, a study of kinetics should be performed to determine if more pelvic obliquity has an effect on power absorption or generation in the lower extremities.
APPENDICES

Appendix A

Consent to Participate in a Research Study

WHY ARE YOU BEING INVITED TO TAKE PART IN THIS RESEARCH?

This study is about understanding the relationship between hip strength and pelvic movement in females with and without anterior knee pain. You are being invited to take part in this research study because you have anterior knee pain and have not had surgery on the affected joint or use crutches, or because you have no history of knee injuries. If you volunteer to take part in this study, you will be one of about thirty people to do so.

WHO IS DOING THE STUDY?

The person in charge of this study is Kelly Rodriguez, a Master's student at the University of Kentucky Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion. She is being guided in this research by Dr. Robert Shapiro. There may be other people on the research team assisting at different times during the study.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY?

By doing this study, we hope to learn if a relationship exists between hip weakness and pelvic movement in females with and without anterior knee pain.

ARE THERE REASONS WHY YOU SHOULD NOT TAKE PART IN THIS STUDY?

You should not take part in this study if you are under 18, or over 25 and if you are or could be pregnant and if you have other lower extremity injuries not related to the knee.

WHERE IS THE STUDY GOING TO TAKE PLACE AND HOW LONG WILL IT LAST?

The research procedures will be conducted at the University of Kentucky Biodynamics Laboratory. You will need to come to Wenner-Gren Center for Biomedical Engineering, room 50 once during the study. The visit will take about one and a half hours. The total amount of time you will be asked to volunteer for this study is once for one and a half hours.

WHAT WILL YOU BE ASKED TO DO?

You will be asked to complete the consent form and answer a questionnaire about past knee injuries and participation in sports activities. After changing into shorts and sneakers you will have a warm up period that will help you become familiar with the Biodex machine which measures muscle strength. Reflective markers will be placed on your body and you will be asked to walk in a straight line for approximately 10 yards for about three trials while eight digital cameras record the movement of the markers. Next you will be asked to walk down a set of three
steps while still wearing the markers for a total of about three times. In the ground a plate will measure forces your body exerts on the ground during the walking and stair stepping. The markers will be removed and you will be asked to warm up on a stationary bicycle with out resistance, and instructed on specific stretch for five minutes. Next you will be asked to perform maximum isometric contractions on the Biodex machine. While standing your leg will be aligned with the machine and strapped to the pad on the lever arm. While moving your leg away from or toward the middle of your body you will be asked to resist the direction that the machine is pushing your leg to the best of your ability. The movements from the machine are controlled and the only resistance you experience is the amount of resistance you place on the machine. You will then sit down and repeat the same procedures at least three times on each leg and each muscle group that is being tested.

**WHAT ARE THE POSSIBLE RISKS AND DISCOMFORTS?**

To the best of our knowledge, the things you will be doing have no more risk of harm than you would experience in everyday life. Possible risks include irritation to the affected knee during the Biodex strength test and step down task for injured participants. You may experience slight discomfort when removing the reflective markers, but no more than that of removing a band aid. In addition to the risks listed above, you may experience a previously unknown risk or side effect.

**WILL YOU BENEFIT FROM TAKING PART IN THIS STUDY?**

You will not get any personal benefit from taking part in this study. Benefits to society include a better understanding of the relationship between hip strength and pelvic movement in women with anterior knee pain. This understanding will provide the foundation for future protocol changes for the reduction of pain and prevention of subsequent injuries.

**DO YOU HAVE TO TAKE PART IN THE STUDY?**

If you decide to take part in the study, it should be because you want to volunteer. You will not lose any benefits or rights you would normally have if you choose not to volunteer. You can stop at any time during the study and still keep the benefits and rights you had before volunteering.

**IF YOU DON’T WANT TO TAKE PART IN THE STUDY, ARE THERE OTHER CHOICES?**

If you do not want to be in the study, there are no other choices except not to take part in the study.

**WHAT WILL IT COST YOU TO PARTICIPATE?**

There are no costs associated with taking part in the study.

