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Electrical stimulation of vestibular efferent neurons rapidly excites the resting discharge of calyx/dimorphic (CD) afferents. In turtle, this excitation arises when acetylcholine (ACh), released from efferent terminals, directly depolarizes calyceal endings by activating nicotinic ACh receptors (nAChRs). Although molecular biological data from the peripheral vestibular system implicate most of the known nAChR subunits, specific information about those contributing to efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents is lacking. We sought to identify the nAChR subunits that underlie the rapid excitation of CD afferents and whether they differ from $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChRs on type II hair cells that drive efferent-mediated inhibition in adjacent bouton afferents. We recorded from CD and bouton afferents innervating the turtle posterior crista during electrical stimulation of vestibular efferents while applying several subtype-selective nAChR agonists and antagonists. The $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChR antagonists, $\alpha_2$-bungarotoxin and $\alpha_4$-conotoxin RgIA, blocked efferent-mediated inhibition in bouton afferents while leaving efferent-mediated excitation in CD units largely intact. Conversely, 5-iodo-A-85380, s hazetidine-A, varenicline, $\alpha_4$-conotoxin MII, and bPiDDB (N,N-dodecane-1,12-diy1-bis-3-picolinid dibromide) blocked efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents without affecting efferent-mediated inhibition in bouton afferents. This pharmacological profile suggested that calyceal nAChRs contain $\alpha_6$ and $\beta_2$, but not $\alpha_9$, nAChR subunits. Selective blockade of efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents distinguished dimorphic from calyx afferents by revealing type II hair cell input. Dimorphic afferents differed in having higher mean discharge rates and a mean efferent-mediated excitation that was smaller in amplitude yet longer in duration. Molecular biological data demonstrated the expression of $\alpha_9$ in turtle hair cells and $\alpha_4$ and $\beta_2$ in associated vestibular ganglia.
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**Introduction**

Cholinergic vestibular efferent neurons (VENs) originate bilaterally in the dorsal brainstem and send axons via cranial nerve VIII to innervate the vestibular end organs (Meredith, 1988; Lysakowski and Goldberg, 2004). Within the neourethempithelium, VENs undergo extensive branching to give rise to many presynaptic varicosities that appose hair cells and afferent processes (Smith and Rasmussen, 1968; Sans and Highstein, 1984; Lysakowski and Goldberg, 1997; Purcell and Perachio, 1997). Electrical stimulation of VENs rapidly inhibits and/or excites the resting discharge of most vestibular afferents (Goldberg and Fernández, 1980; Rossi et al., 1980; Highstein and Baker, 1985; Brichta and Goldberg, 2000). A preponderance of pharmacological data suggests that the release of acetylcholine (ACh) and activation of nicotinic ACh receptors (nAChRs) underlie these rapid inhibitory and excitatory responses (Guth et al., 1998; Holt et al., 2011).

Efferent-mediated inhibition of vestibular afferents is initiated by the activation of $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChRs in type II hair cells (Holt et al., 2001, 2006b). Calcium influx through $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChRs activates calcium-dependent potassium channels (SKs), resulting in hair cell hyperpolarization, reduction in transmitter release, and inhibition of afferent firing (Oliver et al., 2000; Elgoyhen et al., 2001; Weistuba et al., 2002). Consistent with such mechanisms, efferent-mediated inhibition is blocked by $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChR antagonists, including 3-tropanylindole-3-carboxylate (ICS-205,390
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In this paper, electrophysiological recordings were acquired from turtle posterior crista afferents in response to electrical stimulation of efferent fibers during the application of selective nAChR compounds. The goals were as follows: (1) to resolve whether α9α10 nAChRs are involved in efferent-mediated excitation of calyx/dimorphic (CD) afferents; (2) to identify what other nAChR subunits play a role; and (3) to determine whether calyx and dimorphic afferents differ in their responses to efferent stimulation.

Materials and Methods

Tissue preparation. Red-eared slider turtles (Trachemys scripta elegans, 100–500 g, ~7–18 cm carapace length) of either sex were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital. Upon loss of reflexes, turtles were decapitated and the head was split along the mid-sagittal plane. The two half-heads were immediately placed in an oxygenated control solution containing the following (in mM): 105 NaCl, 4 KCl, 0.8 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 25 NaHCO3, 2 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 glucose, 10 glucose, pH 7.2–7.3, during continuous bubbling with 95% O2/5% CO2. Only the left half was used for nerve recordings (Holt et al., 2006a,b). For RNA-DNA studies, vestibular end organs and ganglia were extracted from both halves, dissected, and transferred to ice-cold RNAlater (Ambion). Inner ear tissues intended for protein studies were placed in 1× Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing a protease inhibitor mixture (catalog #P2714, Sigma-Aldrich) and immediately frozen on dry ice. For ISH and IHC procedures, vestibular tissue was prepared by either extracting whole labyrinths from the inner ear and drop-fixing in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and perfusing the labyrinths with 4% PFA, 1% acrolein, 1% picric acid, and 5% sucrose in control solution before extraction. The latter fixation was used for ISH and immunohistochemistry for nAChR subunits. All procedures involving animals were in accordance with recommendations made by NIH’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the University Committee for Animal Resources at the University of Rochester and by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Nerve recording setup. Methods were similar to those described in previous papers (Holt et al., 2006a,b, 2007). All neurophysiological procedures were conducted at room temperature (RT; 21–23°C). The brain was blocked transversely between the levels of the trigeminal and glossopharyngeal nerves. These nerves and surrounding bone were then removed to provide direct access to the bony plate over the posterior ampulla. A small fenestra was made to gain access to the main posterior ampullary nerve and its branches to each hemicrura. Several layers of connective tissue covering the nerve were then removed with a fine tungsten hook. The half-head was mounted in a recording chamber and the posterior ampullary nerve was continuously superfused with oxygenated control solution from a gravity-fed pipette capable of delivering solutions at 3–4 μl/s from any one of six 10 ml reservoirs.

