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1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 A brief overview of chemical gas sensors

By definition, a chemical gas sensor is a device capable of altering its physical properties (electrical conductance, capacitance, or mass) upon exposure to a gaseous chemical compound or mixture of chemical compounds. The change in properties is observed as an electrical signal and then used to detect the species. Generally, gas sensors are required to perform within required ambient and process conditions with fast response time and acceptable accuracy. They must conform to size, weight, and cost constraints of individual applications and measure the properties within the required range over an acceptable lifetime.

Gas sensors can be classified according to their operating principles as metal oxide semiconductor sensor, electrochemical sensor, gas sensitive field-effect transistors (FETs), solid electrolyte, pellistor catalytic gas detector, bulk and surface acoustic wave devices (BAW and SAW), and conducting polymer, etc. The operating principle and features of a few most commonly used types will be briefly summarized in the next chapter.

Currently, chemical gas sensors find extensive applications in toxic and combustible gas monitoring, automobile combustion control, medical analysis and energy and drying operations markets. The emerging markets include defense, consumer products, automobiles, wastewater treatment, and food and beverage processing, etc [1]. The entire US gas sensor market is projected to be over $2.8 billion in sales in 2008 [2].

The major advantages of the commercially available gas sensors are low cost, small size and simplicity in function. The size is typically measured in centimeters to micrometers and the cost is minimized by large scale, batch fabrication. In contrast to
some of the conventional laboratory scale analytical instruments, for example, gas chromatography, ion mobility spectroscopy and mass spectroscopy; gas sensor devices require much simpler equipment to run an analysis and the cost of operation is much lower. Gas sensor devices are effective in real-time in situ applications.

1.1.2 Motivations and contributions

Despite the attractive features listed in the previous section, gas sensors in the market do have some drawbacks which impair their long term performance. The common concerns of most gas sensors are stability, reproducibility, selectivity and sensitivity [1]. However, the specific objective of this dissertation is to address one of the major disadvantages of gas sensors, i.e., their lack of functionality at room temperature. Most of the gas sensors in the market are operated at 200 to 600ºC thus a built-in heater is very common in the sensor structure. Unfortunately the built-in heater will increase the design complexity and power consumption of the sensor device. As a result, searching for new gas sensing materials with acceptable performance at room temperature has been an interesting research topic.

Recently, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have shown promise for gas sensing due to their high surface area and their capability to change electrical properties at room temperature upon exposure to different gases [3]. Gas sensing studies have been based either on single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) or on multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs). In this dissertation both approaches were investigated for room temperature gas sensing application. First, a highly automated gas sensor testing system was designed and implemented, which features a PC controlled gas delivery system, real time electrical signal measurement, data acquisition configuration, LabVIEW program and user-friendly interface. Next, resistive and capacitive gas sensors based on vertically aligned MWNTs were fabricated and tested. These aligned MWNTs were prepared by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) through anodized porous alumina oxide template (AAO). The work described here is the first report to construct a gas sensor device based on this unique CNT structure. A conference paper based on this work was accepted and presented at 39th International Microelectronics and Packaging Symposium in October 2006. Then,
the performance of a resistive sensor based on the mixture of low loading SWNTs and organic binder was studied. To date, this is the lowest reported SWNT loading (1 wt %) for this type of sensor with excellent response. A journal paper manuscript based on this part of the work has been submitted to Sensors and Actuators for peer review. The main purpose of this dissertation is to evaluate MWNTs and SWNTs as gas sensing materials at room temperature.

1.1.3 Collaborative activities

The research covered in this dissertation is a part of the Advanced Carbon Nanotechnology Program (ACNP) which is financially supported by the Army Research Laboratory under the Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-04-2-0023. The synthesis of the nanotube materials described in chapter 3 was performed by Dr. Vijay Singh’s research group using the facilities at the Center for Applied Energy Research of University of Kentucky. The collaboration with Dr. Singh’s group has produced several journal papers and conference papers, which are either accepted or under review.

1.2 Overview of the Dissertation

There are three major objectives in this dissertation. The first objective is to review the major gas sensing technologies and recent development of carbon nanotubes for gas sensing applications. The second objective is to fully describe the experimental and theoretical work on gas sensors based on both aligned multi-walled carbon nanotubes and single-walled carbon nanotube powders. The third objective is to analyze the performance and limitations of these carbon nanotube gas sensors, and suggest future improvements.

Chapter 2 reviews the techniques and mechanisms of major gas sensors on the market, the emerging of carbon nanotubes as potential gas sensing material, and the development of carbon nanotube based gas sensing research. The current status of gas sensors and gas sensing potentials of nanotubes are the motivations of this dissertation.
Chapter 3 briefly introduces the preparation and the features of the aligned MWNTs/AAO template. Using the as-grown MWNTs/AAO template, resistive gas sensing tests demonstrate response to NH\textsubscript{3} and CO\textsubscript{2} at room temperature. In chapter 3, a capacitive sensor design is described and the results of gas detection are presented. The gas sensing mechanism of the MWNTs based capacitive sensor is explained and a sensor model is proposed. The concept of inductor-capacitor resonant sensor is verified experimentally using both a commercial humidity sensor and MWNTs capacitive sensor.

Chapter 4 describes a resistive gas sensor based on SWNTs. The gas sensing material is prepared by mixing the SWNT powders with organic binder and then coating on the sensor platform. After annealing, the sensor is employed to detect both reducing and oxidizing agents at room temperature. The response demonstrated by the SWNT sensor indicates p-type semiconductor characteristic and great potential as room temperature gas sensing material. The limitation of sensor recovery is illustrated and the detailed sensing and recovery mechanisms are discussed in chapter 4. The techniques to improve sensor performance are applied and the results are demonstrated and explained. Finally, the sensor performances in a background of air as well as temperature and humidity dependencies are discussed.

In chapter 5, summaries for the entire dissertation are given and the possible directions for future research are identified.
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Chapter 2 reviews common gas sensor operating principles as well as advantages and disadvantages of each technology. The exceptional physical properties of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are described and explained as they pertain to the gas sensing application. A brief review of the research of CNTs in the development of chemical gas sensing is provided in the last part of this chapter.

2.2 Techniques and Mechanisms in Gas Sensing

Table 2.1 lists some common health hazardous gases and human exposure limits recommended by U.S. Department of Labor [4]. One can see that the gas sensor detection limit for some extremely dangerous substances could be as low as 0.05 parts per million (ppm). By utilizing various sensing materials and operating principles, the gas sensors in the market have satisfied most of the demands by industry. In this section brief reviews are given for the most commonly used gas sensors and some of the key factors to evaluate sensor performance are introduced.

2.2.1 Gas sensor characteristics

Section 2.2.1 defines technological aspects used throughout this dissertation to evaluate gas sensor characteristics and to compare sensor performance.

Sensitivity is the ratio of the change in sensor output in response to the change in sensor input in the presence of target gas. By definition if a sensor output y is related to the input x by the function y = f(x), then the sensitivity $S(x_a)$ at point $x_a$ is [5]:

$$S(x_a) = \left. \frac{dy}{dx} \right|_{x=x_a} \quad (2.1)$$

In this dissertation, for a resistive gas sensor, the sensitivity S is defined as:
where \( R_0 \) is the sensor resistance in the presence of carrier gas, \( R_{\text{gas}} \) is the sensor resistance in the presence of target gas, and \( S \) is the resistance sensitivity in percentage.

The sensitivity \( S \) of a capacitive sensor in this dissertation is defined as:

\[
S(\%) = \frac{C_{\text{gas}} - C_0}{C_0} \times 100
\]  

where \( C_0 \) is the sensor capacitance in the flow of carrier gas, \( C_{\text{gas}} \) is the sensor capacitance in the presence of target gas, and \( S \) is the capacitance sensitivity in percentage.

Response time is typically defined as the time for the output of a gas sensor to reach a certain percentage of full scale after being exposed to target gas. For example, \( T_{90} = 60 \) seconds means that it takes the sensor 60 seconds to reach 90% of its full scale output after being exposed to a full scale gas concentration.

The time for the output of a gas sensor to return to its baseline value after the removal of the target gas is defined as sensor recovery time. In the sensor industry it is usually specified as time to fall to 10% of steady state value after the removal of the measured gas. Figure 2.1 shows an example of gas sensor response time and recovery time.

Selectivity is the ability of a gas sensor to detect a target gas without being affected by the presence of other interference gases. Most gas sensors are sensitive to a family of gases and it is difficult to produce a sensor specific to only one gas. Moreover, temperature and humidity may also affect sensor performance. A common practice for manufactures of gas sensors is to provide data indicating the changes of different gases on the sensor output in the presence of common interference gases.

Repeatability is defined as the ability of a sensor to repeat the measurements of gas concentrations when the same measurand is applied to it consecutively under the same conditions.
The closeness between the calibration curve and a specified straight line is defined as linearity [5]. Generally the initial response of a gas sensor is nearly linear and it tends to saturate as the gas concentration increases. A typical gas sensor response curve, which consists of near linear region, nonlinear region and saturation region, is shown in Figure 2.2. One can see that the sensor output provides better linearity at lower concentration than at higher concentration.

Gas concentration is expressed as volume percent (%) or ppm (parts per million by volume) throughout this dissertation. These are unitless expressions as they simply express the ratio of gases in relation to the balance or carrier gas, such as air or nitrogen (N\textsubscript{2}). For example, 100 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} in N\textsubscript{2} means 100 parts of NH\textsubscript{3} diluted in 999,900 parts of N\textsubscript{2}.

### 2.2.2 Metal oxide semiconductor gas sensor

Metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors were first developed by Seiyama and Taguchi in the 1960s. Seiyama found the gas sensing effect of metal oxides [6] and later Taguchi brought semiconductor gas sensors based on metal oxides to an industrial product [7][8][9]. Therefore, a metal oxide semiconductor sensor is also known as Taguchi sensor. Under suitable conditions many metal oxide semiconductor show gas sensitivity, including tin dioxide (SnO\textsubscript{2}), zinc oxide (ZnO), tungsten oxide (WO\textsubscript{3}), iron oxide (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}), and titanium dioxide (TiO\textsubscript{2}) [1]. Among them the most widely used material is SnO\textsubscript{2} doped with small amounts of catalytic additives such as palladium (Pd) or platinum (Pt). By choosing different catalyst and operation conditions, Taguchi sensors have been developed for various applications such as domestic safety (CO and methane), industry safety (NH\textsubscript{3}, O\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}, and H\textsubscript{2}S), air quality control (CO\textsubscript{2}), and automotive (general air contaminants) [10].

The basic structure of a 3-dimensional Taguchi sensor consists of a ceramic supporting tube with a platinum heater coil and a sintered semiconducting SnO\textsubscript{2} coating on the outside as shown in Figure 2.3. The schematic shows that the heater is embedded in a
ceramic tube and the semiconductor material SnO₂ is deposited on the tube with two printed gold electrodes. The purpose of the heater is to achieve the typical sensor working temperature range of 200-550°C and the two gold electrodes are for the measurement of gas sensitive changes in electrical conductivity. The sensitivity results from conductivity change caused by reactions occurring on the surface of SnO₂ particles.

2-dimensional, planar metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors are fabricated by using screen-printing techniques. SnO₂ films are printed to a thickness in the range of 50-500 µm over interdigitated electrodes evaporated onto ceramic substrates. A thin metal heater track is printed on the back side of the substrate. Figure 2.4 shows the basic structure of a typical 2-dimensional planar SnO₂ sensor [12].

The operation principle of Taguchi sensor can be described as follows: when n-type semiconductor SnO₂ is heated at a certain temperature in air, oxygen is adsorbed on its surface. The majority carrier electrons in the metal oxide semiconductor are transferred to the adsorbed oxygen molecules as the result of following reaction:

\[ 2e^- + O_2 \rightarrow 2O^- \]  

(2.4)

The chemisorption of oxygen takes electrons out of semiconductor bulk, leave positive charges and form a space charge layer at the surface of SnO₂. At SnO₂ grain boundaries, a space charge layer (potential barrier) prevents charge carriers from moving freely, therefore surface conductivity decreases. Figure 2.5 describes the model of inter-grain potential barrier and shows that the surface potential formed serves as a potential barrier against electron flow. Since the sensing material, SnO₂, is in the form of a compressed powder, i.e. sintered particles, the space charge induced by the oxygen adsorption can be a significant fraction of the whole grain; therefore the adsorption causes the resistance of the SnO₂ film to increase considerably.

When reducing gas molecules react with the adsorbed oxygen species at high temperature they oxidized and acting as electron donor during the reduction-oxidation process. The reaction can be described as Eq 2.5 where R denotes reducing gas:

\[ R + O^- \rightarrow RO + e^- \]  

(2.5)
As the result, the surface density of negatively charged oxygen decreases, so the height of potential barrier at grain boundary is reduced along with the sensor resistance.

The operating temperature for metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors is between 200°C and 550°C [11]. There are two reasons why the sensor is operated at elevated temperatures. First, at temperature below 100°C the metal oxide surface will be covered by a layer of water molecules (ambient humidity) which prevents the reaction of the gas with the oxide surface. Second, the reaction rate at the oxide surface will be very slow if operated at temperatures below 200°C. The advantages of metal oxide semiconductor sensors are their high sensitivity to most combustible gases including saturated hydrocarbons, NO and CO, simple sensor structures, fast response time, good reliability, and low production cost. Disadvantageous are the relatively poor selectivity and high operation temperature. The selectivity can be modified by doping the metal oxide with catalytic additives, by changing the operation temperature, or by modifying the grain size. As a consequence of high operating temperature the power consumption of metal oxide semiconductor sensor is relatively high. A typical commercial Taguchi sensor has power consumption of approximately 800 mW. This level of power consumption is too high for applications where battery operation is required.

2.2.3 Electrochemical gas sensor

The operating principles of electrochemical gas sensors are the same as liquid electrolytic fuel cells. They are based on the electrochemical oxidation or reduction of the analytic gas at a catalytic electrode surface. Basically, they detect gases by producing a chemical reaction between the gas and oxygen contained in the sensor. The reaction produces a small current, which is proportional to the concentration of the gas present. Commercial electrochemical gas sensors are used for a variety of applications including medical and gas purity application, air quality monitoring, industry control, and toxic gas monitoring.

The simplest form of a fuel cell electrochemical sensor and the schematic diagram of the cross section of a sensor structure are shown in Figure 2.6. A typical electrochemical
sensor consists of a sensing electrode and a counter electrode separated by a thin layer of electrolyte. The electrode is normally made of catalytic metal and the electrolyte is a concentrated, ion-conducting aqueous solution such as sulfuric acid or potassium hydroxide depending on the sensor chemistry [13]. External gases have relatively easy access to the sensing electrode whereas the counter electrode is located in the bulk of the electrolyte within the body of the sensor, where diffusion access by ambient atmospheric gases is severely restricted. The diffusion barrier can be a capillary or a membrane and it controls the diffusion of reactant gas to the sensing electrode [14]. To measure the output current of the sensor, a load resistor connects the sensing electrode and the counter electrode to provide a voltage output across the load.

The target gas present in the ambient air diffuses through the capillary inlet or the pores of hydrophobic membrane and then reacts on the catalytic sensing electrode surface at the three-phase boundary between the electrode, the electrolyte, and the gas. The reaction causes the potential of sensing electrode to shift. The reactions at the sensing electrode for several gases are as follows:

\[
CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \tag{2.6}
\]
\[
H_2S + 4H_2O \rightarrow H_2SO_4 + 8H^+ + 8e^- \tag{2.7}
\]
\[
NO + 2H_2O \rightarrow HNO_3 + 3H^+ + 3e^- \tag{2.8}
\]
\[
H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2e^- \tag{2.9}
\]

Simultaneously, the reactions at the counter electrode need oxygen molecules to complete the process:

\[
O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O \tag{2.10}
\]

Electrochemical reduction of a reactant gas at the sensing electrode is also possible, for example NO₂ sensing:

\[
NO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow NO + H_2O \tag{2.11}
\]

As the result of the potential difference between the sensing electrode and counter electrode, current will start to flow in the external circuit. The current is sustained by electrochemical oxidation of the reactant gas at the sensing electrode and an equivalent amount of oxygen reduction at the counter electrode. One can see from Eq 2.10 that an
oxygen supply must be provided to the counter electrode to maintain the current. Oxygen is found dissolved in the electrolyte. Replenishment of the oxygen used in the reaction can be provided by oxygen in the sample gas or by diffusion of oxygen through the housing of the sensor. However for some particular applications such as indicated by Eq 2.11, oxygen is not necessary for electrochemical sensor to maintain proper operation.