**WILL YOU RECEIVE ANY REWARDS FOR TAKING PART IN THIS STUDY?**

You will not receive any rewards or payment for taking part in the study. You will receive a print out of your strength testing on the Biodex that shows the strength of your muscles tested in each leg.
WHO WILL SEE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GIVE?

Your information will be combined with information from other people taking part in the study. When we write about the study to share it with other researchers, we will write about the combined information we have gathered. You will not be personally identified in these written materials. We may publish the results of this study; however, we will keep your name and other identifying information private.

We will make every effort to prevent anyone who is not on the research team from knowing that you gave us information, or what that information is. We will keep your personal information under lock and key in the Biodynamics laboratory. We will keep private all research records that identify you to the extent allowed by law. However, there are some circumstances in which we may have to show your information to other people. For example, the law may require us to show your information to a court. Also, officials from the University of Kentucky may look at or copy pertinent portions of records that identify you.

CAN YOUR TAKING PART IN THE STUDY END EARLY?

If you decide to take part in the study you still have the right to decide at any time that you no longer want to continue. You will not be treated differently if you decide to stop taking part in the study.

The individuals conducting the study may need to withdraw you from the study. This may occur if you are not able to follow the directions they give you, if they find that your being in the study is more risk than benefit to you, or if the agency funding the study decides to stop the study early for a variety of scientific reasons.

ARE YOU PARTICIPATING OR CAN YOU PARTICIPATE IN ANOTHER RESEARCH STUDY AT THE SAME TIME AS PARTICIPATING IN THIS ONE?

You may take part in this study if you are currently involved in another research study. It is important to let the investigator know if you are in another research study. You should also discuss with the investigator before you agree to participate in another research study while you are enrolled in this study.

WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU GET HURT OR SICK DURING THE STUDY?

If you believe you are hurt or if you get sick because of something that is due to the study, you should call Kelly Rodriguez at 859-268-5677 immediately. It is important for you to understand that the University of Kentucky does not have funds set aside to pay for the cost of any care or treatment that might be necessary because you get hurt or sick while taking part in this study. Also, the University of Kentucky will not pay for any wages you may lose if you are harmed by this study.

Medical costs that result from research related harm can not be included as regular medical costs. Therefore, the medical costs related to your care and treatment because of research related harm will be your responsibility. Your insurer may agree to pay those costs (you should ask your insurer if you have any questions regarding your insurer’s willingness to pay under these circumstances). You do not give up your legal rights by signing this form.
WHAT IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, SUGGESTIONS, CONCERNS, OR COMPLAINTS?
Before you decide whether to accept this invitation to take part in the study, please ask any questions that might come to mind now. Later, if you have questions, suggestions, concerns, or complaints about the study, you can contact the investigator, Kelly Rodriguez at (859)268-5677. If you have any questions about your rights as a volunteer in this research, contact the staff in the Office of Research Integrity at the University of Kentucky at 859-257-9428 or toll free at 1-866-400-9428. We will give you a signed copy of this consent form to take with you.

WHAT IF NEW INFORMATION IS LEARNED DURING THE STUDY THAT MIGHT AFFECT MY DECISION TO PARTICIPATE?

If the researcher learns of new information in regards to this study, and it might change your willingness to stay in this study, the information will be provided to you. You may be asked to sign a new informed consent form if the information is provided to you after you have joined the study.

Signature of person agreeing to take part in the study Date

Printed name of person agreeing to take part in the study

Name of [authorized] person obtaining informed consent Date

Signature of Principal Investigator Date
Appendix B

Participation Qualification Form

In which knee(s) do you experience pain during activities or prolonged sitting?
___Right ___Left ___Both___ Neither

How long have you been experiencing pain in your knee(s) during activities or prolonged sitting?
______ Months

How did you injure your knee(s)?
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Have you seen a doctor for the injury(s) and if so what was the diagnosis?
________________________________________________________________________

Please list any injuries or surgeries on either leg that you have experienced in the last year.
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
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