Borosilicate microelectrodes (40–100 MΩ; Sutter Instruments) were filled with 3 M KCl and connected to a preamplifier (Biomedical Engineering), which neutralized microelectrode capacitance by driving the shield of the input cable. Microelectrodes were advanced in 5 μm steps with a Burleigh Inchrom drive mounted on a three-axis micromanipulator (EXFO Burle Products). Recordings were made from the posterior ampullary nerve just as it bifurcates to innervate the two hemicrurae (Holt et al., 2006a,b).

Efferent stimulation. Electrical stimulation of efferent fibers was used to classify afferents (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000b; Holt et al., 2006b). All efferent fibers destined for the posterior crista, but none of the afferents, travel in a small nerve bundle, or cross-bridge, running between the anterior and posterior divisions of the VIIIth nerve (Fayyazuddin et al., 1991). Access to the bundle was made possible by removing a bony section of the roof of the mouth just rostral to the lagena. To avoid muscle contractions during stimulation, jaw muscles were removed and the facial nerve was severed at its bony entrance into the middle ear. Efferent stimulating electrodes were constructed from Teflon-coated silver wires (AG10T, Medwire), insulated except for the last 0.5 mm chlorided tip. One electrode was placed on the cross-bridge while a second electrode was placed on nearby bone. Electrical stimuli consisted of trains of 100 μs constant–current shocks delivered from a stimulus isolator (model A360, World Precision Instruments) to the two electrodes. The cross-bridge electrode was the cathode. Efferent shock artifacts were canceled off-line after computing an average artifact and subtracting it from the corresponding records.

Data acquisition and computer processing. Data acquisition and efferent stimuli were controlled using a PC with custom-made Spike2 scripts and micro1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design). Microelectrode signals were low-pass filtered at 1 kHz (four-pole Bessel; model 432, Wavelet) and sampled at 10 kHz by a 12-bit analog-to-digital converter. Delivery of efferent shock trains was controlled from a digital-output port. Spike2 data files were first exported as general text files and then processed using custom macros written in IgorPro 6.36 (WaveMetrics).

Physiological testing and response analysis. In all efferent recordings, 2–5 s of background activity were recorded before our standard efferent stimulus, a shock train consisting of 20 shocks at 200 Hz, was delivered to the efferent nerve fibers in the cross-bridge. Several trains were presented and shock amplitude was adjusted so as to provide a clear response devoid of any antidromic activation. Stimulus thresholds (Ts) were calculated by averaging 10–25 trials. The result was displayed as an average response histogram. The mean amplitude of efferent-mediated excitation or inhibition was calculated from the first 100 ms segment of the average response histogram immediately following the efferent shock train (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000b). Intertidal intervals were 3–5 s to allow afferent discharge to return to prestimulus values before delivery of the next efferent shock train.

Efferent-mediated effects on hair cells alter ongoing quantal activity in the efferent nerve fiber. Characterization of such activity during efferent stimulation is instructive in distinguishing efferent actions in hair cells versus direct efferent actions on afferents (Rossi et al., 1994; Holt et al., 2006a,b). To characterize quantal activity, we relied on shot-noise theory, which assumes that the shapes of individual quantal events are stereotyped and their occurrence follows Poisson statistics. Following Rice’s (1944) extension of Campbell’s theorem, the first three central moments [i.e., mean (λ), variance (λ), and skew (λ)] are related to the quantal rate (ϕ), quantal size (q), and the shape of individual quantal f(t), t ≥ 0 using the following equation (Eq. 1):

$$\lambda = \frac{\xi(t^2)}{\lambda}$$

Here and in subsequent equations, angle brackets indicate expected values, superscripts are exponents, and subscripts are indices. $\lambda$, $I_e$, and $I_s$ are integrals of the following form (Eq. 2):

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^n}{e^{\lambda x}} dx = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$$
In the latter approach, sufficient prespike and postspike intervals were in the recorded afferent; or (2) ensemble measurements were made after was more reliable in afferents where there was an efferent-mediated de-

Residual variance (Rvar), defined as the variance remaining once quantal (Eq. 4):

\[ R_{\text{var}} = \sqrt{d} \]

where \( d \) is the standard deviation of the ensemble mean. In this paper, ensemble 

do not hallucinate.

