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As the central pacemaker, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) has long been considered the primary regulator of blood pressure circadian rhythm; however, this dogma has been challenged by the discovery that each of the clock genes present in the SCN is also expressed and functions in peripheral tissues. The involvement and contribution of these peripheral clock genes in the circadian rhythm of blood pressure remains uncertain. Here, we demonstrate that selective deletion of the circadian clock transcriptional activator aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator–like (Bmal1) from smooth muscle, but not from cardiomyocytes, compromised blood pressure circadian rhythm and decreased blood pressure without affecting SCN-controlled locomotor activity in murine models. In mesenteric arteries, Bmal1 bound to the promoter of and activated the transcription of Rho-kinase 2 (Rock2), and Bmal1 deletion abolished the time-of-day variations in response to agonist-induced vasoconstriction, myosin phosphorylation, and ROCK2 activation. Together, these data indicate that peripheral inputs contribute to the daily control of vasoconstriction and blood pressure and suggest that clock gene expression outside of the SCN should be further evaluated to elucidate pathogenic mechanisms of diseases involving blood pressure circadian rhythm disruption.

Introduction

Human blood pressure undergoes daily oscillations: blood pressure is lowest at night (nocturnal dip) and rises before awakening (morning surge) (1). Such blood pressure circadian rhythm is critical to human health, as a 40% higher risk of acute myocardial infarction, a 29% higher risk of sudden cardiac death, and a 49% higher risk of stroke occur during the early morning blood pressure surge compared with the rest of the day (2, 3). It was long believed that blood pressure circadian rhythm, just like other physiological and behavioral circadian rhythms, was mostly controlled by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN). However, this dogma was challenged by the discoveries that each of the core clock genes that exists in the SCN also expresses and functions in peripheral tissues (4–7). These discoveries raise some fundamental questions: do these peripheral clock genes participate in the regulation of blood pressure circadian rhythm? And if so, which specific clock genes in which peripheral tissue or tissues are important for blood pressure circadian rhythm?

Smooth muscle is a major component of the blood vessel wall, and its primary physiological function is to maintain adequate organ blood supply and blood pressure homeostasis by adjusting its contractile state in response to environmental cues (8). Smooth-muscle contractility exhibits time-of-day variation (9–14). However, whether and how such time-of-day smooth-muscle contractile variation relates to blood pressure circadian rhythm are unknown. Moreover, the molecular mechanism that underlies time-of-day smooth-muscle contractile variation is unknown.

Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator–like (BMAL1), also known as Arntl3 in mouse and MOP3 in humans, is a central element of the core clock genes in mammals. Global Bmal1 deletion in mice causes immediate and complete loss of rhythmicity, including in blood pressure circadian rhythm (15, 16). BMAL1 is expressed ubiquitously but functions in a tissue-specific manner. For instance, it has been shown that brain-specific BMAL1 expression in global Bmal1-knockout mice selectively restored wheel-running circadian rhythm, and skeletal muscle–specific Bmal1 expression selectively restored wheel-running level and body weight (17). However, the physiological function of BMAL1 in smooth muscle and its contribution to blood pressure circadian rhythm remain to be defined. The current study developed a smooth-muscle-specific Bmal1-knockout mouse model and demonstrated in vitro and in vivo that smooth-muscle BMAL1 is essential for normal vascular smooth-muscle contraction amplitude and time-of-day variations as well as blood pressure level and circadian rhythm.

Results

Generation and characterization of a smooth-muscle–specific Bmal1-knockout mouse model. We generated a smooth-muscle–specific Bmal1-knockout mouse model (SM-Bmal1–KO) by crossing Bmal1fl/fl mice (18) with smooth-muscle–specific SM22α-Cre mice (19). SM-Bmal1–KO mice were viable, fertile, and grossly normal. Four distinct approaches were taken to characterize
SM-Bmal1–KO mice. First, SM-Bmal1–KO mice exhibited a Cre-mediated chromosome recombination that specifically deletes the Bmal1 gene in smooth-muscle–enriched tissues, such as the aorta, mesenteric artery, and bladder (Figure 1A). Second, Bmal1 mRNA (Figure 1B) and protein (Figure 1C) were drastically decreased in mesenteric arteries from the SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with those in WT littermates (Bmal1flox/flox mice). Third, the 24-hour mRNA oscillations of period circadian clock 1 (Per1) and period circadian clock 2 (Per2), 2 Bmal1 target genes, were abolished in mesenteric arteries by Bmal1 deletion (Figure 1, D and E). In addition, in agreement with the literature (19, 20), we also found that Cre recombinase was indeed expressed in heart but at a much lower level than in smooth muscle (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpublished observations). In contrast to the drastic effect of Bmal1 deletion in the mesenteric artery, Bmal1 deletion in the heart had no effect on Per1 and Per2 mRNA 24-hour oscillation (Figure 1, F and G). Finally, PER2 oscillation was monitored in real time by measuring luminescence in mesenteric arteries or SCN-containing brain slices isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO/mPer22uc knockin mice. PER2 oscillations were completely lost in mesenteric arteries (Figure 1H), but remained normal in SCN-containing brain slices (Figure 1I).

In addition, we measured the body weight, body fat mass, fasting blood glucose, glucose tolerance, and insulin tolerance in SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates to determine whether the disruptions of adipogenesis and glucose metabolism reported in global Bmal1–KO mice (21) are present in the SM-Bmal1–KO mice. No difference was detected in any of these indices (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpublished observations). We also measured kidney weight and length to determine whether the reduction of kidney mass in the absence of degenerative lesions reported in global Bmal1–KO mice (22) occurs in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. No difference was found between SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpublished observations). Consistent with those findings, we also did not find any significant difference in urine volume and blood sodium concentration between SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpublished observations).