The most attractive feature of electrochemical sensors is that they provide a direct relationship between the output signal and the target gas concentration, i.e., the output current is proportional to the gas concentration. Electrochemical sensors also have the advantages that they operate at room temperature, are robust and have 1 to 2 years of life expectancy. Electrochemical sensors require very little power to operate. In fact, their power consumption is the lowest among all sensor types available for gas monitoring. Generally electrochemical sensors are quite sensitive to temperature therefore it is necessary to keep the sample temperature as stable as possible. Oxygen detection by electrochemical sensing has the best selectivity whereas detections of other gases are subject to interference from background gases [13].

2.2.4 Solid electrolyte – lambda sensor

The best known solid electrolyte based gas sensor is zirconia (ZrO₂) oxygen sensor, which is mainly used for monitoring the air-to-fuel ratio in combustion engines. In the automotive industry, a zirconia oxygen exhaust sensor is also called a lambda sensor or lambda probe. Figure 2.7 illustrates the basic structure of a simplified zirconia oxygen sensor. The potential between the two electrodes depends on the ratio of the partial pressure of oxygen at each electrode, separated by the oxygen ion conductor zirconia. The chemical reactions (electron transfer) at each electrode are the same but in reverse, i.e. at one electrode the reduced form of the chemical particle is being oxidized (releasing electrons) and at the other electrode the oxidised form is being reduced (accepting electrons). The reactions at the electrodes are as follows:

\[ 4e^- + O_2 \rightarrow 2O_2^{2-} \]  
\[ 2O_2^{2-} \rightarrow 4e^- + O_2 \]
The potential difference between two electrodes is defined by Nernst equation [1]:

\[ E = \left( \frac{RT}{4F} \right) \ln \left( \frac{P_{O_2,\text{ref}}}{P_{O_2,\text{test}}} \right) \]  

(2.14)

where \( T \) is temperature, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( F \) is the Faraday constant, and \( P \) is partial pressure. Therefore a higher concentration of oxygen on one side of the zirconia electrolyte leads to a potential difference which, according to the Nernst equation, is proportional to the ratio of the two oxygen concentrations.

In order for a ZrO\(_2\) sensor to function properly, the zirconium dioxide is often doped with yttrium oxide (Y\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and heated above 500ºC [1]. Yttrium oxide dopants are able to create more defects so that the ionic conductivity is enhanced. ZrO\(_2\) sensors can be tailored to have excellent O\(_2\) selectivity by choosing optimized electrode material. However, the limitation of its operating principle makes it impossible to use lambda sensor for lower temperature applications.

### 2.2.5 Pellistor

Pellistors, also known as catalytic combustion sensors, are detectors for combustible gases such as methane. The sensing elements consist of small pellets of catalyst-loaded ceramic whose resistance changes in the presence of the target gas. The term ‘pellistor’ is the combination of ‘pellet’ and ‘resistor’. As shown in Figure 2.8, the typical pellistor sensing element consists of a platinum wire spiral supported within a porous alumina bead. Particles of precious metal catalyst are added into alumina to accelerate the oxidation reaction. There is a number of catalyst materials available, typically platinum or palladium, and they are carefully chosen to optimize sensor performance. The platinum wire is used to heat the catalyst bead above 500ºC to facilitate the combustion reaction. It also serves as resistance thermometer to measure the temperature of the catalyst bead [11].

The commercial pellistor system typically consists of a pair of sensing elements operated in a Wheatstone bridge circuit, referred to as a detector and compensator.
(reference element). The detector is a platinum wire spiral embedded within a bead of catalytic material (Figure 2.8). The compensator is similar except that the bead is alumina without any catalytic material. Oxidation occurs at approximately 500ºC when a combustible gas is present at the detector. The burning increases the temperature of the element and the generated heat is transmitted to the platinum wire which causes the wire resistance to increase. At the same time, the inert compensator stays at a constant temperature. An electrical imbalance occurs in the bridge circuit and a voltage output is obtained. The output voltage level depends on the type of the detected gas and it is normally proportional to the gas concentration.

Pellistors are capable of detecting various combustible vapours and flammable gases at concentration as low as 500 ppm with relatively fast response times (20 seconds) [11]. They have robust construction and life expectancy is of a few years. However, they have high power consumptions (typically 350 mW) due to the elevated operating temperature. Another disadvantage of pellistors is that they tend to be ‘poisoned’ by volatile compounds which leave involatile oxidation products covering the catalyst surface impeding sensor performance.

2.2.6 Gas sensitive FET

Field-effect gas sensors are based on metal insulator semiconductor field effect transistor (MISFET) structures in which the metal gate is a gas sensitive catalyst such as platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), and iridium (Ir). MISFETs operate in a temperature range of 200ºC to 600ºC [1].

The basic structure of a MISFET gas sensor is depicted in Figure 2.9 (adapted from [1]). Conduction between the source and drain of the transistor is controlled by charge or potential on the gate electrode. Charge present at the gate gives rise to a reflected charge induced in the channel and this modifies the conductance between source and drain. Any process that changes either the charge on the gate or its potential will alter the conduction of the channel and this can be detected as an electrical signal. A MISFET sensor with catalytic metal gate was first reported sensitive to hydrogen at
1975 [16]. In detection mode, hydrogen molecules decompose to H atoms by dehydrogenation on the catalytic metal gate surface. The H atoms then diffuse to the metal/insulator interface and influence the charge in the semiconductor, thereby changing the drain source current.

Since the dehydrogenation and catalytic process are temperature dependent, selectivity to different gases can be achieved by changing the operating temperature, the catalytic metal gate material, and the thickness and morphology of the gate metal [17]. MISFET sensors can thus be made sensitive to a broad range of hydrogen-containing or polar compounds such as hydrogen [16], ammonia [18], hydrogen sulfide [19], and alcohol [20]. The sensors are stable and exhibit a relatively low sensitivity to moisture. Due to the well-established MISFET fabrication processes in the semiconductor industry, MISFET sensors can be manufactured in large batches with high reproducibility and low cost.

Besides conventional MISFET, suspended gate FETs, which were first described by Blackburn et al. [21], are also popular for chemical gas sensing. In the suspended gate FET (SGFET) the gate metal is suspended above the gate insulator (SiO₂) by a narrow gap with access holes for gas molecules. This construction makes it possible to develop gas sensors sensitive to gases other than hydrogen because the metal/gaseous-insulator interface is now freely accessible to the gas instead of the gas having to diffuse through the gate material as in the case of the Pd gate MISFET. The basic structure of a SGFET is schematically described in Figure 2.10 [22].

2.2.7 Others

Conducting polymers have been used primarily as chemiresistor structures (Figure 2.11), although their uses in acoustic wave devices, in suspended gate FETs, and as capacitive sensors have also been investigated [23][24][25][26]. The most commonly used polymers for gas sensing are those based on pyrrole, aniline, or thiophene monomers. Chemiresistors based on conducting polymers can be fabricated by deposition of a thin polymer film on an insulating substrate with a narrow electrode gap.
While operating at room temperature, the adsorption of gases into the polymer matrix and the interaction with the matrix causes a change in the conductivity of the polymer. The conductivity of the polymer is simply measured at a constant current or voltage, so called chemiresistor. The advantages of conducting polymer gas sensors are room temperature operation, relatively high sensitivity, and simple sensor structure. However, lack of reproducibility of fabrication, strong humidity interference, and the base line drift over time have been concerns associated with the further development of conducting polymer chemiresistor gas sensors.

Acoustic wave gas sensors operate by monitoring the effect of adsorbed gas molecules on the acoustic wave propagation. There are two types of acoustic wave sensors: bulk acoustic wave sensor (BAW) and surface acoustic sensor (SAW). Both share a similar device structure which consists of a piezoelectric crystal substrate (such as quartz) coated with a coating for gas adsorption. The adsorption of gas molecules changes the velocity of the acoustic wave hence the effect of wave propagation on the sensor frequency can be detected [14]. The schematic diagram of a basic SAW device is shown in Figure 2.12. A pair of interdigitated (IDT) electrodes is patterned on a single piece of quartz coated with polymer film. Acoustic wave propagation is stimulated by applying an a.c. voltage to one set of IDT (transmitter) and detected by a second set (receiver). The delay between launching and receiving the wave depends on the velocity of the surface acoustic wave. SAW is preferred over BAW in gas sensing applications because they can be operated at higher frequency (up to 300 MHz) to achieve higher sensitivity. Typical detection limits of SAW sensors are 50 ppm for hydrogen, 10 ppm for H\textsubscript{2}S and 0.5 ppm for NO\textsubscript{2} [27].

2.3 Properties of Carbon Nanotubes

A considerable amount of research in new gas sensors has been carried out in order to meet the increasing demand for gas detection technologies. Recent developments in nanotechnology provide the opportunity to dramatically improve sensor performance. Nanotechnology is referred to any process or product that involves sub-micron dimensions, but a more concise definition is any fabrication technology in which objects
are built by the specification and placement of individual atoms or molecules or where at least one dimension is less than 100 nm [28]. Since early the 1990s, research interest in nanotechnology has grown rapidly ranging from new high-performance nanomaterials to nano-scale electronics and Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS). The sensor research community has also benefited from nanotechnology, especially through the development of advanced nanomaterials. One of the most widely studied new nanomaterials is carbon nanotube (CNT).

Iijima at the Japanese NEC Corporation first discovered CNTs in 1991 [29]. CNTs have special structures that are different from graphite and carbon fibers. There are two types of nanotubes: single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs). Single-walled nanotubes consist of a single layer of graphite sheet wrapped into a cylindrical tube seamlessly. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes comprise an array of single-walled nanotubes that are concentrically nested like rings of a tree trunk [30]. The typical diameter of CNTs ranges from 1 to 100 nm and lengths up to millimeters. Carbon arc discharge, laser ablation of carbon, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) on catalytic particles are the most commonly used methods for CNTs synthesis.

SWNTs may be either metallic or semiconducting, depending on the direction in which the graphite sheet twists to form the seamless nanotube cylinder. The diameter and helicity of a SWNT are characterized by the vector [31]:

\[ C = na_1 + ma_2 \equiv (n, m) \]  

where \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are graphene lattice vectors and \( n \) and \( m \) are integers to represent nanotube type. Depending on the appearance of the belt of the nanotube diameter, the nanotube is either armchair \( (n = m) \), zigzag \( (n = 0 \text{ or } m = 0) \), or chiral (any other \( n \) and \( m \)). All armchair SWNTs and those with \( n-m = 3k \) (\( k \) is a non-zero integer), are metallic. All others are semiconductors with the band gap inversely depend on the diameter [30].

A brief summary of some extraordinary electrical, mechanical and thermal properties of CNTs is provided in Table 2.2 [32]. With the nearly one-dimensional structures,
electronic transport in CNTs was found to take place through quantum effects and to be ballistic along the nanotube axis without scattering. Consequently they are capable of conducting high current density without dissipating heat [33][34]. In theory, metallic CNTs can have a current density 1000 times higher than that of metals such as silver and copper [35].

CNTs are excellent thermal conductors along the tube axis due to the effect of ballistic electron conduction. Kim, et al. [36] reported a measured room temperature thermal conductivity of 3000 W/m·K for an individual MWNT, which is greater than that of natural diamond and the basal plane of graphite (~ 2000 W/m·K). It is predicted that the thermal conductivity of CNTs could reach 6000 W/m·K at room temperature. Comparing this to copper, a metal well-known for its high thermal conductivity, CNTs offer a fifteenfold increase [35]. Superconductivity in CNTs has been observed at low temperatures. For example, 0.55 K transition temperature for SWNTs with 1.4 nm diameters and 5 K transition temperature for those with 0.5 nm diameters have been reported [37][38].

CNTs are one of the strongest and stiffest materials known, featuring high Young’s modulus and high tensile strength. The mechanical strength is the result of the covalent sp² bonds formed between the individual carbon atoms along the walls of CNTs. Gao et al. [39] reported the calculated Young’s modulus for an individual (10,10) SWNT is approximately 0.64 TPa (1012 Pa), which is consistent with measured results [40]. Yu et al. measured a tensile strength of 63 GPa for MWNTs [41]. In comparison, the tensile strength of MWNT is approximately 50 times greater than that of high-carbon steel [42].

In recent years, the high mechanical strength and unique electrical properties of CNTs have attracted considerable interest for various applications utilizing individual or ensembles of CNTs including: as atomic force microscope (AFM) tips [43][44], field emission devices and displays [45][46], electromechanical actuators [47], CNT-based field effect transistors (CNTFET) [48][49][50][51], and CNT composite materials [52][53][54] etc.
2.4 Development of Carbon Nanotubes as Gas Sensing Materials

Since the year 2000, the potential for CNT chemical gas sensing applications has attracted extensive research effort for three significant reasons. First, CNTs are surface reacting materials, i.e., they are composed entirely of surface atoms where adsorbed gas molecules can dramatically change CNT electrical properties at room temperature. Next, the ballistic electronic transport along the CNT axis provides excellent transmission of the altered electrical signal to the external contact. Third, the long-term performance of CNTs based sensor may be stable due to their chemically robust graphitic surface. CNTs for gas sensing at room temperature are of great interest because most currently available sensors operate at elevated temperatures. A brief review of research on CNTs for gas sensing is given in this section. Due to the nature of this dissertation research, the discussion will focus on non-functionalized as-grown CNT based gas sensors.

2.4.1 Conductance-based detection

The pioneer works by Kong, et al. [55] and Collins, et al. [56] were the first to report that the adsorption of certain gas molecules can dramatically change the electrical conductance of SWNTs. Kong and coworkers investigated individual p-type semiconducting SWNT as a resistive sensor for NO₂ and NH₃ detection at room temperature in air or argon. They demonstrated that the oxidizing agent NO₂ (an electron acceptor) causes the conductivity of p-type SWNT to increase while the reducing agent NH₃ (an electron donor), causes a reduction in conductance [55]. The detection limit of their SWNT sensor was found to be ~ 2 ppm for NO₂ and ~ 0.1% for NH₃. They concluded that the sensing mechanism is based on the charge transfer between the p-type semiconducting SWNT and electron-withdrawing NO₂ and electron-donating NH₃ gas. The recovery time of the sensor was found to be 12 hours and it was significantly reduced by heating the sample in air at 200°C [55]. Using transport measurement and scanning tunneling spectroscopy, Collins and coworkers demonstrated that the electrical resistance, thermoelectric power and local density of states of SWNT are sensitive to air or oxygen exposure. By alternating the medium between air (or oxygen) and vacuum, a reversible change in SWNT resistance was observed [56]. They attributed this variation in
resistance to the charge transfer between adsorbed oxygen molecules and SWNTs. However, in order to fully recover, the sample had to be heated above 110°C for several hours in vacuum for oxygen to be desorbed [56]. The authors also suggested that any intrinsic properties measurement on as-prepared CNTs could be compromised by extrinsic air exposure (oxygen exposure). Therefore they claimed that the electronic properties of a given nanotube are not only specified by its chirality and diameter but also depend on gas exposure history.

Li, et al. investigated an SWNT based sensor for the detection of NO₂ and nitrotoluene vapors at room temperature [57]. Randomly orientated SWNTs were dispersed in dimethylformamide (DMF) and drop-deposited on a sensor platform with interdigitated electrodes patterned on top. The SWNT sensor responded in seconds to the presence of NO₂ within the concentration range of 6-100 ppm while pure nitrogen was used as carrier gas for dilution and purging. The conductance of the SWNT sensor was increased significantly by exposure to the oxidizing agent NO₂. Due to the high bonding energy between SWNT and NO₂, the sensor recovered slowly with a recovery time on the order of 10 hours, which is consistent with the results reported by other researchers [55]. Li and coworkers applied ultraviolet (UV) light illumination during recovery and successfully accelerated the recovery time to 10 min [57]. They reported that the UV illumination lowered the desorption energy barrier of CNTs thus facilitating the NO₂ desorption. Chen, et al. were the first to discover the photo-induced molecular desorption in molecule-CNT systems [58]. They found that UV light induced desorption of oxygen, NO₂, and NH₃ molecules can drastically alter the electrical characteristics of semiconducting SWNTs. Although the mechanism has not been fully understood, they suggested that the photodesorption in CNTs is a non-thermal process and wavelength dependent.