Table 1. List of cholinergic drugs used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>nACHR subunit selectivity</th>
<th>EC50/IC50 range (nM)</th>
<th>Range in this study (µM)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agonists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytidine</td>
<td>α4β2*, α6β2*</td>
<td>2–200</td>
<td>0.3–1</td>
<td>Capelli et al., 2011; Marks et al., 2010; Papke et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPP</td>
<td>Nonselective</td>
<td>420 – 64,000</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>Briggs and Mckenna, 1998; Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-ido</td>
<td>α4β2, α6β2*, α3β4</td>
<td>76–794</td>
<td>0.01–3</td>
<td>Capelli et al., 2011; Carbone et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaZa</td>
<td>α4β2, α6β2*</td>
<td>0.2–36</td>
<td>0.01–3</td>
<td>Capelli et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2006; Zwart et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varenicline</td>
<td>α4β2*, α6β2*, α7 h</td>
<td>1.6–334</td>
<td>0.1–0.3</td>
<td>Capelli et al., 2011; Goe et al., 2006; Papke et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-BTX</td>
<td>α7*, α8, α9*</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>Elgoyhen et al., 1994, 2001; Gerzanich et al., 1994; Goutman et al., 2005; Zwart et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CtxMM</td>
<td>α3β2*, α6β2*, α3β4</td>
<td>0.5–316</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Capelli et al., 2011; Cartier et al., 1996; Harvey et al., 1997; McClure-Begley et al., 2012; McIntosh et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CtxRGIA</td>
<td>α6x10</td>
<td>1.5–490</td>
<td>0.333–1.67</td>
<td>Azam and Mclntosh, 2012; Ellison et al., 2006; Vincier et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bPiPDB</td>
<td>α3β4*, α4β4, α6β2*</td>
<td>2–700</td>
<td>0.1–3</td>
<td>Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997; Harvey and Luetje, 1996; Papke et al., 2008; Iturriaga-Vasquez et al., 2010; Capelli et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHJBE</td>
<td>α4β2*, α6β2*, α4β4</td>
<td>10–380</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td>Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997; Harvey and Luetje, 1996; Papke et al., 2008; Iturriaga-Vasquez et al., 2010; Capelli et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA</td>
<td>α7*, α9*, α4β2, α6β2*</td>
<td>0.3–631</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td>Verbitsky et al., 2000; Evans et al., 2003; Capelli et al., 2011; Mogg et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>α9β, α9β, α9β</td>
<td>20–166</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>Rothlin et al., 1999, 2003; Elgoyhen et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Name of cholinergic agonist or antagonist.

†Selectivity for specific nACHR subunits or subunit combinations as predicted from expression studies in Xenopus oocytes, HEK cells, hair cells, or tissue where contributing subunits were known. The asterisk (*) indicates that nACHR can include additional subunits (e.g. α7β2, α6β2), h indicates homeric.

‡Range of nanomolar concentrations needed to achieve 50% of the maximal activation or maximal inhibition, respectively.

§Range of micromolar concentrations used in the current study.

¶References denote subunit selectivity and measurements of sensitivity.

\[ I_{n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt \]

where \( f(t) \) is normalized to a peak value of unity. In this paper, ensemble means (\( \lambda_n \)) were obtained from the original voltage records. Ensemble variances (\( \lambda_n \)) and ensemble skews (\( \lambda_n \)) were extracted after first digitally high-pass filtering (single-pole, corner frequency of 1000 rad/s, 159 Hz) the original records. When using filtered data, \( f(t) \) in Equation 2 was normalized before filtering.

Modulation of either quantal or quantal rate can result in variance changes. We exploited the relation between \( \lambda_n \) and \( \lambda_n \) to distinguish between the two modifications during efferent stimulation, where a variation in quantal rate whereas slopes near 1.5 were interpreted as

Residual variance (Rvar), defined as the variance remaining once quantal activity has been suppressed (i.e., \( \lambda_n = 0 \)), is attributed to instrumental noise (Holt et al., 2007; Goldberg and Holt, 2013). The total ensemble variance was corrected for instrumental noise by subtracting Rvar. To estimate Rvar, we obtained the ensemble skew–quantal variance relation from high-pass records for points within the first second after delivery of the efferent shock train. A linear regression of these points was extrapolated to zero skew to obtain Rvar. Using the extrapolation to estimate the Rvar was more reliable in afferents where there was an efferent-mediated decrease in \( \lambda_n \) and \( \lambda_n \). We then plotted the ensemble skew against the corrected ensemble variance in double-logarithmic coordinates. When fitted with a log-log regression line, slopes near 1 (i.e., unity) were indicative of changes in quantal rate whereas slopes near 1.5 were interpreted as changes in quizzing (Holt et al., 2006a,b).

To study the effects of efferent stimulation on synaptic activity during intracellular recordings, two different approaches were used: (1) QX-314 [2-(triethylamino)-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl) acetamide; 40 mM], a charged lidocaine derivative, was added to the micropipette solution to block spikes in the recorded afferent; or (2) ensemble measurements were made after spikes were digitally removed off-line and replaced with a blank interval. In the latter approach, sufficient prespike and postspike intervals were also blanked so that estimates of synaptic variance were not influenced by the spike and subsequent afterhyperpolarization. Intracellular recordings were analyzed only if the membrane potential was more negative than –40 mV. To avoid contamination from shock artifacts, mean values of voltage and variance were calculated from an 80 ms segment taken 20 ms after termination of the efferent shock train. Ensemble measurements were tabulated from 10 to 25 efferent shock presentations.

Solutions. α-BTX, DHJBE, 1,1-dimethyl-4-piperazinethion (DMPP), ICS, and QX-314 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, 5-ido-A-85380 (5-ido), cytine, methyllycaconitine (MLA), sazetidine-A (SazA), and varenicline were from Tocris Cookson. QX-314 was dissolved in 3 X KCl and stored as frozen 40 mM aliquots. All other drugs were prepared as concentrated stock solutions in ultrafiltered water, which were then added to the control solution to achieve the desired concentrations before each experiment. α-Conotoxin RgIA (α-CtxRgIA), α-conotoxin MII (α-CtxMII), and bPiPDB (N,N-dodecane-1,12-diy-lis-bis-3-picolinolin dibromide) were synthesized as previously described (Cartier et al., 1996; Ayers et al., 2002; Vincier et al., 2006) and also obtained from Tocris Bioscience. Most pharmacological agents usually took 30 to 2 min to begin acting and another 2–5 min to reach maximal effect. Washout times were variable and could be >10 min. Application (i.e., wash-in) and wash-out times were frequently longer for peptide toxins presumably due to their larger molecular weights. Drug reversibility for most compounds was demonstrated within a single afferent recording session with the exception of 5-ido, SaZa, and varenicline. Recovery from SazA was demonstrated with subsequent recordings in the same preparation, whereas reversibility from 5-ido and varenicline was not observed. Receptor selectivity, measures of potency (IC50), and the range of concentrations used in this study are shown in Table 1.