Time-of-day variations in isolated vascular smooth-muscle contraction and in vivo pressor responses are suppressed in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. To investigate the role of smooth-muscle BMAL1 in vascular tone, superior mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at zeitgeber time 5 (ZT5) and ZT17. Endothelium was denuded, and their contractile responses to high potassium (K+), phenylephrine (PE), and serotonin (5-HT) were determined. Consistent with our recent report in abdominal aorta (9), the contractile responses to all 3 stimuli in mesenteric arteries were higher at ZT5 than at ZT17 in WT littermates (Figure 2, A–E). Strikingly, smooth-muscle–specific Bmal1 deletion abolished the time-of-day variations in smooth-muscle contractile responses to K+ (Figure 2A), PE (Figure 2, B and C), and 5-HT (Figure 2, D and E). In addition, the maximal contractile responses to all 3 stimuli were markedly suppressed at both ZT5 and ZT17 in SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with WT littermates (Figure 2, A, C, and E).

We next examined the effect of smooth-muscle BMAL1 on vascular structure. We did not find significant differences in the medium thickness, medium area, lumen area, and ratio of medium to lumen in the second branch of mesenteric arteries between SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI76881DS1). These data suggest that smooth-muscle Bmal1 deletion has little effect on vascular structure and, thus, that the suppression of contraction amplitude in tissues from SM-Bmal1–KO mice is unlikely to be attributed to vascular structure changes.

We also determined the contractile responses to K+, PE, and 5-HT in right renal arteries and found suppression in contractile time-of-day variation and amplitude similar to that found in mesenteric arteries in SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Supplemental Figure 2, A–E). These data suggest that the effect of smooth-muscle BMAL1 on contractile responses is not limited to mesenteric arteries.

In addition to responding to neuronal and humoral stimulations, vascular smooth muscle in resistance arterioles contracts in response to mechanical stretch via a mechanism termed myogenic responses. To determine the role of smooth-muscle BMAL1 in myogenic responses in small resistance arteries that are more relevant to blood pressure, we investigated the myogenic responses in the fifth branch of mesenteric arteries (lumen diameters of 55 to 85 μm) isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at ZT5, a time point when a more pronounced difference in K+-, PE-, and 5-HT–induced contraction was detected between the 2 mouse strains (Figure 2, A–E). As shown in Figure 3, A and B, in the presence of extracellular Ca2+, when the vascular smooth muscle can generate active myogenic contraction, the vessel lumen diameter was increased to a larger extent in response to the pressure steps from 20 to 120 mmHg in SM-Bmal1–KO mice than in WT control mice, indicating a compromised myogenic response in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Consistent with this result, when the average spontaneous tone at the physiological pressure of 60 mmHg was calculated as the percentage decrease in active lumen diameter from the passive diameter (23), vessels from SM-Bmal1–KO mice exhibited significantly less spontaneous tone than those in WT littermates (Figure 3B).

When the active myogenic response was eliminated in the absence of added Ca2+ and the presence of EGTA plus nitroprusside, the difference in vessel lumen increase between 2 strains of mice was largely diminished (Figure 3A; passive vs. active), indicating that a compromised smooth-muscle myogenic response rather than a vascular structure change is largely responsible for the difference between SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT controls. Nevertheless, it was noted that a small but significant (P < 0.05) difference remained in the absence of Ca2+ between the 2 strains of mice, suggesting that there is a moderate structural change in the fifth branch of mesenteric arteries from SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Consistent with this interpretation, there were trends toward a decrease in the cross-sectional lumen area and an increase in the cross-sectional wall area and, as a result, a small but significant increase in wall-to-lumen ratio (Supplemental Figure 3, A–D).

To further verify whether the vascular contractile differences detected in isolated vascular preparations are present in vivo, we determined the immediate pressor responses to i.v. injection of various doses of PE at ZT5 and ZT17. Similar to what we observed in isolated mesenteric arteries (Figure 2) and renal arteries (Supplemental Figure 2) from WT littermates, the pressor responses to 10 or 50 μg/kg PE at ZT5 were significantly higher than those at ZT17, whereas such time-of-day difference was abolished in
agonists were expected to drive the MLC20 phosphorylation to a higher level, thus providing a relatively larger window for the detection of a decrease in MLC20 phosphorylation in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Two distinct methods, urea glycerol gel electrophoresis that separates un-, mono-, and diphosphorylated MLC20 (24) and Western blot using antibodies specific for phosphorylated MLC20 (Thr18/Ser19), were used to determine MLC20 phosphorylation. In WT littermates, stimulation of the vessels with PE plus 5-HT significantly increased MLC20 phosphorylation at both ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 1 vs. 2) and ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 5 vs. 6), but the amplitude of MLC20 phosphorylation increase at ZT5 was significantly higher than that at ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 2 vs. 6). These results correlate with the larger contractile responses at ZT5 relative to at ZT17 (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 2) and suggest that the time-of-day variation in contractile amplitude is mediated, at least in part, by MLC20 phosphorylation.

In SM-Bmal1–KO mice, stimulation of the vessels with PE plus 5-HT also increased MLC20 phosphorylation at both ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 3 vs. 4) and ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 7 vs. 8). However, the amplitude of MLC20 phosphorylation increase in SM-Bmal1–KO mice was selectively suppressed at ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 2

SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Figure 3C and Supplemental Table 1). In addition, the pressor responses to 10 or 50 μg/kg PE at ZT5 were smaller in SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with those in WT littermates (Figure 3C and Supplemental Table 1).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that smooth-muscle BMAL1 is essential for the 24-hour variations and normal amplitude of contractile responses in vitro and in vivo.