Parallel theoretical studies have also predicted significant variation of the electronic properties of CNTs as the result of gas molecules adsorption. Zhao, et al. have performed first principles calculations on the electronic properties of SWNT upon adsorption of various gas molecules, such as NO₂, O₂, NH₃, N₂, CO₂, CH₄, H₂O, H₂ and
The authors found all molecules, either electron donor or electron acceptor, are weakly adsorbed on SWNT with small charge transfer. They also obtained the equilibrium position, adsorption energy, charge transfer, and electronic band structures for SWNTs with various structures [59].

Bradley and coworkers used SWNT field-effect transistor to detect NH$_3$ through the shift of gate voltage [60]. They determined that the shift of gate voltage is due to the charge transfer between SWNT and adsorbed NH$_3$ molecules. Their experiments measured the amount of charge transfer to be approximately 0.04 electrons per NH$_3$ molecule [60]. Qi, et al. fabricated arrays of electrical devices with each comprising ensembles of SWNTs bridging metal electrodes for NO$_2$ detection. The sensor is capable of detecting NO$_2$ at less than 1 ppb (parts per billion) concentration [61]. Their calculations indicate that NO$_2$ binds to the SWNT surface with energy of 0.8 eV and withdraws approximately 0.1 electrons per molecule [61]. Chang and coworkers investigated the adsorption of NH$_3$ and NO$_2$ molecules on semiconducting (10,0) SWNTs through density function theory [62]. Their calculation shows that the binding energies are less than 0.5 eV for both molecules (0.18 eV for NH$_3$ and 0.42 for NO$_2$) [62]. Therefore they claim that both NH$_3$ and NO$_2$ molecules bind to nanotubes by physisorption and the electron charge transfer is the major mechanism for the change of conductivity.

Although most of the attention has focused on SWNTs for gas sensing, MWNTs do present one attractive feature with respect to SWNTs, i.e., low production cost. Recently, there have been increasing numbers of reports on the studies of MWNTs for gas detection. Cantalini, et al. [63] reported the influence of the catalyst geometry on the growth of MWNTs and their electrical response to NO$_2$ of 10-100 ppb concentrations at different operating temperatures ranging from 25 to 215ºC. The resistive gas sensor was fabricated by PECVD depositing MWNTs on Pt interdigitated electrodes based on Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrate [63]. The maximum response was found at 165ºC and the sensor showed fast dynamic response and reproducible electrical properties. Based on a similar sensor structure, Valentini and coworkers studied CH$_4$ detection by MWNTs grown on a
Ni catalyst [64]. They demonstrated that oxygen molecules are chemisorbed when CNT walls contain defects and this caused the electrical response to CH₄. The authors also suggest that the semiconducting CNTs could be converted from p-type to n-type by adsorption of oxygen molecules at elevated temperature.

Wang, et al. investigated the effect of MWNTs resistive sensor for NH₃ detection at room temperature [65]. The sensor was fabricated by patterning Ti/Au electrode on top of ultrasonically dispersed MWNTs using evaporation and lift-off techniques. They observed a significant decrease in sensor conductance upon exposure to 1% NH₃ gas. The authors also demonstrated that the sensitivity is linearly proportional to the NH₃ concentration ranging from 10 to 200 ppm. While in vacuum, the sensor required more than 6 hours at 250ºC to recover to baseline condition, therefore they conclude that the sensor response involved both physisorption and chemisorption [65].

2.4.2 Non conductance-based detection

Snow, et al. showed that the capacitance of SWNTs is sensitive to a broad range of chemical vapors [66]. Their SWNT sensor was fabricated by patterning Pd interdigitated electrodes on top of SWNT networks [66]. More importantly, they demonstrated that the capacitive SWNT sensor is sensitive to 0.5 s pulses of dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP), a simulant for chemical warfare agents [67]. The DMMP was diluted in dry air at a concentration of 500 ppm and the sensor response is dynamic and reversible. Snow and coworkers believe that the SWNT surface will generate a large fringing electrical field while applying a gate bias and this electrical field will then polarize the adsorbed molecules on the SWNT surface. By calculation, they found that SWNTs capacitance was affected by both dielectric polarization and charge transfer upon exposure to chemical vapor molecules but the charge contribution is less than 10% [67]. Therefore they attribute the capacitance response to field-induced polarization of surface dipoles. They also demonstrated that the effect of humidity on the sensor response is negligible due to the weak interaction between water molecules and SWNTs.
Varghese, et al. applied an impedance spectroscopy method to investigate the gas sensing behavior of both capacitive and resistive MWNTs sensors at ambient temperature [68]. The capacitive sensor consists of gas sensing MWNT-SiO₂ composite on top of insulating SiO₂ layer and interdigitated electrodes. The sensor was found sensitive to water vapor and NH₃, with the response to water vapor being reversible. Varghese and coworker used an equivalent circuit model to simulate the sensor and the results indicated that chemisorption of gases on the surface of the p-type semiconducting MWNTs is the dominant sensing mechanism. As a result the chemisorption induced charge transfer between MWNTs and gas molecules is responsible for the variation in capacitance [68]. An identical capacitive sensor was utilized to form an inductor-capacitor (LC) wireless remote query gas sensor by the same team [69]. The fabricated sensor consists of a planar LC resonant circuit covered by a layer of SiO₂ and the mixture of MWNTs and SiO₂ [69]. Upon exposure to various gases, the complex permittivity of the MWNTs varies, therefore changing the resonant frequency of the sensor. The sensor response was detected by measuring the impedance spectrum of a loop antenna used to monitor the sensor remotely. Ong and coworkers demonstrated that the LC sensor response to CO₂ and O₂ is linear and reversible. However both reversible and irreversible response was observed for NH₃ detection [69], which indicated the presence of both physisorption and chemisorption.

Chopra and coworkers reported a gas sensor based on the downshift in resonant frequency of circular electromagnetic resonator for NH₃ detection at ambient temperature [70]. The sensor was constructed by physically coating a copper resonator with either SWNTs or MWNTs using conductive epoxy. The detection mechanism relies on the interaction between CNTs and the NH₃ molecules, which results in a change in the resonant frequency of the sensor. They describe that it is the change in the dielectric constant of CNTs that caused a shift in the resonant frequency of the resonator. The resonant sensor demonstrated sensitivity to NH₃ gas concentration as low as 100 ppm with a response-recovery time of 10 min, which is attractive considering the generally slow recovery of CNTs-based gas sensor [70]. They reported that the resonant sensor coated with SWNTs is more sensitive than the sensor coated with MWNTs and this
difference in nanotube sensing ability is consistent with the observation by others. Chopra and coworkers later reported using the SWNTs coated resonant sensor to detect the presence of other gases such as CO, N₂, He, O₂, and Ar [71]. They showed the sensor can be selective as to give different resonant frequency shifts to different gases.

In summary, in the past 7 years CNTs have attracted a great amount of attention for potential applications in chemical gas sensing at room or moderate temperatures. Although the exact sensing mechanisms are not yet fully understood, it is commonly believed that sensing involves charge transfer and dielectric response. Gas sensors based on SWNTs or SWNT composites detect the target gas by altering electrical conductance, and comprise the majority of the research work. However gas sensing device based on MWNTs, operating by dielectric response or mass sensitive principles, has also been reported. The challenges facing the development of CNTs based gas sensing devices need to be continuously addressed before practical applications can be realized. The challenges include the development of inexpensive and reliable devices, reproducible sensor performance, reasonable selectivity and sensitivity, robust devices, and recovery ability.
Table 2.1: Common health hazardous gases and their OSHA permissible exposure limit, adapted from [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>OSHA Permissible Exposure Limits (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ammonia (NH₃)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsine (AsH₃)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bromine (Br₂)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon dioxide (CO₂)</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon monoxide (CO)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine (Cl₂)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine dioxide (ClO₂)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diborane (B₂H₆)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluorine (F₂)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen chloride (HCl)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen cyanide (HCN)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen fluoride (HF)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen selenide (H₂Se)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen sulfide (H₂S)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrogen dioxide (NO₂)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitric oxide (NO)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ozone (O₃)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphine (PH₃)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide (SO₂)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: Summary of important electrical, mechanical and thermal characteristics of carbon nanotubes, adapted from [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Electrical and mechanical characteristics of CNTs</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity</td>
<td>Metallic or semiconducting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Transport</td>
<td>Ballistic, no scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy gap (semiconducting)</td>
<td>$E_g$ [eV] $\sim \frac{1}{d}$ (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum current density</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{10}$ A/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum strain</td>
<td>0.11% at 1V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>6000 W/m·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>1 – 100 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Up to millimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>1000 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength</td>
<td>63 GPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.1: Schematic description of gas sensor response and recovery.
Figure 2.2: Typical gas sensor output curve. It consists of near linear, nonlinear and saturation regions.
Figure 2.3: Schematic diagram of the cross-section view for a 3-dimensional Taguchi gas sensor.
Figure 2.4: Schematic diagram of 2-dimensional planar metal oxide semiconductor gas sensor, adapted from [12].
Figure 2.5: Model of inter-grain potential barrier in resistive metal oxide gas sensors.
Figure 2.6: Schematic diagram of the basic element of an electrochemical gas sensor.
Figure 2.7: Schematic diagram of simplified zirconium oxide sensor (lambda sensor).
Figure 2.8: Schematic diagram of the basic sensing element of a pellistor (catalytic gas sensor), adapted from [15].
Figure 2.9: Schematic diagram of the gas sensitive metal insulator semiconductor field effect transistor (MISFET) device structure. $V_g$ represents the gate voltage, $V_D$ the applied junction bias, $I_D$ the drain current, adapted from [1].
Figure 2.10: Schematic diagram of MOSFET sensor with a suspended gate (SGFET), adapted from [22].
Figure 2.11: Schematic diagram of a conducting polymer based chemiresistor.
Figure 2.12: SAW sensor consists of a pair of interdigitated (IDT) electrodes and a quartz substrate.
CHAPTER 3: GAS SENSORS BASED ON MWNTS

3.1 Introduction

CNTs have great potential for application as chemical gas sensing devices with excellent sensitivity and fast response time because of their special geometry (all surface reacting material) and their tendency to change electrical properties at room temperature in the presence of certain gases [55][56][57]. The majority of research works on CNTs for gas sensing materials are based on SWNTs. The reasons that MWNTs have not been attractive for this application are low purity in CNTs volume, less semiconductor characteristics (most MWNTs are metallic) and hence low sensitivity [65]. However, MWNTs can be produced in large scale thus the production cost is much lower than SWNTs. In recent years, the interest in MWNTs as potential gas sensing materials has increased [63][64][65][68][69][70].

The investigation of MWNTs as potential gas sensing materials is discussed in this chapter. A unique material structure aligned MWNTs arrays based on porous anodized alumina template, was utilized to construct resistive and capacitive gas sensing device. By anodizing aluminum foil or tape into porous anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) template, MWNTs are grown inside the porous matrix through CVD process. The gas sensing devices are fabricated by depositing metal electrodes on the surface of MWNTs arrays. As the sensor is exposed to target gas, either the conductivity or the complex permittivity of the CNTs varies, alters the resistance or capacitance of the sensor. For example, the sensors have shown response to NH$_3$ and CO$_2$.

The synthesis method of the aligned MWNTs, the design and fabrication of the sensor device, and the experimental procedure are described in the following sections. The experiment results as well as the data analysis and discussions are also presented.
3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 Fabrication of aligned MWNTs

Aligned MWNT arrays were fabricated inside porous alumina templates which allowed for the control of spatial density and the aspect ratio of the nanotubes. CNTs were then synthesized without the use of a catalyst and the tubes were open ended on both sides. The aligned MWNTs were fabricated by Dr. Vijay Singh’s research group using a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method and the synthesis techniques are briefly described here. The technical details and most of the microscopic images in this section are provided by Dr. Singh’s group.

First, porous alumina templates were prepared by anodizing high purity aluminum (Al) foil (~ 2 µm thickness) or tape (~ 10 µm thickness) in an oxalic acid medium at a voltage of 40-45V. Anodizing was performed at constant voltages for a sufficient time to develop steady-state morphology in different concentrations of sulfuric acid or oxalic acid solutions. The setup for Al anodization is schematically depicted in Figure 3.1. After cleaning and degreasing the Al foil or tape was used as anode and a Pt sheet was used as the cathode. The anodization was completed after 40-50 min at room temperature [72]. The anodization process followed by pore opening which involves dipping the Al foil or tape in a solution with less oxide dissolving ability than the anodizing electrolyte [72]. Here they use phosphoric acid for pore opening. By either varying the anodization voltage or varying the time duration of pore opening process, the diameter of the pore and the total area of the pores per unit surface area can be controlled. Figure 3.2 shows the SEM images of porous anodized alumina template with different pore size.

Next, aligned, open-ended MWNTs arrays were synthesized within the pores of the porous AAO templates using a CVD system. The CVD system was based on a 96 mm diameter Lindberg furnace, with a specially designed gas-flow injector to minimize turbulent flow as described previously by Andrews, et al. in [73]. The CVD process for aligned MWNTs growth is similar except that, pure xylene was used as hydrocarbon
source and a stream of Ar/N₂ was replaced with N₂ gas [73]. The growth procedure was as follows: first, the sample was heated to 700°C in N₂ over the course of half hour; next, xylene was injected into the preheater (maintained at 250°C) using a syringe pump. As the xylene vapors emerged at the outlet of the preheater, a stream of N₂ gas swept the vapors into the furnace (maintained at 700°C) where xylene is decomposed to produce MWNTs within the nano-sized pores. The growth of nanotubes was performed at 700°C for 1-2 hours by pyrolysis of xylene without the need for any catalyst and thus simplifying the synthesis procedure. The sample was then allowed to slowly cool in N₂.

The nanotubes arrays were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The SEM observations in Figure 3.3 indicate that products of CVD process are spatially well separated, free standing, and open-ended nanotubes protruding from both top and bottom surfaces of the AAO template. TEM investigation further revealed the structure of multi-walled nanotubes. Figure 3.4 shows the TEM images of MWNTs with 25 nm (A) and 2 nm (B) inner core diameters. For the sample shown in Figure 3.4 (A), the outer diameter of the nanotube is 35 nm (wall thickness is 5 nm on both side and the inner diameter is 25 nm), which corresponds to the 35 nm pore diameter of the porous AAO sample MWNTs based on. Similarly for the sample in Figure 3.4 (B) the outer diameter is 12 nm (5 nm wall thickness on both side and the inner diameter is 2 nm), which is consistent with the 12 nm pore diameter of the porous AAO template. In fact, Dr. Singh’s group discovered that the MWNT wall thickness remains the same (5 nm on both side) for a given CVD reaction time. The AAO pore diameter, MWNT inner core diameter, and MWNT wall thickness of a series nanotube samples are listed in Table 3.1. These samples were synthesized by CVD for 1 hour duration. The TEM observations indicated that the distance of two neighboring fringes is approximately 3.4 Å, which is in agreement with the inter-planar separation of graphite (3.35 Å). It was believed that the nanotube growth is initiated by the walls of the AAO template, hence alumina acts as catalyst.
3.2.2 Resistive sensing with as-grown MWNTs

Four-point-probe resistance measurement revealed that the surface of the as-grown aligned MWNTs/AAO template is not perfectly insulating, indicating the existence of conductive paths along the surface. This observation is a contradiction from the design of the aligned MWNTs/AAO structure consisting of free standing MWNTs spatially well separated by electrical insulating alumina. Amorphous carbon, a potential by-product of the nanotube growth CVD process, was observed previously by other researchers [74][75] and believed to be the cause of the existing conductive paths on the sample surface.