Statistical procedures. All statistical analyses were done in Graph Pad Prism (GraphPad Software). Unless otherwise stated, values are expressed as means ± SEM. One-sample t test was used to evaluate whether means differed from zero. Drug effects were evaluated by a paired t test. An unpaired t test was used to determine whether the amplitude and duration of efferent-mediated excitation as well as the background discharge rate differed between calyx and dimorphic afferents.

\[ HC \text{ studies. Freshly fixed semicircular canal cristae were placed in 30\% sucrose for ≥1 h at 4°C, and then embedded in a gelatin mold (12\% gelatin prepared in 30\% sucrose) and chilled at 4°C. Upon solidification, the gelatin block was mounted on the stage of a freezing sliding microscope and 35–40 \mu \text{m} \text{ frozen sections were subsequently cut and transferred to a collection vial. The collection vial was warmed to dissolve the.} \]
gelatin and tissue sections were rinsed with 0.1 M PB. For nAChR labeling, preliminary treatments included a 10 min incubation in an aqueous 1% Na borohydride solution, and 1 h in a blocking solution (1% teleost fish gelatin, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS). For other IHC processing, tissue was blocked in 5% normal donkey serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch) prepared in 0.5% Triton X-100/0.1 M PB. After block, the tissue sections were then incubated 16–48 h with primary antibodies (see Antibodies). Following several rounds of 0.1 M PB washes, sections were incubated with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) at 1:200–1:500 dilution in 0.1 M PB for 2–3 h in the dark at RT. Sections were again washed several times with 0.1 M PB and reacted with DAPI (1:1000 of a 1 mg/ml solution; Sigma–Aldrich) for 5 min followed by a brief wash in distilled H2O. Using an eyelash probe, final tissue sections were transferred to Plus Slides (Fisher Scientific) and coverslipped with SlowFade Gold (Invitrogen), Mowiol (Calbiochem), or Vectorshield (Vector Laboratories). Stained cristae were visualized on an Olympus FV1000 (Olympus America) in the University of Rochester Medical Center Confocal and Conventional Microscopy Core or on a Zeiss LSM 510 META (Carl Zeiss) laser scanning confocal microscope at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Confocal images were captured with Olympus Fluoview or Zeiss LSM 5 Image Browser proprietary software and exported as TIFF files. Sizing and labeling of digital TIFF images were done with Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator. Efferent varicosities on hair cells and calyx-bearing afferents were quantified manually by rotating three-dimensional image stacks following isosurface reconstruction and volume rendering in the image analysis software Amira (FEI).

Antibodies. Antibodies to choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), the rate-limiting enzyme in ACh synthesis (Millipore, AB144P, lot JC1618187; 1:100) were used to label efferent fibers and varicosities (Ohno et al., 1993; Kong et al., 1994; Popper et al., 2002; Jordan et al., 2013). Calretinin (Millipore, AB5054, lot LV1552190; 1:1000) was used to label type II hair cells near the planum, calyx and dimorphic afferents in the central zone (CZ), and bouton afferents near the torus region (Monk and Peterson, 1995; Huwe et al., 2011). Monoclonal antibodies to myosin VIIA (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 138-1C; 1:50–1:100) and SV2 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; SV2 conc.; 1:500–2000) were developed by Dana Jo Orten and K. Buckley, respectively. Both were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank developed under the auspices of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, Iowa. Anti-myosin VIIA was used to label hair cells and anti-SV2 was used to label synaptic vesicles in efferent varicosities (Hasson et al., 1997; Pujol et al., 2014). The affinity-purified rabbit anti-α9 nAChR subunit antibody (IL-308; 1:100–1:1000) used in this study was produced commercially (Covance Research Products) against a 16 residue (0.25%) for 10 min. All preincubation and incubation steps were done on a rotator inside a hybridization oven. A preincubation with hybridization buffer (4× SSC, 10% dextran sulfate, 1% Denhard’s solution) was done for 1 h at 52°C. Approximately 100 ng of digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes were then hybridized overnight at 52°C. Nonbinding probes were rinsed using 2× SSC at 57°C for 5 min, three times with 60% formamide denoized in 0.2× SSC at 37°C for 5 min, and two times in 2× SSC at RT. An RNaseA (20 ng/ml) treatment was next performed for 30 min at 37°C to digest excess single-strand RNA. After a 1 h blocking step at RT, the tissue was incubated with alkaline-phosphatase-conjugated sheep anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) at 1:1200 dilution in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Tissue was washed four times for 10 min with alkaline phosphate buffer (Tris 100 mM, NaCl 150 mM), pH 7.5. Preincubation in detection buffer (Tris 100 mM, NaCl, 150 mM, MgCl2 50 mM), pH 9.5, was first performed for 2 h, and then levamisole (2 mM; Sigma–Aldrich) was added for 30 min. The samples were reacted with NBT/BCIP color substrate (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) in the dark for 4 h at RT, and subsequently overnight at 4°C. Tissue was rinsed with alkaline phosphate buffer (Tris 100 mM, NaCl 150 mM), pH 7.5. Some end organs were plastic-embedded for subsequent semithin sectioning at 4 µm. Sections were then mounted in glycerol, coverslipped, and examined and photographed on a Olympus light microscope.