MLC20 phosphorylation increase is selectively inhibited at ZT5 but not ZT17 in mesenteric arteries from SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Reversible phosphorylation of the 20-kDa regulatory myosin light chain (MLC20) is a primary mechanism regulating smooth-muscle contraction (8); we therefore investigated the possibility that smooth-muscle BMAL1 may mediate the time-of-day variation of contraction through regulating MLC20 phosphorylation. Mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at ZT5 or ZT17 and then incubated with PE and 5-HT to induce MLC20 phosphorylation. We used both PE and 5-HT rather than a single agonist to stimulate MLC20 phosphorylation to activate various branches of mesenteric arteries, as the contraction amplitude induced by PE or 5-HT varied at the different branches of mesenteric arteries (ref. 24 and Figure 2, B–E). Moreover, 2 agonists were expected to drive the MLC20 phosphorylation to a higher level, thus providing a relatively larger window for the detection of a decrease in MLC20 phosphorylation in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Two distinct methods, urea glycerol gel electrophoresis that separates un-, mono-, and diphosphorylated MLC20 (24) and Western blot using antibodies specific for phosphorylated MLC20 (Thr18/Ser19), were used to determine MLC20 phosphorylation.

In WT littermates, stimulation of the vessels with PE plus 5-HT significantly increased MLC20 phosphorylation at both ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 1 vs. 2) and ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 5 vs. 6), but the amplitude of MLC20 phosphorylation increase at ZT5 was significantly higher than that at ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 2 vs. 6). These results correlate with the larger contractile responses at ZT5 relative to at ZT17 (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 2) and suggest that the time-of-day variation in contractile amplitude is mediated, at least in part, by MLC20 phosphorylation.

In SM-Bmal1–KO mice, stimulation of the vessels with PE plus 5-HT also increased MLC20 phosphorylation at both ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 3 vs. 4) and ZT17 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 7 vs. 8). However, the amplitude of MLC20 phosphorylation increase in SM-Bmal1–KO mice was selectively suppressed at ZT5 (Figure 4, A–D, lanes 2
tially bind to (Figure 5A). To determine whether BMAL1 binds to these putative E-boxes, we generated a rabbit polyclonal antibody that specifically recognized BMAL1 (Figure 5B) and performed a ChIP assay in mesenteric arteries isolated from WT mice at ZT5 and ZT17. As a positive control, we examined whether BMAL1 binds to the *Per1* (a classic BMAL1 target) promoter and found that indeed it did, as expected (Figure 5C). Importantly, we found that BMAL1 bound to the *Rock2* promoter at E-box 5 through E-box 8, but not at E-box 9 and E-box 10 (Figure 5, A and C). Moreover, more BMAL1 binding was detected at ZT17 than at ZT5, indicating that BMAL1 binds to the *Rock2* promoter in a time-of-day–dependent manner (Figure 5, C and D).

Second, to investigate whether the binding of BMAL1 to the *Rock2* promoter regulates its activity, we cloned a 3.2-kb mouse *Rock2* promoter, inserted it into a luciferase reporter vector (pGL3-*Rock2P-Luc*), and transfected the pGL3-*Rock2P-Luc* vector into aortic vascular smooth-muscle cells (VSMCs) isolated from SM-*Bmal1*–KO mice and WT littermates. In WT cells, the *Rock2* promoter exhibited a 14-fold increase in luciferase activity over the pGL3 luciferase vector (Figure 5E, column 1 vs. 2). In contrast, when transfected into *Bmal1*-deficient cells, *Rock2* promoter activity was abolished (Figure 5E, column 2 vs. 4), suggesting that BMAL1 is required for *Rock2* promoter activity in cultured VSMCs. Importantly, infection of *Bmal1*-deficient cells by BMAL1 adenovirus almost completely restored *Rock2* promoter activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5E, columns 5 and 6 vs. 4).

Third, we investigated whether smooth-muscle *Bmal1* deletion affects *Rock2* mRNA expression in vivo. Mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-*Bmal1*–KO and WT littermates at ZT5 and vs. 4), but not at ZT17 (Figure 4, A-D, lanes 6 vs. 8), compared with that in WT littermates. As a consequence, the difference in the MLC20 phosphorylation between ZT5 and ZT17 was abolished in SM-*Bmal1*–KO mice, which correlates with the abolishment of the differences in contractile responses between ZT5 and ZT17 in SM-*Bmal1*–KO mice (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 2).

**Identification of ROCK2 as a new target of BMAL1 in mesenteric arteries.** To identify molecular mechanisms that underlie agonist-induced and BMAL1-mediated smooth-muscle contraction, we investigated several proteins that are either essential components of contractile apparatus or key regulators of MLC20 phosphorylation. By immunoblot analysis, we compared the expression levels of the following proteins at ZT5 in mesenteric arteries from SM-*Bmal1*–KO and WT littermates: (a) α-SMA; (b) MLC20; (c) myosin phosphatase target subunit 1 (MYPT1); (d) 17-kDa PKC-potentiated protein phosphatase-1 inhibitor (CPI-17); (e) Rho-kinase 2 (ROCK2); and (f) small–molecular weight G protein RhoA. Interestingly, among all the proteins investigated, we found that ROCK2 was selectively decreased in SM-*Bmal1*–KO mice compared with that in WT littermates (Supplemental Figure 4, A–G). Since ROCK2 is a key regulator of smooth-muscle contraction and blood pressure homeostasis and its dysfunction has been implicated in many cardiovascular diseases (25), we therefore tested the hypothesis that ROCK2 links BMAL1 and time-of-day variations in vascular smooth-muscle contraction by various approaches.