The surface conductivity of the aligned MWNTs/AAO template was utilized to construct resistive sensor for preliminary gas testing. Figure 3.5 illustrates the schematic diagram of the resistive sensor structure (Note: amorphous carbon is not shown) and Figure 3.6 shows its photograph. Silver (Ag) electrodes with the thickness of 200 nm were deposited on both ends of the MWNTs/AAO template surface through a shadow mask using Kurt Lesker EPS-2000 thermal evaporator. The shadow mask was prepared by cutting through a piece of transparency film using a Lambda Physik COMPex 102 excimer laser system. The dimension of the metal contact is 15 mm by 2 mm. By monitoring its resistance variation, the response of the sensor to target gases at room temperature was determined.

3.2.3 Capacitive sensing with plasma-etched MWNTs

Although the amorphous carbon on the surface of as-grown aligned MWNTs/AAO templates formed conductive paths and was utilized to construct resistive sensors, they are undesirable in capacitive sensor design due to the possibility of shorting the capacitive electrodes. As can be seen from the capacitive sensor design in Figure 3.7 (top view) and Figure 3.8 (cross-section view), the adjacent interdigitated capacitor (IDC) electrodes could be shorted in the presence of amorphous carbon. In order to remove the amorphous carbon prior to sensor fabrication, the surface of the as-grown MWNTs sample was etched in O₂ plasma for 6 min using a plasma etch system (Plasma-Preen 862 by Plasmatic System). Figure 3.9 shows the SEM images of MWNTs sample prior to
and after plasma etching. One can see from Figure 3.9 (B) that plasma etching removed amorphous carbon from the sample surface while at the same time kept the majority of CNTs remain intact. The surface of the plasma etched MWNT arrays was then confirmed to be insulating using four point probe measurement.

An IDC consists of two planar lumped electrodes (Figure 3.7) which can be manufactured by conventional thin film or thick film deposition technologies. Due to its simple structure and maximized three-phase interface between gas, electrodes and sensing material, IDC has been widely accepted as the basic electrode design for gas sensors. Here the capacitive sensor was fabricated by depositing 200 nm thick Ag planar IDC electrodes on top of aligned MWNTs/AAO template through a shadow mask using Kurt Lesker EPS-2000 thermal evaporator. The shadow mask with IDC pattern was also prepared by laser (Lambda Physik COMPex 102 excimer laser system) cutting through Al foil or transparency film. The width of IDC electrode is 0.5 mm with 2 mm line spacing and the total dimension of IDC is 18 mm by 14 mm. A photograph of a capacitive sensor based on plasma-etched MWNTs/AAO template is shown in Figure 3.10. The sensor response was evaluated by monitoring capacitance variation at room temperature in the presence of target gases.

3.2.4 Inductor-capacitor resonant sensor

The concept of inductor-capacitor resonant gas sensor, in which the sensing mechanism is based on the dielectric response of the sensing material, was reported by Ong and coworkers [69][76]. They fabricated the sensor by depositing a thin layer of MWNTs-SiO$_2$ composite on a planar LC (spiral inductor and IDC) resonant circuit sensor platform as shown in Figure 3.11. Upon exposure to target gases, the adsorption of gas molecules in the MWNTs-SiO$_2$ layer alters its complex permittivity and therefore changes the resonant frequency of the sensor. Sensor response can be determined by tracking the impedance spectrum of the LC resonant circuit. A typical impedance spectrum of a LC resonant circuit is shown in Figure 3.12, where the resonant frequency $f_0$ is defined as the frequency where the real part of the impedance reaches its maximum, and the zero-reactance frequency $f_z$ is defined as the frequency at which the imaginary
part of the impedance goes to zero. The complex permittivity (\( \varepsilon_r^* = \varepsilon_r^+ - j\varepsilon_r^\prime \)) of the gas sensing coating (MWNTs-SiO2 composite) can be calculated from the measured \( f_0 \) and \( f_z \) by modeling the sensor as an RLC circuit (Figure 3.13) using standard circuit analysis techniques [69][77][78]:

\[
\varepsilon_r^+ = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi f_0^2\right)^2 LK\varepsilon_0} - \varepsilon_s
\]

(3.1)

\[
\varepsilon_r^\prime = \frac{\sqrt{f_0^2 - f_z^2}}{4\pi^2 f_0^3 LK\varepsilon_0}
\]

(3.2)

where \( \varepsilon_r^+ \) is the real part of the complex permittivity, \( \varepsilon_r^\prime \) is the imaginary part of the complex permittivity, \( \kappa \) is the cell constant of the IDC, and L is the inductance of the spiral inductor. Both \( \kappa \) and L can be calculated from the resonant circuit dimensions. The free space permittivity \( \varepsilon_0 \) and the relative permittivity of the electrically lossless substrate material \( \varepsilon_s \) are known parameters. As can be seen from Eq(3.1) and (3.2), the complex permittivity of the gas sensing material is directly proportional to the measured frequency \( f_0 \) and \( f_z \). Therefore by measuring the impedance spectrum of the LC sensor, the dielectric response of the sensing materials can be determined. Furthermore, Ong and coworkers tracked the sensor response with a loop antenna, thus making the LC resonant sensor a possible candidate for wireless, remote query applications. The LC resonant sensor design was assembled and verified in this dissertation using the MWNTs/AAO based capacitive sensor and a commercially available capacitive humidity sensor.

### 3.2.5 Experiment setup

The sensor testing system is schematically depicted in Figure 3.14. The sensor is placed inside a sealed glass test chamber and the variation of its electrical signal is monitored by an instrument. Three electrical instruments have been used for sensor testing: Hewlett Packard 3478A digital multimeter for resistance measurement, Hewlett Packard 4192A impedance analyzer for capacitance measurement, or Hewlett Packard 8753E network analyzer for impedance spectrum (LC resonant sensor) measurement. Nitrogen (N2) or compressed dry air is used as the carrier gas. The target gases are either pure (CO2) or diluted by N2 (NH3, NO2, etc.). A mass flow controller is used for mixing.
the carrier and target gases and feed them into the test chamber at the desired concentrations and flow rates. The gas flow rates and concentrations are varied with a Sierra Instruments dual channel mass flow system, which is controlled by LabVIEW software through a National Instruments USB-6009 Data Acquisition device (DAQ). A computer was used for controlling the mass flow system and electrical instrument via a GPIB interface, as well as analyzing and processing the measurements. The sensors were tested either at room temperature or at moderate temperatures up to 100 ºC. A heater tape wrapped around the chamber and a power supply were applied to achieve the elevated temperature. The relative humidity (RH) inside the test chamber was maintained at 9% by the flow of carrier gas. Higher RH up to 90% can be achieved by a small portion of carrier gas bubbling through pure DI water, then feeding the water vapor into the test chamber. In order to maintain the constant baseline reading, prior to each experimental session, sensors were baked in vacuum at 100°C for at least 2 hours to desorb gas molecules.

3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Resistive response

Ammonia (NH₃) was selected as one of the target gases for the resistive sensor because ammonia is a reducing gas with a penetrating odor, and high concentrations are threat to human health. The allowed long term concentration limit is 50 ppm [4]. Immediate and severe irritation of nose and throat occurs at 500 ppm. Extremely high concentrations (above 5000 ppm) are lethal within a few minutes. Measuring NH₃ concentration is of great interest in four major areas, i.e., environmental gas analysis [79], automotive industry [80], chemical industry [81], and medical applications [81]. The most commonly used NH₃ sensors are WO₃ metal oxide semiconductor sensors, gas FETs with Pd catalytic gates, and conducting polymer sensors. A lower detection limit of 1 ppm has been demonstrated, however only the conducting polymer sensor can operate at room temperature [81].
The response of MWNTs/AAO resistive sensor to 1% NH$_3$ exposure is shown in Figure 3.15. The sensor was placed inside the test chamber in the flow of N$_2$ at the beginning of the experiment and the sensor resistance was monitored by a digital multimeter. After the sensor reached the equilibrium condition, i.e. constant resistance reading, NH$_3$ was introduced into the chamber and mixed as 1% in volume to the existing carrier gas N$_2$ for 1 hour at room temperature. The sensor was then allowed to recover in the flow of pure N$_2$. The result in Figure 3.15 shows that the sensor resistance increased over 7% in the presence of NH$_3$ and decreased immediately after turning NH$_3$ off. Here the sensitivity S is defined as:

$$S(\%) = \frac{R_{Nh3} - R_{N2}}{R_{N2}} \times 100$$

(3.3)

where $R_{NH3}$ is the sensor resistance in the presence of NH$_3$ gas, and $R_{N2}$ is the sensor resistance in equilibrium condition.

The increase of the sensor resistance in the presence of NH$_3$ is believed to be the result of charge transfer between nanotubes and NH$_3$ molecules [65][68][69]. When MWNTs are exposed to NH$_3$ gas (reducing agent, i.e., electron donor), electrons are transferred from NH$_3$ to MWNTs. A space charge region is then formed at the surface of the semiconducting MWNTs and as a result, the conductivity is reduced. Making use of the existence of amorphous carbon and electrical contacts on the surface of MWNTs/AAO template, resistance changes of the nanotubes can be measured to determine sensor response. The resistance increase in the presence of a reducing agent is evidence that the MWNTs are p-type semiconducting [68]. One can see from Figure 3.15 that the recovery of the sensor is incomplete and the recovery rate is much slower than the response rate. This indicates that the adsorbed NH$_3$ molecules can not be completely removed by the flow of carrier gas due to the bonding between nanotubes and gas molecules.

Shown in Figure 3.16 is the measured sensor response to repeated 1% NH$_3$ exposure at room temperature. After the sensor reached equilibrium, the ambient inside the test chamber was cycled between 1% NH$_3$ and pure N$_2$ for a period of 10 minutes each. The
recorded resistance change was plotted in Figure 3.16. The data shows that the change of resistance followed the gas delivery pattern promptly. The sensor response is reversible and the sensitivity stabilized at approximately 8%.

As the concentration of NH$_3$ increased, the sensitivity of the sensor increased accordingly as expected. Figure 3.17 shows the measured sensor response to 5% NH$_3$ exposure. During the first exposure period, the sensitivity of the sensor increased from 6.1% to 16% when the NH$_3$ concentration increased from 1% to 5%. The data in Figure 3.17 indicated that the sensor recovery was also incomplete for 5% NH$_3$ exposure. It seems that the baseline drift was caused by the residual NH$_3$ gas molecules building up on the nanotubes. This further proved that the bonding between MWNTs and adsorbed NH$_3$ molecules is too strong to be completely removed by the kinetic energy of N$_2$ flux during the sensor recovery. Shown in Figure 3.18 is the sensor response to various concentrations of NH$_3$. The sensor sensitivity was plotted as a function of NH$_3$ concentration and the curve shows a linear fit. The response to various NH$_3$ concentrations shown in Figure 3.18 was measured on the same sample and this is a different sample from the one shown in Figure 3.15, Figure 3.16, and Figure 3.17. One can see that the sensitivities to same concentrations of NH$_3$ are different for the two sensors. It seems that this discrepancy in sensor response was caused by the uncontrolled coverage of amorphous carbon. As a result, the amount of nanotubes exposed to ambient environment and available to interact with gas molecules varies sample to sample, thus causing the difference in sensor response. The resistive sensor based on MWNTs/AAO template demonstrated a lower NH$_3$ detection limit of 100 ppm as shown in Figure 3.19. The sensitivity to 100 ppm NH$_3$ exposure is up to 0.75% at room temperature.

The resistive sensor is also found to be sensitive to carbon dioxide (CO$_2$). CO$_2$ sensors are important in air quality monitoring [82], food and medicine package spoilage detection [76], and industrial process control [83]. To date, electrochemical, optical and metal oxide semiconductor sensors have been used for CO$_2$ detection.
To test the CO2 response, after the sensor reached equilibrium the ambient inside test chamber was cycled between CO2 and N2 for 10 minutes each. The measured sensor response to repeated CO2 exposure at room temperature is plotted in Figure 3.20. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery. The CO2 sensitivity (less than 4%) is much lower compared to the NH3 sensitivity by the same sensor shown in Figure 3.16 and Figure 3.17. This indicates that MWNTs have a higher affinity for NH3 which is in agreement with the fact that NH3 is a much stronger reducing agent than CO2. Figure 3.21 shows the room temperature humidity dependency of the sensor. One can see that as the relative humidity (RH) inside test chamber varies, the sensor resistance alters correspondingly. The result shown in Figure 3.21 indicates that the sensor performance could be highly affected by the ambient humidity levels.

In summary, the resistive sensors based on as-grown MWNTs/AAO template have demonstrated the ability to detect NH3 and CO2 at room temperature and strong humidity dependency. Being sensitive to reducing gases confirmed the p-type semiconductor characteristic. The lower detection limit of NH3 is 100 ppm and the sensitivity shows a linear dependency on the concentration in the range of 1% to 5%. The sensitivity for NH3 detection is much higher than that of CO2 detection, which is consistent with the reports elsewhere [69] and indicates that the nanotubes adsorb NH3 more easily than CO2 molecules. The sensor response is reversible in the multiple-cycled testing and partially recovered by the flow of N2. The sensing and recovery mechanisms can be explained as follows: the observed resistance change is due to the adsorption of reducing gas molecules (NH3 and CO2) into either the core or the surface of the MWNTs. The reducing agents inject electrons into p-type MWNTs and decrease the conductivity. To date, the gas-MWNT bonding mechanisms have not been fully understood yet. It is believed that gas molecules absorbed into MWNTs through a strong chemisorption and a weak physisorption. During the sensor recovery stage, the weak electrostatic bond between MWNTs and physisorbed gas molecules are easily overcome by the kinetic energy of the flowing N2, therefore result in the release of the physisorbed gas molecules. On the other hand, the bond between MWNTs and chemisorbed gas molecules are too strong to be broken by the flow of N2. As a result, there is a slow buildup of chemisorbed
gas molecules on MWNTs surface and therefore the sensor recovery is incomplete. Due to the strong humidity dependency and uncontrolled nature of amorphous carbon coverage on the surface of as-grown MWNTs/AAO template, currently the as-prepared aligned MWNTs samples are practical only for preliminary gas sensing investigation instead of sensor device prototyping.

3.3.2 Capacitive response

The measured response of plasma etched MWNTs/AAO capacitive sensor to 1% NH3 exposure at room temperature is shown in Figure 3.22. After the sensor reached equilibrium in N2, the ambient inside the test chamber was cycled between 1% NH3 and pure N2 for periods of 10 minutes. The variation of sensor capacitance was recorded by an impedance analyzer and plotted in Figure 3.22. The data shows that the dynamic response of capacitance followed the gas delivery pattern promptly, i.e., the capacitance is sensitive to NH3 and allowed to recover in N2 ambient. One can see that the sensor response is reversible and the sensor capacitance increased in the presence of NH3 gas. The sensor recovery is incomplete but there is no evidence of NH3 gas molecule gradually building-up inside MWNTs/AAO template as found in resistive sensor response. The sensitivity is in the range of 0.25% to 0.3%. Here the sensitivity S is defined as:

$$S(\%) = \frac{C_{NH3} - C_{N2}}{C_{N2}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

Where $C_{NH3}$ is the sensor capacitance in the presence of NH3 gas, and $C_{N2}$ is the sensor capacitance in equilibrium condition. As the concentration of NH3 was raised, the sensitivity increased accordingly as shown in Figure 3.23. The concentration of NH3 was increased to 5% and as a result the sensitivity of the sensor increased to the range of 0.5% to 0.6%. Once again, the response followed the presence of gas inside test chamber closely with a response time less than 2 minutes. The sensor capacitance increased in the presence of 5% NH3 and recovered in pure N2 flux.

Similar to the resistive sensor, the MWNTs/AAO capacitive sensor is also sensitive to CO2 as shown in Figure 3.24. First, an equilibrium condition was achieved by soaking
the sensor in N₂ ambient. Next the sensor was exposed to CO₂ and N₂ alternately for periods of 10 minutes at room temperature. The change of capacitance was monitored by an impedance analyzer and plotted in Figure 3.24. One can see that the capacitance increased as the sensor was subjected to CO₂ exposure and recovered in the ambient of pure N₂. The sensitivity of the first CO₂ exposure cycle is as high as 1.2% but gradually decreased throughout the duration of the experiment. The data in Figure 3.24 also indicated that there was a gradual downward drift in the baseline capacitance. It is believed that the baseline drift associated with the CNT sensor is the result of electrical noise characteristic of nanotubes. As described by Collins and coworkers [84], carbon nanotubes generate a high level of 1/f noise. The noise power density is inversely proportional to the measurement frequency as well as the number of nanotubes.