Western blot for α9 nAChR subunit. Turtle inner ear tissue homogenate (45 μg of protein) was mixed with 5× SDS loading buffer containing 2.5 M urea. Samples were denatured under two different conditions: 100°C for 5 min or 37°C for 15 min. Each yielded a single band. After brief centrifugation, samples were loaded on a 4–20% Tris–HCl gel, and a molecular weight marker (Biorad) was used as a protein standard. Electrophoresis was performed in Tris/SDS/glycine buffer at 120 V, until bromophenol blue dye migrated out of the gel. The gel was placed together with nitrocellulose membrane (Biorad) in an electrobolt unit. The transfer was performed in Tris/glycine/20% methanol buffer overnight at 35 V, at 4°C. After transfer, the membrane was stained with Ponceau stain (Sigma–Aldrich) to visualize lanes, photographed, and then washed with 1× TBS until the stain was removed. The membrane was incubated in 5% nonfat milk solution in 1× TBS for 2–4 h to block nonspecific binding. After two 10 min washes in 1× TBS, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C in an affinity-purified antibody solution (1:1000 dilution in 1× TBS with 1% BSA). The membrane was washed three times with 1× 0.05% TBS–TWEEN, and then incubated in secondary antibody solution (goat-anti-rabbit–HRP, 1:30,000 dilution in 1× 0.025% TBS–TWEEN) for 1 h. The membrane was washed 5× 10 min in 1× 0.05% TBS–TWEEN, incubated in enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (GE Healthcare), wrapped in transparent plastic wrap and exposed to Hyperfilm (GE Healthcare).

To verify the specificity of the bands, preabsorption controls were done on the same nitrocellulose membranes, which were stripped with a freshly prepared stripping buffer (65 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 0.7% β-mercaptoethanol). Membranes were incubated in this buffer for 25 min at 55°C, with occasional vigorous stirring. The membrane was washed 3× 10 min with 1× 0.05% TBS–TWEEN, then 3× 5 min in 1× TBS. After these washes, we used the identical blot protocol described above from the point of the blocking step. In this instance, however, 3 μg of purified antibody was mixed with 30 μg of the α9 peptide antigen and incubated at RT for 1 h. The membrane was probed with this solution overnight and processed as described above.

Results

Based on hair cell morphology, the turtle posterior crista has been partitioned into a central zone (CZ) and a peripheral zone (PZ; Brichta and Peterson, 1994; Brichta and Goldberg, 2000a). Type II hair cells and their bouton afferent innervation are distributed throughout the crista in both the PZ and CZ, whereas type I hair cells, innervated by calyx or dimorphic afferents (CD), are restricted to the CZ (Fig. 1, top box). Bouton afferents may be further subdivided into BT, BM, and BP afferents on the basis of whether they terminate near the torus (BT), middle (BM), or planum (BP) regions, respectively. For this study, extracellular and intracellular sharp electrode recordings were made from BT, BM, and CD afferents innervating the turtle posterior crista dur-
Afferent responses to efferent stimulation differ between bouton and CD afferents

Responses of turtle crista afferents to efferent stimulation are related to afferent morphology and location within the crista neuroepithelium (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000a,b; Holt et al., 2006b). We exploited this relationship to categorize each afferent’s response to efferent stimulation as belonging to one of three general afferent subclasses (i.e., BT, BM, and CD). Although there is some heterogeneity in response amplitude and duration within each subclass, it was primarily the overall response shape that governed the categorization (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000b; Holt et al., 2006b). Exemplars from each subclass are shown in Figure 1. Responses of BT afferents to repeated efferent shock trains (20 shocks at 200/s) were characterized by a profound inhibition of the resting discharge lasting well over 1 s before returning to prestimulus rates (Fig. 1A). Relative to background rates, the mean amplitude and duration of efferent-mediated inhibition in 56 BT afferents was $-32.0 \pm 1.9$ spikes/s and $1.72 \pm 0.11$ s, respectively. Delivery of the efferent shock train also inhibited BM afferents (Fig. 1B). Although the magnitude of the inhibition was comparable ($-28.6 \pm 1.2$ spikes/s, $n = 76$), the response in BM afferents differed from BT units in two distinct ways: (1) the inhibition was appreciably shorter ($0.413 \pm 0.012$ s) and (2) the inhibition was routinely followed by postinhibitory excitation (PIE) averaging an increase of $16.7 \pm 0.7$ spikes/s (Fig. 1B, arrowhead).

In contrast to responses of BT/BM afferents, efferent stimulation excited CD afferents (Fig. 1C–F). On average, the resting discharge in CD afferents was elevated by $45.8 \pm 1.6$ spikes/s and remained elevated for nearly 1 s ($0.923 \pm 0.028$ s, $n = 137$). In most CD units, the excitatory response followed a simple decay back to baseline following termination of the efferent stimulus (Fig. 1C). However, in $\sim 15\%$ (20 of 137) of the population, a small excitatory bump was seen (Fig. 1D, asterisk) or a second excitatory peak (Fig. 1E, F) interrupted the decay trajectory. The presence of the second peak suggested that multiple efferent-mediated response components were present (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000b). There was also a correspondence in the timing of these secondary peaks in CD units with the peak of PIE seen in BM afferents (Fig. 1B, D–F, compare arrowheads). The similar timing suggested that these two excitatory phenomena might be attributed to the same efferent response mechanisms. Moreover, we specifically asked: might the presence of multiple efferent response mechanisms provide a basis for further discriminating calyx from dimorphic afferents? These observations provided the motivation for identifying the cellular and pharmacological mechanisms underlying each response in this study.