First, to determine whether BMAL1, as a transcriptional factor, directly binds ROCK2 promoter, we analyzed the mouse ROCK2 promoter DNA sequence and identified multiple canonical E-boxes (CANNTG, where N can be any nucleotide) that BMAL1 can potentially bind to (Figure 5A). To determine whether BMAL1 binds to these putative E-boxes, we generated a rabbit polyclonal antibody that specifically recognized BMAL1 (Figure 5B) and performed a ChIP assay in mesenteric arteries isolated from WT mice at ZT5 and ZT17. As a positive control, we examined whether BMAL1 binds to the *Per1* (a classic BMAL1 target) promoter and found that indeed it did, as expected (Figure 5C). Importantly, we found that BMAL1 bound to the ROCK2 promoter at E-box 5 through E-box 8, but not at E-box 9 and E-box 10 (Figure 5, A and C). Moreover, more BMAL1 binding was detected at ZT17 than at ZT5, indicating that BMAL1 binds to the ROCK2 promoter in a time-of-day–dependent manner (Figure 5, C and D).

Second, to investigate whether the binding of BMAL1 to the ROCK2 promoter regulates its activity, we cloned a 3.2-kb mouse ROCK2 promoter, inserted it into a luciferase reporter vector (pGL3-Rock2P-Luc), and transfected the pGL3-Rock2P-Luc vector into aortic vascular smooth-muscle cells (VSMCs) isolated from SM-*Bmal1*–KO and WT littermates. In WT cells, the ROCK2 promoter exhibited a 14-fold increase in luciferase activity over the pGL3 luciferase vector (Figure 5E, column 1 vs. 2). In contrast, when transfected into *Bmal1*-deficient cells, ROCK2 promoter activity was abolished (Figure 5E, column 2 vs. 4), suggesting that BMAL1 is required for ROCK2 promoter activity in cultured VSMCs. Importantly, infection of *Bmal1*-deficient cells by BMAL1 adenovirus almost completely restored ROCK2 promoter activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5E, columns 5 and 6 vs. 4).

Third, we investigated whether smooth-muscle *Bmal1* deletion affects ROCK2 mRNA expression in vivo. Mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-*Bmal1*–KO and WT littermates at ZT5 and...
MYPT1 phosphorylation between ZT5 and ZT17 was abolished in SM-Bmal1–KO mice.

Finally, we investigated whether pharmacological inhibition of ROCK2 suppresses vascular smooth-muscle contraction in a manner similar to that of genetic-deleting Bmal1. Endothelium-denuded superior mesenteric arteries were prepared from WT mice at ZT5 and ZT17 and then stimulated with 5-HT in the presence of Rho kinase inhibitor Y-27632 or vehicle (DMSO). The results demonstrate that Y-27632 suppressed 5-HT–induced contractions at both ZT5 and ZT17 (Figure 6D). Importantly, the extent of suppression on the contraction was larger at ZT5 than at ZT17; thus, the difference in 5-HT–induced contraction between ZT5 and ZT17 was abolished by Rho kinase blockade, which is similar to that in SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Figure 6D).

Impairment of blood pressure circadian rhythm in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. To investigate whether smooth-muscle BMAL1 is involved in blood pressure circadian rhythm, we measured blood pressure of conscious, free-moving SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates using telemetry under 12:12 light/dark (L/D), constant dark (D/D), and constant light (L/L) conditions. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was significantly decreased under all 3 conditions in SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with that in WT littermates (Figure 7A).

To investigate whether smooth-muscle BMAL1 is involved in the intrinsic blood pressure circadian rhythm, we first determined blood pressure in the absence of the dominant external light cue under D/D conditions (26). Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and pulse pressure were continuously measured for 7 consecutive days. As shown in Figure 7B, SM-Bmal1–KO mice exhibited a decrease in SBP during the subjective dark phase without an apparent change during the subjective light phase. An analysis of the data using a nonlinear least-square fitting program, PHARMFIT (27), illustrated that the SBP circadian oscillation amplitude was significantly decreased (Figure 7C) and the acrophase (time of the peak) was significantly shifted forward (Figure 7D), but the period length (the time elapsed for 1 complete oscillation) was unaltered (Figure 7E) in SM-Bmal1–KO mice relative to WT littermates.

A similar but more dramatic effect of smooth-muscle BMAL1 on blood pressure circadian rhythm was also observed in DBP (Figure 7F). The pressure levels during both the subjective dark and light phases were decreased in SM-Bmal1–KO mice, but the amplitude of decrease was bigger during the subjective dark phase than during the subjective light phase. Consequently, the amplitude of DBP oscillation was substantially decreased. The acrophase of DBP also shifted forward. Similar to SBP, the period length of the DBP was not different between the 2 strains of mouse.
The most striking effect of smooth-muscle BMAL1 on blood pressure circadian rhythm was seen in pulse pressure (Figure 7G). The level was significantly higher in the SM-Bmal1–KO mice than in the WT littermates due to a larger decrease in DBP than in SBP. In addition, JTK-cycle analysis (28) of the pulse pressure illustrated that the circadian oscillation of pulse pressure observed in WT littermates was abolished in SM-Bmal1–KO mice.

Neither the level nor the circadian oscillations of the locomotor activity, however, were altered in the SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with WT littermates (Figure 7H). This result is consistent with the data showing that the Bmal1 gene remains intact in brain (Figure 1A) and that its target protein PER2 oscillation is normal (Figure 1I), indicating that the suppressed amplitude and shifted acrophase of blood pressure circadian oscillation in SM-Bmal1–KO mice are not due to changes in the SCN central pacemaker.

We also examined the effect of smooth-muscle Bmal1 on the heart rate (HR). Interestingly, smooth-muscle Bmal deletion decreased the HR during both the subjective dark and light phases (Figure 7I), but had no effect on the HR circadian oscillation amplitude (Figure 7J), acrophase (Figure 7K), and period length (Figure 7L). These results are consistent with the data showing that Per1 and Per2 mRNA oscillation remains normal in the heart (Figure 1, F and G), indicating that the suppressed amplitude and shifted acrophase of blood pressure circadian oscillation in SM-Bmal1–KO mice are unlikely to be attributed to changes in the HR.