In order to identify the active gas sensing materials of the capacitive sensor, the model of IDC and its building block - unit cell are investigated. Figure 3.25 depicted the schematic description of the IDC and a unit cell. By definition, the capacitance of an interdigitated capacitor is calculated by summing the 2-dimensional unit cell capacitances. The unit cell is shown in the highlighted area of Figure 3.25 A and in detail in Figure 3.25 B. Neglecting the edge effects, the total capacitance of the IDC is [85]:

\[ C_{IDC} = C_{UC} (N-1)L \]  

(3.5)

where N is the number of unit cells and L is the length of the electrodes. The capacitance \( C_{UC} \) of a unit cell is composed of three partial capacitances \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( C_3 \), as shown in Figure 3.25 B. The capacitance of a unit cell is defined as [85]:

\[ C_{UC} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 \]  

(3.6)

where \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( C_3 \) are corresponding to permittivity \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \) as shown in Figure 3.25 B. According to the sensor design which consists of IDC electrodes deposited on the surface of MWNTs/AAO template, \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) are identical and equal to the ambient permittivity inside the test chamber. The permittivity \( \varepsilon_3 \) is the permittivity of the MWNTs/AAO template. Therefore \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are determined by the ambient inside the test chamber and only \( C_3 \) is directly related to the MWNTs. In order to demonstrate that the MWNTs are the active sensing materials, first a control sensor was constructed by replacing the MWNTs/AAO template with a passive ceramic alumina substrate as shown
in Figure 3.26. Identical IDC electrodes were then deposited on the surface of alumina substrate. The unit cell of the control sensor is the same as the one shown in Figure 3.25 B except that the MWNTs/AAO template was replaced by a passive ceramic substrate. The control sensor was then placed inside the test chamber and subjected to NH$_3$ and CO$_2$ exposure as described earlier in this section. The capacitance of the control sensor did not show any response. The partial capacitances $C_1$ and $C_2$ are the same for both MWNTs sensor and the control sensor, and they are subjected to the same gas exposure. The only difference between these two sensors is $C_3$ (i.e., $\varepsilon_3$) therefore $C_3$ is the only contribution in the capacitance change of MWNTs sensor and $C_1$ and $C_2$ are non-factors. This is the evidence that the permittivity $\varepsilon_3$ is the only contribution for the variation of sensor capacitance upon exposure to target gases, thus confirming that the MWNTs/AAO template is the active sensing material.

Next, second control sensor was fabricated and tested to further prove that it is the nanotube not the AAO of the MWNTs/AAO template causing the sensor response in the presence of gases. The second control sensor is similar to the first one except that the passive ceramic substrate was replaced by a porous AAO template, which is the same as the one used for nanotube synthesis. Thus the second control sensor is composed of IDC electrodes deposited on the surface of porous AAO template (Figure 3.26). The only difference between the MWNTs sensor and the second control sensor is the presence of MWNTs. The control sensor was then subjected to target gas exposure as described previously and, as expected did not show any response. The experiment result with the second control sensor further demonstrated that the nanotubes inside the MWNTs/AAO template are indeed the active gas sensing materials and their interaction with the gas molecules alters the capacitance of the sensor during the experiments.

Once the MWNTs were identified as the active sensing material for the capacitive sensor, the sensing mechanism was examined. It has been demonstrated that the capacitance of the sensor increased in the presence of reducing agents such as NH$_3$ and CO$_2$. Oxygen was selected as target gas to investigate the sensor response to an oxidizing agent. After the sensor reached equilibrium in N$_2$, the ambient inside the test chamber
alternated between O₂ and N₂ for a period of 10 minutes each. The variation of sensor capacitance was recorded by an impedance analyzer and plotted in Figure 3.27. One can see from Figure 3.27 that the capacitance increased in the presence of O₂ and recovered in N₂. The initial sensitivity is approximately 0.26% and then gradually decreased during the experiment. The fact that sensor capacitance increased in the presence of both reducing and oxidizing agents indicated that the dielectric effect, instead of charge transfer, is the dominant factor in the sensing principles.

It is believed that the capacitance response of nanotubes to gas molecules is the combination of dielectric effect and charge transfer [67], i.e., it consists of contributions from both the dielectric and the charge effects of the adsorbed molecules:

\[
\Delta C = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \varepsilon} \Delta \varepsilon + \frac{\partial C}{\partial Q} \Delta Q
\]

(3.7)

Where the dielectric effect (the first term) is the result of the field-induced polarization of surface dipoles and the second term is the contribution of charge transfer between the nanotubes and adsorbed molecules. The complex permittivity \( \varepsilon^* \) of the gas sensing material MWNTs can be expressed as:

\[
\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''
\]

(3.8)

Where the real part \( \varepsilon' \) is directly related to the dielectric effect and the imaginary part \( \varepsilon'' \) is directly proportional to the conductivity \( \sigma \) as:

\[
\sigma = 2\pi f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''
\]

(3.9)

Where \( f \) is the measurement frequency and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the free space permittivity. Thus the change in imaginary part \( \varepsilon' \) is due to the charge transfer between the nanotubes and the adsorbed gas molecules.

Ong, et al. [69] applied the mixture of MWNTs and SiO₂ powder (mechanical binder) as active gas sensing material to construct an LC sensor which consists of a planar IDC and a spiral inductor. They analyzed the response of the complex permittivity of the nanotubes in the presence of reducing (NH₃ and CO₂) and oxidizing agents (O₂) respectively by monitoring the impedance spectrum. Their data shows that both the real and imaginary parts of the CNTs’ complex permittivity are sensitive to the target gases.
but the latter is much more sensitive. Therefore they claimed that the sensor response is mostly composed of the contribution from the charge transfer between nanotubes and gas molecules, i.e., charge transfer induced conductance change is the principle gas sensing mechanism for their MWNTs gas sensor. However, the in-depth investigation of our capacitive sensors based on MWNTs/AAO template revealed otherwise.

In order to investigate the effect of target gas exposure on the complex permittivity of the MWNTs capacitive sensor, the capacitance of the IDC is modeled as a pure capacitive, conventional parallel plate capacitor. The capacitance $C$ is then expressed as:

$$ C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon^* A}{d} \quad (3.10) $$

Where $A$ is the surface area of the plate and $d$ is the distance between the two parallel plates. Substitute Eq. 3.8 into Eq. 3.10, then capacitance can be expressed as:

$$ C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon' - j \varepsilon^*) A}{d} \quad (3.11) $$

The impedance $Z$ of a pure capacitor is defined as:

$$ Z = \frac{1}{j \omega C} \quad (3.12) $$

where $\omega$ is angular frequency. Therefore the admittance $Y$ of the capacitor can be expressed as:

$$ Y = G + jB = \frac{1}{Z} = j \omega C \quad (3.13) $$

where $G$ is conductance and $B$ is susceptance of the capacitor. Substitute Eq. 3.11 into Eq. 3.13 then the admittance $Y$ can be expressed as:

$$ Y = G + jB = j \omega \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon' - j \varepsilon^*) A}{d} \right] \quad (3.14) $$

As the result, conductance $G$ is proportional to the imaginary part of complex permittivity $\varepsilon^*$ as:

$$ G = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0 A}{d} \varepsilon^* \quad (3.15) $$

Susceptance $B$ is proportional to the real part of complex permittivity $\varepsilon'$ as:
One can see from Eq. 3.13 to 3.16 that by measuring capacitance $C$, conductance $G$ and susceptance $B$ simultaneously, the in-depth investigation of complex permittivity gas response is possible. Thus the variation of susceptance $B$ correlates with the dielectric effect and the change of conductance $G$ is the direct reflection of charge transfer. Figure 3.28 and Figure 3.29 shows the measured $C$, $B$ and $G$ of the MWNTs/AAO capacitive sensor in the presence of NH$_3$ (5%) and O$_2$ respectively. One can see that for both reducing and oxidizing agents, the capacitance response is solely determined by the variation of susceptance $B$ and the effect of conductance change is negligible. Therefore the experiment results shown in Figure 3.28 and Figure 3.29 indicate that the gas sensing mechanism of the MWNTs/AAO capacitive sensor is based on the dielectric effect, i.e. surface dipole polarization, instead of charge transfer. This surface capacitance effect is believed to be caused by electric field radiating from IDC electrodes when the device is under test. The electrical field produces a net polarization of the adsorbed gas molecules and the result can be detected as an increase in sensor capacitance in the presence of either reducing or oxidizing gas.

A model of MWNTs/AAO capacitive sensor is developed and partially adapted from the work of Endres, et al [85]. The cross section view of the MWNTs capacitive sensor is shown in Figure 3.30. The capacitance of an IDC is commonly calculated by summing all the unit cell capacitance $C_{UC}$. Neglecting edge effects, the IDC capacitance is:

$$C = C_{UC} (N - 1) L$$

(3.17)

Where $N$ is the number and $L$ is the length of the electrodes. The capacitance $C_{UC}$ of a unit cell is the summation of three partial capacitances $C_1$, $C_2$, and $C_3$ corresponding to the permittivity $\varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_3$ as shown in Figure 3.30. Thus:

$$C_{UC} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3$$

(3.18)

Capacitance $C_1$ and $C_3$ can be calculated by conformal mapping of two semi-planes with permittivity $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_3$ into two parallel plate capacitors. $C_1$ and $C_3$ can be expressed by using a complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K[x]$ as the transformation [85]:

$$B = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0 A}{d \varepsilon}$$

(3.16)
\[
C_1 + C_3 = \varepsilon_0 \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3}{2} K \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{d_{sep}}{d_{cell}} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} K \left[ \frac{d_{sep}}{d_{cell}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(3.19)

Where \( d_{sep} \) is the electrode separation and \( d_{cell} \) is the width of a unit cell. \( \varepsilon_1 \) is the relative permittivity of the ambient gas encompass partial capacitance \( C_1 \). \( \varepsilon_3 \) is the relative permittivity of the MWNTs/AAO template which depends on the pore structure of the porous AAO and \( \varepsilon_{CNT} \). The relative permittivity of the composite can be modeled as a linear combination of the individual relative permittivity weighted by respective volume fractions \( f \) [86], i.e.,

\[
\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_{CNT/AAO} = f_{CNT} \times \varepsilon_{CNT} + f_{AAO} \times \varepsilon_{AAO} + f_{air} \times \varepsilon_{air}
\]

(3.20)

The volume between the electrodes is treated as a parallel plate capacitor \( C_2 \):

\[
C_2 = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \frac{h}{d_{sep}}
\]

(3.21)

Where \( h \) is the thickness of the electrodes and \( \varepsilon_2 \) is the same as \( \varepsilon_1 \).

The model of the capacitance sensor indicated that the reduction of the electrode spacing \( d_{sep} \) will increase the capacitance, reduce the signal-to-noise ratio, and hence increase the sensitivity of the sensor. Currently, the IDC electrodes are patterned by physical metal deposition through a shadow mask. However, the resolution limit of the shadow mask prohibited \( d_{sep} \) to be less than 1 mm. Therefore in order to reduce the IDC dimension, the technique of photolithography with much higher resolution is desired for the future improvement of the capacitive sensor performance.

### 3.3.3 LC resonant sensor impedance response

In order to verify the design of LC resonant sensor, a Vishay BCComponants capacitive humidity sensor was purchased from Digi-Key Corporation. First the sensor was characterized in response to the change of relative humidity using the sensor testing system. The result showed in Figure 3.31 A indicated that the capacitance of the sensor precisely followed the variation of relative humidity inside the test chamber. The
measured characteristic of the sensor, i.e. capacitance as a function of relative humidity, is almost identical as claimed in its datasheet. Next the capacitive humidity sensor was incorporated into a planar spiral inductor platform to form a pseudo LC resonant sensor. The structure of the spiral inductor is similar to the one shown in Figure 3.11 with a dimension of 40 mm in outer diameter, 25 mm in inner diameter, and 1 mm in electrode width. The sensor platform was based on a passive ceramic substrate and the inductor electrodes were patterned using Heraeus C5729 gold conductor paste through an Accucoat 3230 screen printer (AREMCO Products). The capacitive humidity sensor was then connected with the planar inductor to form a pseudo LC resonant humidity sensor and subjected to humidity test. Since the inductance of the spiral inductor can be calculated by its geometry and the characteristic of capacitive humidity sensor is known, therefore the impedance spectrum and the resonant frequency of the pseudo LC resonant circuit can be calculated and predicted. Figure 3.31 B shows the predicted and measured resonant frequency of pseudo LC resonant sensor in response to the relative humidity change. The data indicated that the sensing behaviors of theoretical and measured LC sensor are in agreement with a difference in magnitude of the sensitivity. The results verified the operating principles of the LC resonant sensor, however future investigation is needed to understand the cause of magnitude discrepancy in resonant frequency.

A planar LC resonant sensor was constructed by replacing the component capacitive sensor with the MWNTs/AAO based capacitive sensor and in connection with the spiral inductor. The impedance spectrum of this nanotube based LC sensor was monitored in the presence of target gases, however no response was observed. It is believed that the response of MWNTs/AAO capacitor is not high enough for the resonant circuit to generate measurable impedance response. Therefore the key to improve the LC sensor performance is to increase the sensitivity of the MWNTs based capacitive sensor.

3.4 Conclusion

The synthesis method of MWNTs/AAO template was briefly discussed and the multi-walled structure was confirmed by material characterization techniques. Amorphous carbon was present on the surface of the as-prepared MWNT template and it was
believed to be the by-product of the nanotube growth process. The as-prepared MWNT sample was incorporated into resistive sensor structure for conductance-based room temperature gas sensing test and its resistance is in response to the exposure of reducing agents NH$_3$ and CO$_2$. The change of nanotube conductance is the contribution from charge transfer between nanotubes and the adsorbed gas molecules. The demonstrated increased resistance of MWNTs/AAO material to reducing gas molecules served as the evidence of p-type semiconductor.

Capacitive sensors were designed and fabricated by depositing interdigitated electrodes on the surface of plasma etched MWNTs/AAO templates. The sensors showed response to both reducing and oxidizing agents. The in-depth investigation revealed that the capacitive sensing mechanism is mainly based on the field-induced surface dipole polarization instead of charge transfer reported elsewhere. The magnitude of the capacitive sensitivity is restrained by the IDC dimension, which is explained by a capacitive sensor model. Advanced patterning techniques are desired to achieve finer IDC dimension, therefore to increase capacitance and to improve sensor performance.

The inductor-capacitor resonant sensor design was verified by constructing and testing a pseudo LC sensor, which consists of a component capacitive humidity sensor and a thick film planar spiral inductor. This pseudo LC sensor was then subjected to humidity variation and the sensor response is consistent with the calculated prediction, only with a discrepancy of magnitude in sensitivity. The MWNTs based LC sensor did not show observable response upon exposure to target gas due to the relatively low capacitive sensitivity demonstrated by MWNT/AAO materials.