Cholinergic efferent neurons synapse with afferents and type II hair cells

In turtle cristae, VENs synapse on three targets: calyx-bearing afferents (i.e., calyx and dimorphic), type II hair cells, and bouton afferents innervating type II hair cells (Fig. 2A). The synaptic mechanisms underlying efferent-mediated inhibition of BT/BM afferents and excitation of CD afferents have been partially characterized (Holt et al., 2006b; Jordan et al., 2013). Efferent-mediated inhibition of BT/BM afferents proceeds by way of activating $\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ nAChRs on type II hair cells whose calcium influx subsequently activates small-conductance, calcium-dependent SKs to hyperpolarize the hair cell (Fig. 2A, right). This hyperpolarization inhibits the release of hair cell transmitter, presumably glutamate, onto the afferent, thereby inhibiting afferent discharge (Holt et al., 2006a,b). PIE in BM afferents also requires...
the activation of α9α10 nAChRs and subsequent hyperpolarization of type II hair cells (Holt et al., 2006b). Efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents is attributed to the activation of nAChRs that directly depolarize calyx and dimorphic afferents (Fig. 2A, left, middle). Existing pharmacological data suggested a role for α4β2 and α9α10 nAChRs (Holt et al., 2006b; Jordan et al., 2013). Similar nAChRs are also found on BT/BM afferents, but inhibitory effects in type II hair cells typically mask their activation. Despite using similar efferent synaptic mechanisms, the responses of BM and dimorphic afferents to efferent stimulation are dominated by activation of nAChRs on the hair cell and afferent, respectively. Accordingly, we reasoned that the weight of the two efferent synaptic inputs might vary between the two afferent groups.

To assess how efferent synaptic structure varied among these targets, turtle semicircular canal cristae were processed immunohistochemically with antibodies to ChAT, calretinin, and myosin VIIA to label efferent fibers and varicosities, calyx-bearing afferents, and SV2. Immunostaining for SV2 overlaps with ChAT-positive varicosities in the same tissue. In E, ChAT-positive varicosities are concentrated along the base of type II hair cells demarcated using antibodies against Myo7A. These ChAT-positive varicosities were also SV2-positive. To indicate proximity, orthogonal views (horizontal yellow lines) are presented at the bottom of C–E and side views (vertical yellow line) are shown on the right side of E. Orthogonal views in C and D reveal that only the front half of the calyx stalk was captured in the stack. Scale bars, 5 μm.
ents, and hair cells, respectively (Fig. 2B–E). Upon leaving the stroma, ChAT-positive VEN fibers branched extensively (Fig. 2B) to give rise to many spherical varicosities that were deposited along calyx-bearing afferents (Fig. 2B,C) and the base of type II hair cells near the torus (Fig. 2E). In many calyx-bearing afferents, a large number of ChAT-positive efferent varicosities concentrated along the first 50 μm of the axonal stalk, often stacking up along one side (Fig. 2B,C). Co-labeling with anti-ChAT and antibodies against synaptic vesicle protein 2 (SV2) indicated that these varicosities likely represented presynaptic release sites (Fig. 2D). Orthogonal views (dashed lines) confirmed that these varicosities were in direct apposition with the calyx stalk (Fig. 2CD, bottom). In Figure 2G, there were ~17 varicosities, immunolabeled for both ChAT and SV2, sitting directly adjacent to the calyx fiber. On average, there were ~11.5 ± 1.4 ChAT/SV2+ varicosities per calyx stalk (n = 15, 3 animals). Smaller numbers of efferent puncta were localized along the basal one-third of type II hair cells in the middle and torus regions (Fig. 2F,E), averaging 4.3 ± 0.5 varicosities per hair cell (n = 15, 3 animals). Orthogonal and side views (dashed lines) demonstrated that the varicosities were adjacent to the hair cell (Fig. 2G, bottom, right). Efferent varicosities were also seen scattered along the terminal processes of bouton afferents (data not shown). Although efferent varicosities on bouton afferent processes were not as densely packed as those on the calyceal stalk, those varicosities were not counted because it was often difficult to determine whether adjacent efferent varicosities and afferent terminals represented a direct contact or merely a juxtaposition of efferent and afferent synapses upon the base of type II hair cells (Jordan et al., 2013). The dense efferent innervation of calyx-bearing afferents provided one explanation as to how efferent-mediated excitation in dimorphic afferents might obscure efferent actions in type II hair cells. However, determining whether the secondary excitatory peaks in CD units reflect efferent actions on type II hair cells requires that we effectively resolve one efferent response mechanism from the other.

**α9α10 nAChRs are not involved in efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents**

The pharmacological basis for distinguishing the nAChRs driving efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents, from those underlying efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents, has primarily relied on intracellular recordings and differential blockade by the nAChR antagonists ICS and DHβE (Holt et al., 2006b). The utility of intracellular recordings is that we can simultaneously monitor the effects of efferent stimulation on the afferent’s voltage and hair cell transmitter release by analyzing ensemble means and variances, respectively. Ensemble variance measurements, taken from high-pass-filtered records, provide an assessment of transmitter release from hair cells (Holt et al., 2006a,b, 2007). ICS, a potent antagonist of α9α10 nAChRs, completely blocked efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents (Table 2), as well as the underlying voltage and variance changes attributed to inhibiting transmitter release from type II hair cells (Fig. 3A). Under control conditions, the efferent stimulus hyperpolarized the BT afferent and that hyperpolarization was associated with a concomitant decrease in the ensemble variance. The application of 10 μM ICS blocked both the hyperpolarization and variance decrease. In seven BT/BM units, ICS blocked ~93% of the efferent-mediated variance decrease, suggesting that α9α10 nAChRs are solely responsible for dialing back transmitter release. The variance decrease was consistent with a variation in grante, as a log–log regression of ensemble skew versus the corrected ensemble variance for each of the seven units gave a mean slope of 1.04 ± 0.01.