To investigate whether the effect of smooth-muscle Bmal deletion on blood pressure circadian rhythm is exacerbated by light, we then determined blood pressure for 7 consecutive days in the presence of L/L when the SCN central pacemaker was disrupted (26). We found Bmal1 deletion in smooth muscle caused changes in BP (Supplemental Figure 5) very similar to those that occurred under D/D conditions, including a decrease in SBP, DBP, MAP, and HR (Supplemental Figure 5, A, E, F, and I), a decrease in the amplitude of SBP oscillation (Figure 7B) and no change in the acrophase and the period length of SBP oscillations (Figure 7, C and D), an increase in pulse pressure (Supplemental Figure 5G), and no effect on locomotor activity and HR circadian rhythm (Supplemental Figure 5, H–L).

Interestingly, there were 2 changes in blood pressure circadian rhythm observed in both SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates under L/L conditions when compared with those under D/D conditions. First, under L/L conditions, only 1 single peak was observed in SBP, DBP, and MAP, and HR during the subjective dark phase (Supplemental Figure 5, A, E, F, and I), whereas under D/D conditions, 2 peaks were observed during the subjective dark phase: one at the beginning and another at the end of the subjective dark phase (Figure 7, B, F, H, and I).

Second, the period length of SBP oscillation was longer: 25.0 ± 0.1 (L/L) vs. 24.0 ± 0.03 (D/D) hours (n = 12 each, P < 0.0001). The peak of the SBP, DBP, and MAP as well as locomotor activity...
and HR during the subjective dark phase shifted gradually from
in the middle of the subjective dark phase to the end of the sub-
jective dark phase over the 7-day period (Supplemental Figure 5,
A, E, F, H, and I). We also determined the blood pressure oscillation under 12:12
L/D conditions to investigate whether the external light cue was
capable to correct the compromised blood pressure circadian oscilla-
tion in the SM-Bmal1–KO mice. As shown in Supplemental Figure
6, A-L, changes observed in SBP, DBP, pulse pressure, locomotor
activity, and HR were very similar under D/D and L/L conditions,
indicating that light, a principal entraining signal to the SCN,
has little effect on impaired blood pressure circadian rhythm by
smooth-muscle Bmal1 deletion.

To ensure that the observed blood pressure alterations in SM-
Bmal1–KO mice resulted from smooth-muscle Bmal1 deletion, but
not Cre recombinase expression, we measured blood pressure in
the SM22α-Cre mice under 12:12 L/D conditions. No differences in
either blood pressure level or circadian oscillations were observed
between the age- and sex-matched SM22α-Cre mice and Bmal1flox/flox
mice (Supplemental Figure 7A).

A moderate Bmal1 dele-
tion was seen in the heart
in SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpub-
lished observations), which is consistent with a moder-
ate decrease in the HR in
SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Figure
7I, Supplemental Figure 5I, and Supplemental Fig-
ure 6I). To ensure that the
observed blood pressure alterations in SM-Bmal1-
KO mice resulted from smooth-muscle Bmal1 deletion
but not cardiomyocyte Bmal1 deletion, we mea-
sured blood pressure in an
inducible cardiomyocyte-
specific Bmal1-knockout
mouse model (iCS-Bmal1-
KO), which was generated
by crossing Bmal1flox/flox
mice (18) with cardiac-specific
MerCreMer recombinase
mice (29), as we previously
reported (30). As shown in
Supplemental Figure 7B,
under 12:12 L/D conditions,
neither the MAP level nor
the circadian oscillations of
the MAP were altered in the
iCS-Bmal1–KO mice com-
pared with those in iCS-
Bmal1–WT mice.

To test the possibil-
ity that the loss of smooth-
muscle tone in SM-Bmal1–KO mice may have an effect on the
baroreflex function, thus contributing to the loss of blood pressure
circadian rhythm, we determined the spontaneous baroreflex sen-
sitivity across the 24-hour day under 12:12 L/D conditions in con-
scious and free-moving SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates
using the sequence method (31). Consistent with the previous
reports in humans (31, 32), the spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity
in WT littermates exhibited time-of-day variations with a higher
sensitivity during the resting phase (the light phase in mice) than
during the active phase (the dark phase in mice; Supplemental
Figure 8). In contrast, the spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity in
SM-Bmal1–KO mice was diminished across a 24-hour period, with
a more pronounced decrease during the light phase. Importantly,
the difference in spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity between light
and dark phases was abolished in the SM-Bmal1–KO mice.

In summary, selective deletion of Bmal1 from smooth muscle
but not from cardiomyocyte decreased the 24-hour MAP. In par-
cular, deletion of Bmal1 from smooth muscle compromised blood
pressure circadian rhythm without affecting locomotor activity. In
addition, deletion of Bmal1 from smooth muscle altered 2 of the 3

A, E, F, H, and I).

Figure 5. BMAL1 binds to Rock2 promoter in mesenteric arteries and is required for Rock2 promoter activity in
cultured VSMCs. (A) Schematic diagram of a 3.5-kb mouse Rock2 promoter showing the positions of putative E-boxes
(E) 1 to 10 and ChIP PCR primers relative to the translational start site (TSS). ChIP-F, forward primers; ChIP-R, reverse
primers. (B) Characterization of the BMAL1 antibody by Western blots using cell lysate from Bmal1-deficient (KO) and
WT mouse aortic VSMCs (mVSMC) as well as human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293), transfected with a pSport6
control vector (Ctrl) or a pSport6 vector expressing human BMAL1 (hBMAL1). (C and D) Representative ChIP-PCR (C)
and quantitative data (D; n = 4) show that BMAL1 binds to the Per1 promoter and the Rock2 promoter at E-boxes 5 to
8, but not E-boxes 9 to 10, in a time-of-day–dependent manner. (E) Restoration of Rock2 promoter activity by BMAL1
adenovirus–mediated gene expression in Bmal1-deficient mVSMCs (+, low dose; ++, high dose; n = 3–6).
major characteristics of the blood pressure oscillation: it decreased
the oscillation amplitude and forward shifted the acrophase with-
out affecting the period length. Moreover, deletion of Bmal1 from
smooth muscle markedly elevated pulse pressure level, abolished
pulse pressure circadian rhythm, and diminished the difference
between the light and dark phases in baroreflex sensitivity.