In summary, the MWNTs/AAO templates have demonstrated promising aspect for potential room temperature gas sensing applications. However, some major technical challenges have to be addressed, such as reproducibility, structural robustness and batch productivity, before further sensor prototyping investigations are undertaken.
Table 3.1: The list of AAO pore diameter, MWNT inner core diameter, and MWNT wall thickness for a series of samples prepared for gas sensing experiment. Data was provided by Dr. Vijay Singh’s group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AAO pore diameter (nm)</th>
<th>MWNT inner core diameter (nm)</th>
<th>MWNT wall thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1: Schematic diagram of the setup for Al anodization, adapted from [72].
Figure 3.2: SEM images of the top view of anodized porous alumina (AAO) template with different pore size. A of 45 nm and B of 75 nm.
Figure 3.3: SEM images of spatially well separated, aligned nanotube arrays growth within porous AAO template. Where A shows the spatially well separated CNTs protrude through the surface of porous AAO template. B and C shows the nanotubes are open-ended on both sides. D shows the cross section of the CNTs containing AAO template.
Figure 3.4: TEM images show MWNTs with 25 nm (A) and 2 nm (B) inner core diameters in addition to 5 nm wall thickness.
Figure 3.5: Schematic diagram of the as-grown MWNTs based resistive gas sensor (top view). The device was constructed by depositing metal contacts on the surface of the as-prepared aligned MWNTs/AAO template. Amorphous carbon is not shown.
Figure 3.6: The photograph of a resistive gas sensor based on as-grown, aligned MWNTs/AAO template.
Figure 3.7: Schematic diagram of the MWNTs capacitive gas sensor (top view). The sensor was constructed by depositing IDC metal electrodes on the surface of plasma-etched, aligned MWNTs/AAO template.
Figure 3.8: Schematic diagram of the MWNTs capacitive gas sensor (cross-section view).
Figure 3.9: The SEM images of the as-grown (A) and plasma-etched (B) MWNTs/AAO template. It can be seen that the plasma etching removed the amorphous carbon from sample surface while kept the majority of the CNTs remain intact.
Figure 3.10: The photograph of a capacitive gas sensor based on the plasma-etched, aligned MWNTs/AAO template.
Figure 3.11: Schematic diagram of the LC resonant sensor (top view). It consists of an IDC in connection with a spiral inductor. The IDC is coated with the gas sensing material.
Figure 3.12: An illustrative measured impedance spectrum of LC resonant circuit. The resonant frequency $f_0$ is defined as the frequency where the real part of the impedance reaches its maximum, and the zero-reactance frequency $f_Z$ is the frequency where the imaginary part of the impedance crosses zero.
Figure 3.13: Equivalent circuit model of the LC resonant sensor. The sensor is modeled as an RLC circuit.
Figure 3.14: Schematic description of the gas sensor testing system. The sensor is placed inside a sealed glass chamber. Nitrogen (N$_2$) or compressed dry air is used as the carrier gas. The target gases are either pure (CO$_2$) or diluted by N$_2$ (NH$_3$, NO$_2$, etc.). A mass flow controller is used to mix the carrier and target gases and feed them into the test chamber at various proportions and flow rates. The electrical instruments included a digital multimeter, impedance analyzer, or network analyzer depending on the types of electrical signal to be measured.
Figure 3.15: Measured resistance response when the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to 1% NH₃ (in the flow of N₂) for 1 hour at room temperature.
Response of the MWNT resistive sensor to repeated 1% NH₃ exposure

Figure 3.16: Measured resistance response when the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to 1% NH₃ and pure N₂ alternately for periods of 10 minutes at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Response of the MWNT resistive sensor to repeated 5% NH$_3$ exposure

Figure 3.17: Measured resistance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to 5% NH$_3$ and pure N$_2$ alternately for periods of 10 minutes at room temperature. The sensitivity has increased as expected. The response is reversible and the sensor recovery is incomplete.
Figure 3.18: Measured response of the resistive sensor to various NH$_3$ concentrations at room temperature. The change in resistance with respect to the baseline was plotted versus the NH$_3$ concentration. The curve shows a linear fit.
Figure 3.19: Measured resistance response when the sensor is exposed to 100 ppm NH$_3$ and pure N$_2$ alternately for periods of 10 minutes at room temperature. Despite the relatively low sensitivity, the sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Figure 3.20: Measured resistance response when the MWNTs/AAO sensor is cycled between CO$_2$ and N$_2$ for 10 minutes respectively at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
The room temperature humidity dependency of the MWNTs based resistive gas sensor

Figure 3.21: Measured resistance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor was subjected to relative humidity (RH) variation at room temperature. A strong humidity dependency was observed.
Figure 3.22: Measured capacitance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to 1\% NH\textsubscript{3} and pure N\textsubscript{2} alternately for 10 minutes periods at room temperature. The response is reversible and the sensitivity is in the range of 0.25\% to 0.3\%.
Response of the MWNTs capacitive sensor to repeated 5% NH₃ exposure

Figure 3.23: Measured capacitance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to 5% NH₃ and pure N₂ alternately for 10 minutes periods at room temperature. The response is reversible and the sensitivity is correspondingly increased to the range of 0.5% to 0.6%.
Figure 3.24: Measured capacitance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to CO₂ and N₂ alternately for 10 minutes periods at room temperature. The response is reversible but the baseline is drifting down continuously.
Figure 3.25: Schematic description of the unit cell within a capacitive MWNTs sensor. Where A shows the simplified cross-section of the sensor and the highlighted unit cell is shown in detail in B. The capacitance of a unit cell is composed of three partial capacitances, corresponding to permittivities $\varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2$, and $\varepsilon_3$. 
Figure 3.26: Schematic diagram of the unit cell for MWNTs capacitive sensor and control sensors. The choice of sensor platform material is MWNTs/AAO template for nanotube capacitive sensor, passive ceramic substrate for control sensor one and porous AAO template without MWNTs for control sensor two.
Figure 3.27: Measured capacitance response as the MWNTs/AAO sensor is exposed to O₂ and N₂ alternately for 10 minutes periods at room temperature. The sensor response is reversible. The data indicates that the sensor responses to oxidizing agent and reducing agent in the similar way, which implies that the sensing mechanism is dielectric based rather than conductance based.
Figure 3.28: Measured response of capacitance $C$, susceptance $B$, and conductance $G$ in the presence of 5% $NH_3$ gas. The data indicates that the response of $C$ is determined by susceptance $B$ instead of conductance $G$. 
Figure 3.29: Measured response of capacitance $C$, susceptance $B$, and conductance $G$ in the presence of $O_2$. The data indicates that the response of $C$ is determined by susceptance $B$ instead of conductance $G$. 
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Figure 3.30: Schematic description of the model for the MWNTs/AAO based capacitive gas sensor. Shown is the cross sectional view and some of the key parameters. The length of IDC electrode L is not shown.
The response of capacitive humidity sensor

Calculated and measured resonant frequency in response to the variation of relative humidity

Figure 3.31: Measured response of the capacitive humidity sensor (A) and the calculated and measured resonant frequency of an LC humidity sensor in response to the change of relative humidity (B).
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CHAPTER4: GAS SENSORS BASED ON SWNTS

4.1 Introduction

Both single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes for gas sensing at room temperature have attracted great interest because most of the commercially available gas sensors operate at elevated (at least 200°C) temperatures. Gas sensors based on MWNTs are discussed in chapter 3. A low cost resistive gas sensor based on SWNTs for the room temperature detection of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ is presented in chapter 4. The sensor was fabricated by coating the interdigitated electrodes with the mixture of SWNTs and organic binder, thus SWNT networks covering the IDC electrodes. The resistance of the sensor was monitored in the presence of various concentrations of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ (balanced by N$_2$) at room temperature.

The resistance of SWNTs sensor was found to be sensitive to both NO$_2$ and NH$_3$. The sensor demonstrated lower detection limits of 1 ppm for NO$_2$ and 10 ppm for NH$_3$ with reversible and reproducible response. At room temperature, sensor recovery was found to be slow and incomplete, therefore possible solutions were investigated to facilitate the recovery process. The gas molecule adsorption and desorption mechanisms are discussed. Sensor performance in the background of air instead of N$_2$ is also investigated and discussed in preparation for the future device prototyping.

4.2 Experimental

4.2.1 Sensor fabrication

The sensor electrodes were prepared by the technique of thick film screen printing. Au (C5729 lead free gold conductor paste, Heraeus) interdigitated electrodes were patterned on ceramic substrate (ADS-96R, CoorsTek) using an Aremco Accu-coat 3230 screen printer. Once patterned, the conductor paste was dried to evaporate the solvent at 150°C and then fired for 60 minutes with a peak temperature of 850°C using an Intex TF76 infrared thick film firing furnace.
The SWNTs were purchased from Cheap Tubes Inc., USA [87]. These 90 wt% purified SWNTs have 1-2 nm outer diameter and 0.8-1.6 nm inner diameter with the length of 5-30 µm. To prepare the low cost gas sensing material, 1 wt% SWNTs (lowest reported loading for this type of sensor) were dispersed in an organic binder α-terpineol (C_{10}H_{17}OH, Alfa Aesar) by 1 hour ultrasonic vibration. A Thinky AR-250 planetary mixer was then used to obtain the well dispersed SWNT mixture by mixing for 10 minutes at 800 rpm. In order to obtain uniformly distributed gas sensing material on the sensor platform, spin-coating was chosen instead of screen-printing to apply the SWNT mixture. The mixture of well-dispersed SWNTs and α-terpineol was then coated on the sensor platform by spin-coating for 30 seconds at 3500 rpm. Next, the sample was kept in the infrared thick film firing furnace at 350ºC for 2 hours to burn off the residual organic binder [88][89]. Figure 4.1 shows the schematic description of the SWNTs based resistive sensor (top view). Figure 4.2 shows that uniformly distributed SWNT network was achieved by spin-coating instead of screen-printing.

4.2.2 Resistive gas sensing and sensor recovery

A total of 9 SWNT sensors were prepared, of which 5 were used for NO₂ detection and 4 for NH₃ detection. Both NO₂ and NH₃ are foul smelling toxic gases, where NO₂ is one of the most prominent sources of air pollution. The immediately dangerous to life or health concentration of NO₂ is 20 ppm and the OSHA permissible exposure limits is 5 ppm [4]. The detection of NO₂ and NH₃ are of great importance for environmental protection, industrial control and medical applications.

The sensor was kept in vacuum at 100ºC for 2 hours prior to each experiment session for the purpose of gas molecule desorption. The SWNT sensor was then placed inside the test chamber in the flow of N₂ gas until the equilibrium condition was obtained, i.e. the resistance measurement stabilized. The response of sensor resistance to both reducing agent NH₃ and oxidizing agent NO₂ at various concentrations was monitored. Typical baseline resistance for the SWNT sensor ranged from 10 to 15 Ω. Multiple-cycled testing was also performed for each sample during which the ambient inside the
test chamber was alternated between pure N\textsubscript{2} and the target gases with desired concentrations for periods of 10 minutes each. The sensor response was evaluated by sensitivity S, which was defined as:

$$S(\%) = \frac{R_{\text{gas}} - R_{N_2}}{R_{N_2}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)$$

where \(R_{N_2}\) is the resistance in the presence of carrier gas N\textsubscript{2}, and \(R_{\text{gas}}\) is the resistance in the presence of the target gas. The sensor recovery was incomplete after the removal of the target gases. In order to evaluate the methods to improve the sensor recovery, the effects of ultraviolet illumination and heating on sensor response were investigated. In order to prepare for the future device prototyping work, the carrier gas N\textsubscript{2} was replaced by the compressed air and the sensor performance in the background of air instead of N\textsubscript{2} was evaluated. In this case, the sensitivity S was defined as:

$$S(\%) = \frac{R_{\text{gas}} - R_{\text{air}}}{R_{\text{air}}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)$$

where \(R_{\text{air}}\) is the sensor resistance in the presence of carrier gas air. The dependency of the SWNT sensor to temperature and humidity in the ambient of air was also investigated. The experiment setup was previously described in detail in section 3.2.5.

4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 NO\textsubscript{2} detection

The response of a SWNT resistive sensor to 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} exposure is shown in Figure 4.3. The sensor was placed inside the test chamber in the flow of N\textsubscript{2} at the beginning of the experiment. The sensor resistance was monitored by a digital multimeter (Hewlett Packard 3478A). After the sensor reached the equilibrium condition (constant resistance), NO\textsubscript{2} was introduced into the chamber at a concentration of 10 ppm in addition to the existing carrier gas N\textsubscript{2}. The sensor was exposed to NO\textsubscript{2} for 30 minutes at room temperature and then allowed to recover in the flow of pure N\textsubscript{2}. The result in Figure 4.3 shows that the sensor resistance responded promptly to the presence of NO\textsubscript{2}. The resistance was reduced by 11% in response to NO\textsubscript{2} exposure and increased immediately upon the removal of NO\textsubscript{2}. 
Shown in Figure 4.4 is the measured sensor response to repeated 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} exposure at room temperature. After the sensor reached equilibrium, the ambient inside the test chamber was cycled between 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} and pure N\textsubscript{2} for periods of 10 minutes each. The recorded resistance change is plotted in Figure 4.4. The data shows that the change of resistance followed the gas delivery pattern promptly. The dynamic sensor response is reversible and the sensor recovery in the flow of N\textsubscript{2} is incomplete. The incomplete recovery is attributed to the built-up of NO\textsubscript{2} molecules on the surface of SWNTs. As a result, the sensor shows an irreversible decrease in resistance throughout the duration of the experiment.

Five SWNTs sensors were employed for the NO\textsubscript{2} detection. The response of all five sensors to various concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} gas is depicted in Figure 4.5. The concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} varied from 1 ppm to 50 ppm and the data was based on the experiment results of 10 minutes NO\textsubscript{2} exposure. Shown in the plot is the average sensitivity of all five samples (with one standard deviation) as a function of NO\textsubscript{2} concentration. One can see that the sensitivity of SWNTs sensors increases with an increase in the concentration of NO\textsubscript{2} gas and demonstrated a lower detection limit of 1 ppm. Figure 4.5 indicated that the sensitivity was nearly a linear function of the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration.

The response of the SWNTs sensor to NO\textsubscript{2} is in agreement with trends reported elsewhere [55][57] and the sensing mechanism can be explained as follows. Heat treatment (350\degree C) applied during the sensor preparation to burn off organic residual have formed SWNT bundles of open-ended tubes, as described by Nguyen and coworkers [89]. The possible adsorption sites for gas molecules in the bundles are, therefore, the inner channels of tubes, the external walls, the interstitial channel between the tubes within the bundles, and the grooves between two outer tubes of neighboring bundles [90][91][92][93][94]. Upon exposure, NO\textsubscript{2} gas molecules are present at these adsorption sites and charge transfer from SWNTs to NO\textsubscript{2} occurs. Known as a strong oxidizer, NO\textsubscript{2} has an unpaired electron [55] and this strong electron-withdrawing ability
results an increased conducting holes carrier concentration within SWNT bundles. As can be seen from Figure 4.3, Figure 4.4 and Figure 4.5, the resistance of the SWNT sensor decreased in the presence of oxidizing agent NO₂, which indicates that conducting holes are the majority carriers for these SWNTs. Therefore SWNTs employed in this work demonstrate p-type semiconducting characteristic.

As can be seen from Figure 4.4, room temperature sensor recovery in the flow of N₂ was incomplete as evidenced by an irreversible decrease in sensor electrical resistance. The incomplete recovery compromises the long term performance of the sensor. In order to improve the sensor recovery, it is necessary to investigate the mechanism of gas molecule adsorption on SWNT in detail. Although the exact binding mechanism between gas molecules and SWNTs is not yet fully understood, it is generally believed that it involves weak physisorption and strong chemisorption [69][95][96]. The reversible and irreversible responses observed with the SWNT sensors are consistent with adsorption of gas molecules present on SWNTs through both physisorption, i.e. non-covalent Van der Waals force, and chemisorption, i.e. chemical bond through defect sites on SWNT surface. The kinetic energy of flowing N₂ breaks the weak electrostatic bonds between SWNTs and the physisorbed gas molecules during the sensor recovery (switching from target gas to pure N₂). The desorption of the physisorbed gas molecules causes the reversible SWNT resistance change. At the same time, the stronger bonds between the chemisorbed gas molecules and SWNTs can not be overcome by the flow of N₂, as a result the chemisorbed gas molecules build up through multiple-cycled test, and therefore an overall incomplete sensor recovery featuring irreversible resistance change is observed.

4.3.2 NH₃ detection

The dynamic room temperature responses of SWNT sensor to NH₃ exposure are shown in Figure 4.6 and Figure 4.7. Shown in Figure 4.6 is the sensor response to 100 NH₃ for 30 minutes in the flow of N₂. The sensor was allowed to reach equilibrium condition first. N₂ diluted NH₃ was then introduced into the test chamber and mixed with N₂ at a concentration of 100 ppm. The sensor was exposed to NH₃ for 30 minutes and
then recovered in the flow of pure N\textsubscript{2}. The change of sensor resistance was recorded and plotted in Figure 4.6. One can see that the sensor responded promptly to the presence of NH\textsubscript{3} and its resistance increased by approximately 2\% in a period of 30 minutes. The data of Figure 4.6 also shows that the resistance of SWNT sensor starts to decrease immediately upon removal of NH\textsubscript{3}, however the recovery is at a much slower rate compared to its response rate. We have observed that even after several days keeping in air at room temperature, the SWNT sensors are still unable to fully recover from the detection of NH\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{2}.