The synaptic mechanisms governing efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents can be contrasted with those in BT/BM afferents (Fig. 3B). During control conditions, the standard efferent stimulus depolarized the CD afferent a little over 1.5 mV. This depolarization, however, was not associated with a change in the variance that was shown earlier to depend on transmitter release from the hair cell (Holt et al., 2006a,b). Unlike efferent-mediated inhibition of BT/BM afferents, ICS only blocked ~30% of efferent-mediated excitation (Table 2) and the underlying depolarization in CD afferents (Holt et al., 2006b). DHβE, at concentrations that do not antagonize α9α10 nAChRs nor efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents, inhibited ~70% of the efferent-mediated depolarization in CD afferents (Fig. 3B). The complementary block of efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents by ICS and DHβE suggested that several nAChR subtypes, including α9α10, were involved (Holt et al., 2006b).

To answer whether efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents actually uses α9α10 nAChRs, we first applied 300 nM DHβE and then followed it with the coapplication of 300 nM DHβE and

---

**Table 2. Effects of cholinergic drugs on afferent responses to efferent stimulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Range of drug concentrations used (μM)</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th></th>
<th>BT/BM</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>α9α10 nAChR antagonists</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-BTX</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.8 ± 9.6*</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>49.6 ± 10.6*</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CtxflgIA</td>
<td>0.3–1.67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48.6 ± 4.6**</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>53.2 ± 2.3**</td>
<td>3.2 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.3 ± 4.8**</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>40.0 ± 4.0**</td>
<td>3.1 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA</td>
<td>1.0–3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47.2 ± 6.8*</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.3**</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Afferent α9α10 nAChR antigens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CtxMII</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43.1 ± 8.9**</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>27.6 ± 4.7**</td>
<td>24.7 ± 4.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bPiDDB</td>
<td>0.1–3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.0 ± 6.5**</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>30.4 ± 4.6**</td>
<td>30.8 ± 4.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPP</td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51.1 ± 4.2**</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.6**</td>
<td>25.4 ± 3.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-ido</td>
<td>0.01–3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.8 ± 19.7*</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.1 ± 36.1*</td>
<td>35.5 ± 7.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SazA</td>
<td>0.01–3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.4 ± 4.2**</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.3*</td>
<td>35.2 ± 3.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varenicline</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.1 ± 6.6*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.4 ± 8.8*</td>
<td>29.5 ± 3.6**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Drug effects on average spike responses in CD and BT/BM afferents, taken from the first 100 ms interval immediately following the efferent shock train (20 shocks at 200/s). Drugs were classified as either α9α10 or α9α10 nAChR antagonists.

%Block = % change in mean discharge rate during shock train minus unstimulated background rate, before and after the indicated drug, respectively. Reported values are mean ± SEM for each of three units. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

*10 nAChR antagonists used (α-BTX, α-CtxflgIA, ICS, MLA, α-CtxMII, bPiDDB, DMPP, S-ido, SazA, Varenicline).

*10 nAChRs are not involved in efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents.
10 μM ICS (Fig. 3B). The addition of 10 μM ICS, however, failed to block the depolarization remaining after DHβE alone (Fig. 3B). In fact, the mean depolarization of six CD units, during the first 80 ms interval taken 20 ms after the efferent shock train, in the presence of DHβE alone was not statistically significant from the corresponding mean depolarization acquired in the presence of DHβE and ICS (0.274 ± 0.032 mV vs. 0.242 ± 0.036 mV). These observations were inconsistent with a role for α9α10 nAChRs, and instead suggested that efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents simply used nAChRs with sensitivity to both DHβE and ICS. Three further pharmacological observations supporting this assertion are presented in the next section.

The nicotinic agonist DMPP selectively blocks efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents

To further pharmacologically define the nAChRs underlying efferent-mediated excitation in turtle CD afferents, we used the nAChR agonist DMPP. DMPP was previously shown to selectively activate excitatory nAChRs, but not α9α10 nAChRs, in the frog vestibular system (Bernard et al., 1985; Holt et al., 2003). We reasoned that DMPP might work similarly in the turtle to aid in describing the excitatory nAChRs on CD afferents. We super-fused low concentrations of DMPP (5–20 μM) while recording the effects of the standard efferent stimulus on the resting discharge of CD afferents (Fig. 4A, B). During control conditions (superfusion of control solution), the standard efferent stimulus rapidly and repeatedly excited the CD afferent by almost 60 spikes/s. Each excitatory transient in the rate histogram represents the repeated presentation of single shock trains (Fig. 4A, Control). At 65 s (dashed line), we switched to control solution containing 20 μM DMPP that, after a brief lag of 30 s, slowly excited the afferent. DMPP-mediated excitation peaked ~50 s into the application and then slowly decayed to background levels over several minutes despite continued DMPP delivery. Application of DMPP also abolished ongoing efferent-mediated excitation, suggesting that the same nAChRs contributed to both forms of excitation (Fig. 4A, B; Table 2). Upon washout, efferent-mediated excitation returned to near-control levels (Fig. 4B).