Lack of Bmal1 in smooth muscle does not affect light condition-
induced change in blood pressure circadian oscillation. As shown
in Figure 8A, in the WT littermates, the blood pressure oscillation
amplitude gradually decreased from L/D to D/D and to L/L con-
tions. In contrast, the acrophase (Figure 8B) and period length
(Figure 8C) of the blood pressure circadian oscillation remained
constant under L/D and D/D conditions, but significantly
increased under L/L conditions. Interestingly, deletion of Bmal1
from smooth muscle significantly suppressed the blood pressure
circadian oscillation amplitude and forward shifted the acrophase
(Figure 7 and Supplemental Figures 5 and 6), but it had no detect-
able effect on L/L-induced changes in blood pressure circadian
oscillations, including suppression in oscillation amplitude (Fig-
ure 8A), delay in acrophase (Figure 8B), and increase in oscillation
period length (Figure 8C) as well as L/L-induced changes in locomo-
tor activity (Figure 8D). This indicates a minimal role of
vascular smooth-muscle BMAL1 and contractility in light condi-
tion-induced alterations in blood pressure circadian oscillation.

Discussion

Major findings of the current study are as follows: (a) smooth-mus-
cle-specific deletion of Bmal1 did not affect the central pacemaker
SCN, but drastically suppressed the amplitude and the time-of-day
variations in vasoconstriction in isolated preparations in response
to various agonist stimulations, in myogenic response to pressure
increase, and in in vivo pressor responses in anesthetized mice;
(b) the inhibition of agonist-induced vasoconstriction was associ-
ated with suppression of MLC<sub>20</sub> phosphorylation, Rock2 mRNA,
and activity; moreover, BMAL1 directly bound to Rock2 promoter
in a time-of-day-dependent manner in mesenteric arteries and
was required for Rock2 promoter activity in cultured VSMCs; (c)
in mice lacking smooth-muscle Bmal1, the blood pressure level was
decreased; blood pressure circadian oscillation amplitude was
decreased, whereas light-induced blood pressure changes were
not affected; acrophase was forward shifted, but the period length
and light-induced changes remained unaltered; and (d) smooth-
muscle-specific deletion of Bmal1 markedly elevated pulse pres-
sure level and abolished pulse pressure circadian rhythm.

How do our findings contribute to the current understanding
of blood pressure circadian rhythm? It was long believed that all
circadian rhythms, including blood pressure circadian rhythm,
were primarily generated and controlled by the central pacemaker
SCN. Indeed, ablation of the SCN results in the loss of circadian
oscillation of blood pressure, along with the loss of endocrine and
behavioral rhythms (33). However, recent studies illustrate that
the behavioral circadian rhythm that is mainly controlled by the
SCN does not correlate precisely with the blood pressure circadi-
an rhythm in a 24-hour period (6, 34), indicating an involvement
of additional mechanisms in the generation and maintenance of
blood pressure circadian rhythm. This concept is supported by the
discovery that each of the core clock genes present in the SCN are
equally expressed and function in peripheral tissues (4–7). However,
which clock gene in which peripheral tissue or tissues contributes
to the blood pressure circadian rhythm remains elusive.

The results of the current study demonstrated that smooth-
muscle BMAL1 substantially contributes to the maintenance of
elusive, multiple population-based cohort studies (35–37) and randomized trials of hypertension treatment (38) have shown that increased pulse pressure is associated with a variety of adverse cardiovascular outcomes, and there are reports that ambulatory monitoring of pulse pressure substantially refines the risk stratification in hypertensive patients (39, 40). Thus, our finding that smooth-muscle BMAL1 regulates the level and circadian rhythms of blood pressure including pulse pressure may have an important implication for human health.

How does smooth-muscle BMAL1 regulate blood pressure circadian rhythm? We and others have reported that vascular smooth-muscle contractile responses to various agonists exhibit a time-of-day variation (9–14). Therefore, one potential mechanism is that smooth-muscle BMAL1 regulates the time-of-day variation of vasoconstriction and thereby participates in the regulation of blood pressure circadian rhythm. Several lines of evidence from the current study support this potential mechanism.
and tension measurement because we (Figure 3C) and others (46) have demonstrated a similar “antiphase” temporal relationship between the in vivo PE-induced pressor response and blood pressure. This paradoxical observation suggests the relationship between the time-of-day vasoconstriction variation and blood pressure circadian rhythm is complex rather than linear. This is not entirely unexpected, since vasoconstriction-induced blood pressure change will trigger sympathetic, endocrine, and local environmental changes via multiple mechanisms. Indeed, we (Supplemental Figure 8) and others (31, 32) have demonstrated that the spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity showed time-of-day variations, which is in phase with the time-of-day vasoconstriction variation (Supplemental Figure 8 vs. Figure 2).