The measured sensor response to repeated 100 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} exposure at room temperature is shown in Figure 4.7. After the sensor reached equilibrium, the ambient inside the test chamber was cycled between 100 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} and pure N\textsubscript{2} for periods of 10 minutes each. The recorded resistance change was plotted in Figure 4.7. The data shows that the change of resistance followed the gas delivery pattern promptly. The sensor response is reversible and the sensor recovery in the flow of N\textsubscript{2} is incomplete. Similar to the NO\textsubscript{2} detection, there exists an irreversible drift in the sensor resistance which can be attributed to the built-up of NH\textsubscript{3} molecules on the surface of SWNTs.

Figure 4.8 shows the response of SWNT sensors to various concentrations of NH\textsubscript{3} at room temperature. The data was based on the experiment results of four sensors for 10 minutes NH\textsubscript{3} exposure. The NH\textsubscript{3} concentration varied from 10 ppm to 100 ppm. Shown in the plot is the average sensitivity of all four sensors (with one standard deviation) as a function of NH\textsubscript{3} concentration. The sensor sensitivity increased with an increase in the concentration of NH\textsubscript{3} and the lower detection limit is 10 ppm. At lower concentrations (less that 50 ppm) the sensitivity slowly follows the increase of gas concentration whereas a rapid resistance increase is observed above 80 ppm.

Given the possible p-type semiconducting nature of the SWNTs and the fact that NH\textsubscript{3} is a reducing agent (electron donor), sensor resistance increases in the presence of NH\textsubscript{3} as expected. The NH\textsubscript{3} sensing mechanism is similar to the case of NO\textsubscript{2} except that electron charges transfer from NH\textsubscript{3} molecules to SWNTs. The charge transfer caused a decrease
of the majority carrier (holes) concentration inside SWNTs and therefore an increase in resistance. The increase of SWNT resistance in the presence of NH₃ gas observed in this dissertation is consistent with the reports by other researchers [55][62][88][89]. The fact that its resistance increases in the presence of reducing agent NH₃ (electron donor) and decreases in the presence of oxidizing agent NO₂ (electron acceptor) serves as solid evidence of p-type semiconductor. The demonstrated capability to alter its electrical resistance in the presence of target gases at room temperature make the SWNT employed in this work a promising gas sensing material.

4.3.3 Effect of UV illumination on sensor recovery

The resistive gas sensors based on SWNTs have been proved to be sensitive to low concentration NO₂ and NH₃ exposure as described in section 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. However, the inability of SWNTs sensor to fully recover in time from gas detection has to be addressed before further investigation towards practical gas sensing application. As stated previously, the incomplete sensor recovery is the evidence of partial gas molecules desorption from the active sensing materials SWNTs. Degassing by the flux of pure N₂ does not entirely remove the adsorbed gas molecules from the SWNT sites; therefore the residual molecules caused the sensor resistance drift as shown in Figure 4.4 and Figure 4.7.

To improve the sensor recovery, extra energy source must be supplied to the chemisorbed gas molecules for them to overcome desorption energy barrier from SWNTs. Both photo-energy [58] and thermal-energy [88] are investigated to improve gas desorption and the effects of both treatments on SWNTs gas sensors are discussed in this dissertation.

A Blak-Ray B-100AP ultraviolet (UV) lamp with a 365 nm wavelength was chosen as the photo-energy source to enhance the sensor recovery. The SWNT sensor was once again subjected to 10 ppm NO₂ exposure for 30 minutes at room temperature after reaching equilibrium in the flow of N₂. The UV illumination is applied immediately following the removal of NO₂ therefore the sensor is allowed to recover under UV
illumination in addition to the flow of carrier gas N\textsubscript{2}. The additional photo-energy is expected to break chemical bonds, therefore to cause more adsorbed NO\textsubscript{2} molecules to gain enough energy degassing from SWNT sites and to enhance the sensor ability to recovery. Figure 4.9 demonstrates that the UV illumination drastically improved the sensor recovery as expected. One can see from Figure 4.9 that the addition of UV illumination results a ninefold improve in sensor recovery during a period of 20 minutes. The improved sensor recovery is the result of more adsorbed oxidizing agent molecules desorption from SWNT sites by gaining extra photo-energy.

The data shown in Figure 4.10 is the evidence that UV illumination improves sensor performance during multiple-cycled NO\textsubscript{2} exposure. Similarly, the UV illumination was only applied during sensor recovery cycles, in addition to the flow of N\textsubscript{2}. It is clearly that the sensor recovery was enhanced by the photo-induced gas molecule desorptions from SWNT sites as evidenced by a great amount of reduction in undesired resistance drift and an average of 2.59 times improvement of recovery. One can see from Figure 4.10 that the sensor response was also improved by the addition of UV illumination. The average relative sensitivity to 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} exposure is increase by approximately 3 times by the introduction of UV illumination during sensor recovery. The enhanced sensor response can be attributed to the “cleaning effect” of UV illumination during the each preceding recovery cycles. More specifically, the photo-induced molecule desorptions during recovery create additional SWNT sites available for NO\textsubscript{2} molecule adsorption for each following detection cycles, and as a result, an improved overall sensor performance.

An important factor needs to be considered is the oxygen molecules adsorbed by the SWNTs whenever the sensor is exposed to air. It has been reported that under ambient conditions, oxygen molecules adsorb by SWNTs with a binding energy of approximately 0.25 eV [56][97]. The adsorbed oxygen molecules oxidize SWNTs by withdrawing one tenth of an electron per molecule [97]. These oxygen molecules can not be completely removed from SWNT sites at the beginning of the experiment simply by N\textsubscript{2} flushing; therefore some of the SWNT adsorption sites are occupied by the oxygen molecules. As UV illumination was introduced at the sensor recovery stage, both NO\textsubscript{2} and oxygen
molecules gained the additional photo-energy to overcome the desorption energy barrier. As an oxidizing agent, the desorbing oxygen reduced the conducting hole carrier concentration of the p-type semiconducting SWNTs and caused an increase in the resistance of the sensor. Eventually, oxygen molecule desorption enhanced the effect of NO₂ gas molecules desorption and we believe the improved sensor recovery shown in Figure 4.9 and Figure 4.10 are the contributions of photo-induced molecular desorptions of both NO₂ and O₂.

In an effort to minimize the effect of adsorbed oxygen on sensor response, a UV pre-depletion procedure was applied at the beginning of the experiment. The sensor was exposed to UV illumination in N₂ ambient for at least 30 minutes until an equilibrium condition was achieved, followed by 30 minutes NO₂ exposure, and finally UV illumination as well as the N₂ flush for recovery. The result is shown in Figure 4.11 (green curve) and the previous data from Figure 4.9 is re-plotted for comparison. As can be seen from Figure 4.11, the UV pre-depletion induced oxygen desorption caused a 35% increase in sensor sensitivity, which indicates that more adsorption sites in SWNTs are available for NO₂ molecules due to the “cleaning effect” of UV at the beginning of the experiment. Similarly, the addition of UV illumination enhanced the sensor recovery and one can see from Figure 4.11 that the recovery has been improved by 5 times at the duration of 20 minutes.

Figure 4.12 shows the effect of UV illumination on sensor recovery from NH₃ detection. The result shows that the SWNT sensor slowly recovers from 30 minutes of 100 ppm NH₃ exposure in the flow of pure N₂. However, sensor resistance started to increase rather than decrease as soon as the UV lamp was turned on. The unexpected resistance increase is again attributed to absorbed oxygen molecules desorption from SWNT sites under the influence of UV illumination. The hole carrier concentration of semiconducting SWNTs was reduced by the photo-desorption of oxygen in competition with the increased hole concentration resulting from the desorption of reducing agent NH₃ molecules. The unexpected resistance increase shown in Figure 4.12 indicates that the effect of oxygen desorption dominates that of NH₃ desorption, and as a net result UV
illumination has no positive impact on sensor recovery in the case of NH$_3$ detection. Techniques other than UV illumination must be explored to enhance the sensor recovery from NH$_3$ detection in consideration for future practical applications.

**4.3.4 Effect of heating on sensor performance**

Thermal energy is another viable energy source to enhance the gas molecule desorptions from SWNT adsorption sites. The SWNT sensors are heated in the presence of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ gases and the sensor performance was monitored. Since this dissertation is focused on the room temperature gas sensitivity of CNT materials, therefore the heating is limited to moderate temperature, i.e. 100°C. To heat the sensor, a heater tape was wrapped around the test chamber and a power supply was applied for the temperature control. A thermocouple was connected into the test chamber to monitor the temperature of the sensor under test. Constant temperature at 100°C was maintained throughout the entire experiment procedure so its effects on sensor recovery as well as response were investigated.

Figure 4.13 shows the effect of heating on sensor response and recovery in the presence of 10 ppm NO$_2$. One can see that after 30 minutes of NO$_2$ exposure, the sensitivity was increased from ~12% to ~20% by heating the sensor, i.e. 66.7% increase in sensitivity. The sensor recovery at 100°C was improved by 3.5 times within 30 minutes compared to the same sensor recovered at room temperature. The data shown in Figure 4.13 are based on one of the SWNT sensors and similar results were found for all the other sensors. It is obvious that the elevated temperature enhances the sensor response as well as recovery during NO$_2$ detection. The effect of heating on sensor performance upon repeated NO$_2$ exposure is shown in Figure 4.14. The temperature of the sensor was maintained at 100°C constantly while the sensor was subjected to multiple-cycled 10 ppm NO$_2$ exposure along with pure N$_2$ for recovery. One can see from Figure 4.14 that the heating has improved the sensor response by an average factor of 1.9 and the recovery by an average factor of 3.4 for all the individual cycles. As the results, the sensor demonstrated an increased sensitivity and a reduced cumulative drift effect, which are important for long term sensor performance.
The improved sensor response can be attributed to the additional adsorption sites on SWNTs created by heating the sensor. The addition of thermal energy induces more gas molecules to overcome the energy barrier and desorb from SWNT sites at the beginning of the experiment. Consequently, more adsorption sites on SWNTs are created and available for the following NO₂ detection cycle. The sensitivity of the sensor is increased accordingly. Next, the elevated temperature again caused more oxidizing gas molecules to desorb from SWNT site under the flow of N₂. As a result, the sensor resistance increases further toward its original value, thus an enhanced recovery. The sensor response to various concentrations of NO₂ at 100°C is shown in Figure 4.15. One can see that in comparison to the response at room temperature, heating caused a less linear correspondence between the sensitivity and the NO₂ concentration beyond 20 ppm.

Figure 4.16, Figure 4.17 and Figure 4.18 show the effects of heating on NH₃ detection and recovery. One can see from Figure 4.16 and Figure 4.17 that the sensitivity to 100 ppm NH₃ has been significantly increased by heating the sensor to 100°C. Based on the data in Figure 4.17, the sensor response and recovery has been increased by an average factor of 2.57 and 2.96, respectively, compared to the room temperature detection. This reveals that more SWNT adsorption sites were created for adsorbing NH₃ molecules by heating the sensor. At the same time, the sensor recovery has also been improved; however the problem of sensor resistance drift still exists. It seems that the enhancement of heating on sensor recovery from NH₃ detection is not as effective as NO₂ detection. This is believed due to the adsorption of oxygen molecules in the room ambient between vacuum baking and loading into the test chamber. As described in section 4.3.3, SWNTs are oxidized immediately as soon as they exposed to air. During the experiment, these oxygen molecules can not be completely released from adsorption sites by the flow of N₂ at room temperature. With the help of thermal energy, more oxygen molecules desorbed from SWNTs during sensor recovery stage along with NH₃ molecules. However, the contribution of NH₃ (reducing agent) desorption to the sensor will be neutralized by the contribution of oxygen (oxidizing agent) desorption. Accordingly, the improvement of heating on sensor recovery from NH₃ detection is not effective as NO₂ detection. Figure
4.18 shows the effect of heating on sensor response to various concentrations of NH$_3$. The NH$_3$ concentration varied from 10 ppm to 100 ppm. It indicates that heating caused the NH$_3$ sensitivity to increase more uniformly at various concentrations than the NO$_2$ dependence in Figure 4.15.

4.3.5 Sensor performance in air

In order to explore the possibility of device prototyping for practical applications, the characteristics of SWNT sensor in ambient condition were investigated by replacing the carrier gas N$_2$ with compressed air. Ultra low concentration of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ detection was conducted at room temperature. The effects of UV illumination and heating on sensor recovery in air, instead of N$_2$ were studied. Furthermore, the temperature and humidity dependency of the SWNT sensors were monitored and discussed.

Figure 4.19 shows the sensor response to 10 ppm NO$_2$ at room temperature in air instead of N$_2$. One can see that the resistance decreases in the presence of NO$_2$ and partially recovered in the flow of air. The variation of the sensor resistance promptly reflects the gas delivery pattern, i.e. alternating between 10 ppm NO$_2$ and air. The sensing characteristic under ambient condition shown in Figure 4.19 is in agreement with the sensor response with N$_2$ background, which was demonstrated in Figure 4.4. Similar result was found for NH$_3$ detection as well. Shown in Figure 4.20 is the measured resistance response when the SWNT sensor is exposed to 100 ppm NH$_3$ and compressed air alternately for periods of 10 minutes each at room temperature. The presence and removal of NH$_3$ gas caused the sensor resistance to increase and decrease correspondingly. Thus the NH$_3$ sensing characteristic in air is consistent with its response in N$_2$ background as discussed in section 4.3.2. The demonstrated gas sensing capability in ambient condition makes the development of SWNT sensor one step closer towards a “working device” for practical applications.

The gas detection results (Figure 4.19 and Figure 4.20) of SWNT sensors under ambient condition indicate that the sensor recovery is incomplete and the cumulative residual gas molecules caused undesired resistance drift on sensor response. In order to
improve the sensor performance, the cumulative effect needs to be minimized. Section 4.3.3 and 4.3.4 discussed the investigation of UV illumination and moderate heating as the potential solutions to enhance sensor recovery. The same procedures were applied to the SWNT sensors for the NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ detections under ambient condition. Figure 4.21 shows the effect of UV illumination on sensor recovery from 10 ppm NO$_2$ exposure in air. The UV illumination was applied during each recovery cycles in addition to the flow of air. One can see that upon exposure, the sensor resistance has been increased by an average of 2% from its initial value, which seems caused by the “cleaning effect” of the UV illumination during the first recovery cycle, i.e. 0 to 10 minutes in Figure 4.21. The addition of UV illumination created more vacancy of adsorption site on SWNTs available for NO$_2$ molecules hence increased sensor sensitivity. However, there is no evidence that the UV illumination drastically improves the sensor recovery under ambient condition, which is inconsistent with the experiment result based on N$_2$ background, as described by section 4.3.3 and shown in Figure 4.10. By replacing the carrier gas N$_2$ with compressed air, the SWNTs are undergoing oxidization and cleaning at the same time during each recovery cycles. Thus the extra cleaning effect caused by the addition of UV illumination most probably was offset by the adsorption of interfering gas molecules (for instance oxygen) on SWNTs, and as a result there is no net improvement in sensor recovery. Hence the enhancement of SWNT sensor recovery in air by the addition of UV illumination is not as effective as in N$_2$ background.

The NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ detection in air by the SWNT sensor was also conducted at the elevated temperature. The sensor was heated to and maintained at 100°C throughout the experiments. The effects of heating on sensor response and recovery for 10 ppm NO$_2$ and 100 ppm NH$_3$ detection are depicted in Figure 4.22 and Figure 4.23 respectively. One can see that the heating has dramatically improved the response and recovery of the SWNT sensor under ambient condition. For 10 ppm NO$_2$ detection, heating caused an average increase by a factor of 2.6 for all the detection cycles, and an average increase by a factor of 6.7 for all the recovery cycles. Similarly for the 100 ppm NH$_3$ detection, there is an average 2.6 times increase in sensor response and fourfold improvement in recovery by heating the sensor at 100°C. The heating-induced enhancement in sensor response and
recovery demonstrated in Figure 4.22 and Figure 4.23 indicated that a built-in heater is a necessary element to achieve better sensor performance in future device prototyping work.