The molecular mechanism underlying the time-of-day variation in vascular smooth-muscle contraction remained completely unknown until Saito et al. recently reported that, in cultured cells and isolated aorta, the expression and activity of ROCK2 exhibited a circadian rhythm in phase with that of MLC20 phosphorylation (47). The current study demonstrates for the first time, to our knowledge, that, in isolated mesenteric arteries, BMAL1 directly binds to the E-box–containing region in the Rock2 promoter in a time-of-day–dependent manner (Figure 5, C and D), which is in phase with Rock2 mRNA expression (Figure 6A). A pivotal role of smooth-muscle BMAL1 in Rock2 transcriptional regulation was further demonstrated by complete loss of Rock2 promoter activity in Bmal1-deficient VSMCs and by the complete restoration of Rock2 promoter activity by restoring BMAL1 expression using adenovirus-mediated gene transfer (Figure 5E). Moreover, a temporal

First, the time-of-day variation in contractile responses to high K+ depolarization and agonist (PE and 5-HT) stimulation was abolished in smooth-muscle Bmal1-deficient and endothelium-denuded superior mesenteric arteries (Figure 2) and renal arteries (Supplemental Figure 2). We denuded endothelium, as we have usually done in our experiments (9, 41–44), to focus on studying smooth-muscle function, as a recent report demonstrated that selective deletion of a gene from smooth muscle affected endothelium function (45). Second, the myogenic responses were attenuated in the fifth branch of mesenteric arteries from SM-Bmal1–KO mice compared with that from WT littermates (Figure 3, A and B). Surprisingly, a moderate inward eutrophic vascular remodeling was found in the fifth branch of mesenteric arteries (Supplemental Figure 3), but not in the second branch of mesenteric arteries (Supplemental Figure 1). Such selective and moderate vascular remodeling may be a direct effect of smooth-muscle Bmal1 deletion or a compensatory response to a decrease in blood pressure. Further studies are required to clarify this issue. Third, in line with these ex vivo vasoconstriction studies, the time-of-day variation in pressor response to PE was also diminished in anesthetized SM-Bmal1–KO mice (Figure 3C). Fourth, the time-of-day variation in MLC20 phosphorylation induced by agonist was attenuated in smooth-muscle Bmal1-deficient mesenteric arteries (Figure 4). However, one surprising finding of the current study is that the phase of vasoconstriction does not correlate with the phase of blood pressure level in WT littermates (Figure 2 vs. Figure 7, B, F, and G). This finding is unlikely to be attributable to the time delay caused by the ex vivo tissue preparation and tension measurement because we (Figure 3C) and others (46) have demonstrated a similar “antiphase” temporal relationship between the in vivo PE-induced pressor response and blood pressure. This paradoxical observation suggests the relationship between the time-of-day vasoconstriction variation and blood pressure circadian rhythm is complex rather than linear. This is not entirely unexpected, since vasoconstriction-induced blood pressure change will trigger sympathetic, endocrine, and local environmental changes via multiple mechanisms. Indeed, we (Supplemental Figure 8) and others (31, 32) have demonstrated that the spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity showed time-of-day variations, which is in phase with the time-of-day vasoconstriction variation (Supplemental Figure 8 vs. Figure 2).

The molecular mechanism underlying the time-of-day variation in vascular smooth-muscle contraction remained completely unknown until Saito et al. recently reported that, in cultured cells and isolated aorta, the expression and activity of ROCK2 exhibited a circadian rhythm in phase with that of MLC20 phosphorylation (47). The current study demonstrates for the first time, to our knowledge, that, in isolated mesenteric arteries, BMAL1 directly binds to the E-box–containing region in the Rock2 promoter in a time-of-day–dependent manner (Figure 5, C and D), which is in phase with Rock2 mRNA expression (Figure 6A). A pivotal role of smooth-muscle BMAL1 in Rock2 transcriptional regulation was further demonstrated by complete loss of Rock2 promoter activity in Bmal1-deficient VSMCs and by the complete restoration of Rock2 promoter activity by restoring BMAL1 expression using adenovirus-mediated gene transfer (Figure 5E). Moreover, a temporal
correlation of ROCK2 function (pMYPT1853 phosphorylation) with vasoconstriction (Figure 6, B and C, vs. Figure 2) and MLC20 phosphorylation (Figure 6, B and C, vs. Figure 4) was observed in WT mice, but lost in SM-Bmal1–KO mice.

In summary, the current study provides several lines of evidence indicating that smooth-muscle BMAL1 is critical for time-of-day–dependent vasoconstriction and thereby blood pressure circadian rhythm. Moreover, the current study also reveals a mechanism by which smooth-muscle BMAL1 regulates MLC20 phosphorylation and vasoconstriction via ROCK2 in a time-of-day–dependent manner. Since disruption of blood pressure circadian rhythm is implicated in many human diseases, including hypertension, acute myocardial infarction, sudden cardiac death, and stroke and is emerging as an index for future target organ damage and cardiovascular outcomes (2, 3), the new mechanistic insights into the daily control of vasoconstriction and blood pressure obtained in the current study could contribute to the foundation for future elucidation of the pathogenesis of many cardiovascular diseases involving disruption of blood pressure circadian rhythm.

Methods

Animals. SM-Bmal1–KO mice and iCS-Bmal1–KO mice were generated by crossing Bmal1floxflox mice (19) and cardiac-specific MerCreMer recombinase mice (30), respectively. SM-Bmal1–KO/Per2luci mice were generated by crossing SM-Bmal1–KO mice with mPer2lox mice (48). Bmal1floxflox mice, SM22α-Cre knockin mice, cardiac-specific MerCreMer recombinase mice, and mPer2lox knockin mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Only male mice at 12 to 16 weeks of age were used, and the mice were kept on a 12:12 L/D cycle unless indicated otherwise.

Analysis of smooth-muscle–specific Cre-mediated chromosome recombination in SM-Bmal1–KO mice. Genomic DNAs were extracted from various tissues of SM-Bmal1–KO mice and subjected to PCR analysis using a combination of 3 primers that were able to simultaneously detect both Bmal1–KO and WT (Bmal1lox/lox) gene products as described (18).