The temperature and humidity dependency of the SWNT sensor was investigated under ambient condition. Within 60 minutes, sensors were subjected to the variation of either temperature (25°C to 100°C) or relative humidity (10% to 95%), and the changes in their baseline resistances were monitored. Based on the data obtained from all the SWNT sensors, we found that the baseline resistance has an average 5% variation due to the increase in temperature. The dependency of SWNT resistance on ambient temperature has been demonstrated by Nguyen and coworkers [89]. They observed a slightly decrease in electrical resistance as the temperature increased from room temperature to 100°C. The decrease of the sensor resistance with an increase in temperature demonstrates semiconducting behavior of the SWNTs. However, we witness both decrease and increase in the sensor resistance for our sensors. This inconsistency in the variation of SWNTs resistance in response with the heat treatment needs further investigation in the future. On the other hand, the increase in relative humidity causes an average 0.8% change in sensor resistance. Hence the short term influence of humidity variation on the SWNT sensor is negligible.

4.4 Conclusion

A SWNTs based resistive gas sensor was fabricated and tested for the detection of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ at room temperature. The lowest reported 1 wt% loading of SWNTs were mixed with organic binder to form active sensing material and then coated on the interdigitated sensor electrodes. After annealing, the sensors were subjected to low concentration of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ exposure while the variation of sensor resistance was monitored as the evidence of response. The SWNT sensors demonstrated lower detection limit of 1 ppm for NO$_2$ and 10 ppm for NH$_3$. In order to demonstrate the gas sensing functionality of SWNTs, a control sensor was prepared by spin-coated α-terpineol on IDC sensor platform, i.e., without nanotubes. After annealing treatment, the electrical characteristic of the sensor appears to be an open circuit. The observation based on the
control sensor proved that for SWNTs resistive sensors, the functionality was the result of nanotubes bridging adjacent interdigitated electrodes. Therefore SWNTs are indeed the active gas sensing material.

The decrease of resistance in the presence of oxidizing agent NO$_2$ and the increase of resistance in the presence of reducing agent NH$_3$ describe a p-type semiconducting behavior of the SWNTs employed in this work. However, the sensor recovery at room temperature is incomplete, therefore causes undesirable resistance drift for the repeated gas detection. The mechanism of sensing and recovery are discussed. UV illumination and moderate heating are examined as potential solutions to improve sensor recovery performance. The effects of UV and heating on sensor performance are described and explained. The influence of oxidized SWNTs on the sensor performance is also presented and discussed.

In preparation of future work of device prototyping, the performance of the SWNT sensor under ambient condition was investigated. Carrier gas N$_2$ was replaced by compressed air during the gas detection experiments. The NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ detection of the SWNTs sensor in the air produced similar sensing characteristic as that in N$_2$. Once again, The UV and heating are applied to improve sensor performance and the mechanisms are discussed. Furthermore, the temperature and humidity dependency of the sensor was investigated.

In summary, the resistive gas sensor based on SWNTs demonstrated great potential for detecting NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ at room or moderate temperatures. The advantages of the design include simple structure, very low nanotube loading, easy to measure and monitor, demonstrated functionality in air, and low humidity dependency. On the other hand, the issue with the sensor recovery needs to be continuously addressed to improve the long term sensor performance. The undesired resistance drift must be minimized in order for the sensor to function properly during the multiple-cycled gas detection applications.
Figure 4.1: Schematic description of SWNTs based resistive gas sensor (top view).
Figure 4.2: Microscopic views and SEM images indicated that uniformly distributed SWNTs network was achieved by spin-coating (B) instead of screen-printing (A).
Response of SWNT resistive sensor to 10 ppm NO$_2$

Figure 4.3: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 10 ppm NO$_2$ (in the flow of N$_2$) for 30 minutes at room temperature.
Figure 4.4: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 10 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> and pure N<sub>2</sub> alternately for periods of 10 minutes each at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Figure 4.5: Measured response of SWNT gas sensors to various concentrations of NO₂ at room temperature. The data was based on the experiment results of 5 sensors for 10 minutes NO₂ exposure. The NO₂ concentration varied from 1 ppm to 50 ppm. Shown in the plot are the average sensitivity of all 5 samples and 1 standard deviation.
Figure 4.6: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 100 ppm NH₃ (in the flow of N₂) for 30 minutes at room temperature.
Figure 4.7: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 100 ppm NH$_3$ and pure N$_2$ alternately for periods of 10 minutes each at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Figure 4.8: Measured response of SWNT gas sensors to various concentrations of NH₃ at room temperature. The data was based on the experiment results of 4 sensors for 10 minutes NH₃ exposure. The NH₃ concentration varied from 10 ppm to 100 ppm. Shown in the plot are the average sensitivity of all 4 samples and 1 standard deviation.
Figure 4.9: The effect of UV illumination on SWNT gas sensor recovery from 10 ppm NO₂ exposure. The red curve represents the sensor recovery in the flow of N₂ only, i.e., without UV illumination. The black curve represents the sensor response with UV illumination applied in addition to N₂ purge during its recovery stage.
Figure 4.10: The effect of UV illumination on SWNT gas sensor recovery from 10 ppm NO$_2$ exposure. The red curve represents the sensor recovery in the flow of N$_2$ only. The black curve represents sensor recovery in the flow of N$_2$ with UV illumination.
Figure 4.11: The effect of pre-experiment UV illumination on SWNT gas sensor response and recovery to NO\textsubscript{2} exposure. The red curve represents the sensor recovery in the flow of N\textsubscript{2} only. The black curve represents sensor recovery in the flow of N\textsubscript{2} with UV illumination. The green curve depicts the effect of pre-experiment oxygen desorption by UV illumination.
UV illumination during recovery caused undesired increase in sensor resistance

Figure 4.12: The effect of UV illumination on SWNT gas sensor recovery from 100 ppm NH₃ exposure.
Figure 4.13: The effect of heating at 100°C on SWNT gas sensor response and recovery in the presence of 10 ppm NO₂ for 30 minutes. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100°C.
Figure 4.14: The effect of heating at 100ºC on SWNT gas sensor performance upon repeated 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} exposure. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100ºC.
The effect of heating on sensor response to various concentrations of NO$_2$.

Figure 4.15: The effect of heating on SWNT gas sensor response to various concentrations of NO$_2$. The red data is the result at room temperature and the black one is the result at 100ºC. The NO$_2$ concentration varied from 1 ppm to 50 ppm. Shown in the plot is the average sensitivity of all 5 samples and 1 standard deviation.
The effect of heating on sensor response and recovery to 100 ppm NH$_3$ exposure

Figure 4.16: The effect of heating at 100°C on SWNT gas sensor response and recovery in the presence of 100 ppm NH$_3$ for 30 minutes. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100°C.
Figure 4.17: The effect of heating at 100ºC on SWNT gas sensor performance upon repeated 100 ppm NH$_3$ exposure. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100ºC.
Figure 4.18: The effect of heating on SWNT gas sensor response to various concentrations of NH$_3$. The red data is the result at room temperature and the black one is the result at 100ºC. The NH$_3$ concentration varied from 10 ppm to 100 ppm. Shown in the plot is the average sensitivity of all 4 samples and 1 standard deviation.
Figure 4.19: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 10 ppm NO₂ and compressed air alternately for periods of 10 minutes each at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Figure 4.20: Measured resistance response as SWNT gas sensor is exposed to 100 ppm NH$_3$ and compressed air alternately for periods of 10 minutes each at room temperature. The sensor shows reversible response and incomplete recovery.
Figure 4.21: The effect of UV illumination on SWNT gas sensor recovery from 10 ppm NO$_2$ exposure in air. The red curve represents the sensor recovery in the flow of compressed air only. The black curve represents sensor recovery in the flow of compressed air with UV illumination.
Figure 4.22: The effect of heating at 100°C on SWNT gas sensor performance upon repeated 10 ppm NO₂ exposure in air. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100°C.
Figure 4.23: The effect of heating at 100ºC on SWNT gas sensor performance upon repeated 100 ppm NH₃ exposure in air. The red curve represents the sensor response at room temperature. The black curve represents the sensor response at 100ºC.
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

5.1 Summary

This dissertation investigated the potential of carbon nanotubes as gas sensing materials at room temperature. An automated gas sensor testing system was designed and implemented, which features a PC controlled gas delivery system, real time electrical signal monitoring, data acquisition configuration, and LabVIEW programming. Resistive and capacitive gas sensors based on aligned MWNTs were fabricated and tested. It is the first report to construct a gas sensor device based on this unique CNT structure. The performance of a resistive sensor based on the mixture of low loading of SWNTs in organic binder was studied. To date, this is the lowest reported SWNT loading (1 wt %) for this type of sensor showing excellent response at room temperature.

Both MWNTs and SWNTs based gas sensors were designed, fabricated and tested in detection of common hazardous gases at room temperature. The carbon nanotubes employed in this work demonstrated p-type semiconducting characteristics and the sensors showed gas sensitivity at room temperature. The operating principles of the sensors were discussed in detail and techniques to improve the sensor performance were investigated.

5.1.1 Resistive sensing with aligned MWNTs

The amorphous carbon induced surface conductivity of the as-grown aligned MWNTs/AAO template was utilized to construct resistive sensor for preliminary gas detection. The results are as follows:

- At room temperature, the resistance of the as-grown MWNTs/AAO template shows an increase of 7.3% in the presence of 1% NH₃ gas for 60 minutes.
- The as-grown MWNTs/AAO template demonstrates reproducible, reversible as well as irreversible response to repeated 1% NH₃ exposure with an average sensitivity of 5.09%.
• The sensitivity increases as the NH$_3$ concentration increases. An average sensitivity of 10.9% was observed as the sample was subjected to multiple-cycled 5% NH$_3$ exposure at room temperature.
• The sample shows response to the presence of 100% CO$_2$ with an average sensitivity of 2.59%.
• The recovery is slow and incomplete. A strong humidity dependency was observed for the MWNTs based resistive sensor.
• Due to the strong humidity dependency and uncontrolled coverage of amorphous carbon on the surface of the as-grown MWNTs/AAO template, currently this type of material is only employed for preliminary gas sensing investigation instead of sensor device prototyping.

5.1.2 Capacitive sensing with aligned MWNTs

The amorphous carbon on the surface of as-grown MWNTs was removed by the method of plasma etching. Interdigitated capacitor was then patterned on the plasma-etched MWNTs/AAO template to form capacitive sensor for room temperature gas detection. The results are as follows:

• The MWNTs capacitive sensor demonstrates reproducible and reversible increase in capacitance to 1% NH$_3$ exposure with the sensitivity in the range of 0.25% to 0.3%.
• The sensitivity increases as the NH$_3$ concentration increases. An average sensitivity of 0.5% was observed as the sample was subjected to multiple-cycled 5% NH$_3$ exposure at room temperature.
• The sensor also demonstrated sensitivity to the presence of CO$_2$ and oxygen at room temperature.
• The in-depth investigation of the sensor electrical properties reveals that the sensor response was determined by the dielectric response instead of charge transfer between MWNTs and adsorbates.
• The magnitude of the capacitive sensitivity is restrained by the IDC electrode dimension, which is explained by the model of the capacitive sensor. In order to
improve the sensitivity, either the longer IDC electrodes or shorter distance between adjacent electrodes is required. Thus larger MWNTs/AAO sample size or finer pitch of IDC electrodes is essential to achieve better capacitive response.

- The MWNTs/AAO templates have demonstrated promising aspect for potential room temperature gas sensing applications. Some technical challenges have to be addressed, such as material reproducibility, structural robustness and batch productivity, in order to further investigate the possibility of device prototyping.

5.1.3 Resistive sensing with SWNTs

Resistive sensors were fabricated by coating the interdigitated electrodes with the mixture of SWNTs (1 wt% loading) and α-terpineol binder. The resistance of the sensor was monitored in the presence of various concentrations of NO₂ and NH₃ gas at room temperature. The results are as follows:

- The resistance of the SWNTs sensor undergoes drastic decrease in the presence of NO₂. It is decreased by an average of 11% in response to the exposure of 10 ppm NO₂ within 30 minutes.
- The sensor recovery is incomplete at room temperature. The incomplete recovery caused an undesirable, irreversible response as the sensor was employed for multiple cycle NO₂ detection.
- The sensitivity is nearly proportional to NO₂ concentrations with a lower detection limit of 1 ppm.
- The sensor demonstrates a 2.1% resistance increase in the presence of 100 ppm NH₃ at room temperature within 30 minutes.
- In response to repeated NH₃ exposure, the sensor shows both reversible and irreversible response. The irreversible response is due to the incomplete sensor recovery.
- The sensitivity increases corresponding to the increase of NH₃ concentration. The lower NH₃ detection limit is 10 ppm.
- The sensing mechanism of the SWNTs sensor can be explained by chemisorptions and physisorptions between nanotubes and gas molecules.
• The sensors demonstrate excellent sensitivity to both NO\(_2\) and NH\(_3\) in air as well as in N\(_2\), which is a promising aspect towards device prototyping in the future.
• There is limited humidity dependency observed; however, the influence of temperature change on sensor performance needs in-depth study in the future.

5.1.4 Techniques to improve SWNTs sensor performance

The SWNT sensors demonstrate slow and incomplete recovery characteristics, which in turn cause an undesirable drift of sensor resistance during the multiple-cycled gas detection. This incomplete recovery impairs the effectiveness of the gas sensing functionality towards practical applications. Hence UV illumination and moderate heating were investigated as the potential solutions to improve sensor recovery performance. The results are as follows:
• The UV illumination significantly enhanced the sensor recovery from NO\(_2\) detection. The improved recovery is attributed to the photo-energy induced molecular desorption from SWNT sites. The adsorbed NO\(_2\) molecules gain photon-energy from UV, overcome the desorption energy barrier and released from adsorption sites on SWNTs.
• During multiple-cycled NO\(_2\) detection, the pre-depletion “cleaning effect” of UV illumination during sensor recovery creates additional adsorption sites for NO\(_2\) gas molecules, and as a result, increased sensitivity.
• There is no positive impact of the UV illumination on sensor recovery during NH\(_3\) detection, which is attributed to the effect of oxidized SWNTs. During recovery and under the impact of UV illumination, both NH\(_3\) and oxygen desorbs from SWNTs. The desorption of NH\(_3\) cause an increase of hole concentration in SWNTs, however it is counteracted by the desorption of oxygen which increases the electron concentration in nanotubes. Therefore for NH\(_3\) detection, there is no enhancement in sensor recovery by the addition of UV illumination.
• By heating the sensor to a moderate temperature of 100\(^\circ\)C, both sensitivity and recovery have been significantly improved in NO\(_2\) and NH\(_3\) detection. Similar
observations were made when the gas detection was performed in air instead of N₂ ambient.

5.2 Future Work

There is substantial scope for carrying out further research that will extend the work presented in this dissertation. A few possible future directions are suggested as following:

- As discussed in section 3.3.2, the dimension of the IDC electrodes needs to be reduced in order to improve the gas sensitivity of the capacitive sensor which is based on MWNTs/AAO template. However the patterning techniques currently employed, including laser cut shadow mask and conductor paste screen printing, have limitations. In the future photo mask and photolithography could be upgraded options in consideration of the miniaturization process.

- The MWNTs resistive sensors show significant humidity dependency whereas the influence of humidity on the SWNTs resistive sensor is negligible. Further investigation is necessary to understand the mechanism and difference between them.

- Selectivity is an important aspect of sensor characteristic and should be studied in the future, particularly how the NO₂/NH₃ gas sensitivity will be affected by the presence of interference gases.

- A built-in heater could be incorporated into the existing SWNT sensor design. A serpentine platinum heater can be patterned on the back side of the ceramic sensor substrate thus the sensor can be heated to moderate temperature during operation to achieve better performance.

- A detailed investigation in the dependency of sensor performance on the SWNTs loading in sensing material would be necessary to gain better understanding of the sensing mechanism.
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