Quantitative analysis of mRNA expression. Mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at ZT5, ZT11, ZT17, and ZT23 (ZT0, light on; ZT12, light off). RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and real-time PCR were carried out as described (41, 49). The real-time PCR primers for mouse Per1 and Per2 were the same as those previously described (9). The real-time PCR primers for mouse Rock2 are described in Supplemental Table 2.

Real-time luminescence analysis of Per2 gene expression. Mesenteric arteries and coronal brain sections containing SCN were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO/Per2lox mice and Per2lox knockin mice at ZT10 to ZT11 and were then cultured in 35-mm Petri dishes at 36°C in a light-tight, water-jacketed incubator. Luminescence was continuously recorded by LumiCycle (Actimetrics) as described (48).

Isometric tension measurement. Mesenteric arteries and right renal arteries were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at ZT5 or ZT17. Superior mesenteric arteries and renal arteries were cut into small spiral strips (about 3 mm in length and 350 μm in width). Endothelium was denuded, and isometric contractions were measured as described (9, 41–44, 50).

Assessment of mesenteric myogenic tone. The fifth branches of mesenteric arteries with an inner diameter of 55 to 85 μm were prepared from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates and then cannulated in a pressure myograph system (Living Systems Instrumentation). An active and passive pressure-diameter curve was recorded in the presence of Ca2+ and the absence of Ca2+ plus EGTA and nitroprusside, respectively, by 20 mmHg stepwise increase of intraluminal pressure from 0 to 120 mmHg in a physiological buffer at 37°C gassed with a 95% O2–5% CO2 gas mixture as described (24).

Analysis of MLC20 phosphorylation. Mesenteric arteries were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates at ZT5 or ZT17. After equilibration in normal Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer at 37°C for 30 minutes, mesenteric arteries were stimulated with 5-HT (10 μM) plus PE (100 μM) for 2 minutes, followed by immediate freezing in liquid nitrogen–chilled acetone containing 10% trichloroacetic acid. MLC20 phosphorylation was then determined by urea/glycerol-PAGE as described (24) and by immunoblots using a MLC20 phosphorylation-specific antibody (Thr18/Ser19; Cell Signaling Technology) as described (49, 51–53).

Bmal1 ChIP assay. A custom ChIP-grade BMAL1 rabbit polyclonal antibody was produced by Genemed Synthesis against mouse BMAL1 amino acids 59–66 (TDKDDPHGRLEYAEHQQGR). BMAL1 antibody was purified from antiserum by immunoaffinity chromatography using the antigen peptide coupled to agarose beads as described (49).

Mesenteric arteries were isolated from Bmal1floxflox mice at ZT5 and ZT17. Mesenteric arteries were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 minutes to preserve the protein-DNA interactions. Mesenteric arteries were lysed in an SDS buffer containing protease inhibitors, and chromatin was fragmented by sonication. Three mesenteric arteries were pooled as 1 sample for BMAL1 ChIP analysis. The diluted chromatin was preincubated by incubating with salmon sperm DNA (Life Technologies) and protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) and subjected to immunoprecipitation by incubating with the BMAL1 antibody (2 μg) or an equal amount of nonspecific rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratory). The immune complexes were pulled down by protein A/G agarose beads and eluted. The crosslinks between protein and DNA were reversed by heating at 65°C for 4 hours. The released DNA was purified and amplified by PCR. The primers for mouse Per1, mouse Rock2 E-boxes 5 to 8, and mouse Rock2 E-boxes 9 to 10 are described in Supplemental Table 2.

Cloning mouse Rock2 promoter. A mouse bacterial artificial chromosome clone containing the mouse Rock2 promoter was purchased from Life Technologies. An approximately 3.2-kb PCR product (~2,402 to ~807 bp, relative to the translational initiation site) was amplified by PCR using primers as described in Supplemental Table 2, verified by DNA sequencing (Z. Guo and M. Gong, unpublished observations), and subcloned into the pGL3 basic vector (Promega) at the XhoI and KpnI sites.

ROCK2 promoter assay. Bmal1–deficient and WT VSMCs were isolated from SM-Bmal1–KO and WT littermate aortas as described (49, 54, 55). Cells were cotransfected with pGL3-Rock2 luciferase vector and a Renilla luciferase using Lipofectamine-Plus Reagent (Life Technologies). A human BMAL1 adenovirus was purchased from Vector BioLabs and was purified by CsCl density gradient centrifugation as described (42). BMAL1 adenoviral expression in VSMC was verified by Western blot (Z. Guo and M. Gong, data not shown). Rock2 promoter activity was assayed by a modified dual luciferase enzyme assay as described (55).
Telemetric measurement of blood pressure circadian rhythm and diurnal pressor responses. SM-Bmal1–KO mice and WT littermates were chronically instrumented in the left common carotid artery with a telemetry probe as described (27). After 10 days of recovery, SBP, DBP, MAP, pulse pressure, HR, and locomotor activity data were collected for 3 consecutive days on a 12:12 L/D cycle, 7 consecutive days under D/D conditions, and 7 consecutive days under L/L conditions, respectively. Diurnal pressor responses to PE were measured in anesthetized mice either at ZT15 or ZT17 as described (9).

Statistics. All data were expressed as mean ± SEM. For comparison of 1 parameter between 2 strains of mice, statistical analysis was performed using 2-tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. For comparison of multiple parameters between 2 strains of mice at a single time point, statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Newman-Keuls post test. For comparison of multiple parameters between 2 strains of mice across various ZT time points, various concentrations, or various pressures, statistical analysis was performed using 2-way ANOVA with repeated measures and Bonferroni’s post test.

Study approval. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Kentucky.
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