The most likely explanation for the loss of efferent-mediated excitation during DMPP application is that DMPP first excited the afferent by activating the same nAChRs recruited during efferent stimulation. During continuous exposure, however, DMPP presumably desensitizes these nAChRs, disabling any further activation by DMPP or ACh released from efferents during electrical stimulation. This phenomenon effectively allowed us to use DMPP as an antagonist, and it is the blockade by DMPP that is characterized in Figure 4B and Table 2.

The concentrations of DMPP used here should have little effect on efferent-mediated inhibition, as DMPP is a poor agonist of α9α10 nAChRs (Sugai et al., 1992; Guth et al., 1994; Elgoyhen et al., 2001; Sgard et al., 2002; Holt et al., 2003). Consistent with this prediction, the application of 20 μM DMPP had no significant effect on efferent-mediated inhibition of afferent discharge in BT/BM units (Fig. 4C; Table 2). The nicotinic agonist cytisine (0.3–1 μM) also directly excited CD afferents and subsequently blocked efferent-mediated excitation while exhibiting little effect on efferent-mediated inhibition of BT/BM afferents (data not shown).

The effects of DMPP on efferent-mediated excitatory responses in CD units, and lack of effect on inhibition in BT/BM afferents, suggested that DMPP blocks nAChRs on the afferent while exerting little effect on the activation of α9α10 nAChRs in type II hair cells. For further confirmation, we again turned to intracellular recordings to discriminate efferent effects on hair cells versus afferents. The application of 20 μM DMPP blocked efferent-mediated depolarization in CD afferents (Fig. 4D). Neither the control depolarization nor the DMPP-blocked response was associated with a change in the ensemble variance (Fig. 4D, bottom), indicating that the depolarization was not mediated by nAChRs on the hair cell. DMPP also selectively antagonized nAChRs on bouton afferents without interacting with α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells (Fig. 4E). The initial depolarization (Fig. 4E, arrowhead) at the onset of efferent stimulation represents direct activation of nAChRs on the bouton afferent (Holt et al., 2006b). The application of DMPP blocked the initial depolarization and unmasked an efferent-mediated afferent hyperpolarization. This unmasking, however, took place without significant alterations in ensemble variance from the same time period. In four BT/BM units, the mean depolarization and variance decrease (expressed as percentage of baseline variance) was 0.190 ± 0.181 mV and 0.630 ± 0.232 mV², respectively. After DMPP, the resulting hyperpolarization was −1.840 ± 0.452 mV while the variance decrease was 0.910 ± 0.026 mV². We concluded that DMPP antagonized excitatory nAChRs on turtle crista afferents without interacting with α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells, thereby suggesting that α9α10 nAChRs are not involved in efferent-mediated excitation.

αBTX and α-CtxRglA do not antagonize efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents

The pharmacological evidence provided thus far argues that (1) nAChRs present on afferent processes are distinct from α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells, and (2) α9α10 nAChRs are not involved in efferent-mediated excitation. To further strengthen
in CD afferents (Fig. 5A, B; Table 2). Lower concentrations of MLA (0.1–0.3 μM) continue to completely block efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents (Table 2), which is consistent with pharmacological observations with α9α10 nAChRs (Verbiskiy et al., 2000; Fucile et al., 2006). Although we did not conduct experiments with lower concentrations of MLA with efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents, the magnitude and time course of block by 1 μM MLA was similar between the two groups, suggesting overlapping sensitivities. These observations, while providing additional pharmacological information about afferent nAChRs, did not exclude a role for α9α10 nAChRs in efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents. However, the remaining antagonists, αBTX and α-CtxRglA, were instructive in this regard. αBTX and α-CtxRglA blocked efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents (Fig. 5C, E; Table 2) while having little effect on efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents (Fig. 5D, F; Table 2). The subsequent application of DMPP, however, blocked efferent-mediated excitation in the same CD units (Fig. 5D, F), indicating that the lack of effect with αBTX or α-CtxRglA was likely not related to issues with synaptic access. α-CtxRglA also exerted little effect on efferent-mediated excitation in bouton afferents as noted by the unmasking of an excitatory response in the BM unit after α-CtxRglA blockade (Fig. 5E). These data provide additional evidence that α9α10 nAChRs do not play a role in efferent-mediated excitation.

Pharmacology suggests a role for α4, α6, and β2 nAChR subunits in efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents

Selective α4β2*/α6β2* agonists

The observation that efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents is sensitive to low doses of DHβE provided important insight into its potential nAChR subunit composition (Holt et al., 2006b; Table 1). As mentioned above, 70% of the efferent-mediated excitation in CD units was blocked by 300 nM DHβE (Fig. 3B; Holt et al., 2006b), suggesting that IC50 estimates were even lower. If we limit our choices to nAChRs whose IC50 values for DHβE are <300 nM, the list of possible contributing nAChR subunits narrows considerably. Remaining candidates include α4β2* and α4β4* (both non-α6), α6β2*, as well as α7β2 where the asterisks indicate the possible inclusion of other subunits (Table 1). We can likely rule out α7β2 nAChRs since efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents was not potently blocked by strychnine (Holt et al., 2006b) or αBTX (Fig. 5D, Table 2). Potent blockade of efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents the argument, we used MLA, αBTX, and α-CtxRglA, three compounds that potently antagonize α9α10 nAChRs in other hair cell systems (Fuchs and Murrow, 1992; Elgoyhen et al., 2001; Goutman et al., 2005; Ellison et al., 2006). Surprisingly, 1 μM MLA potently blocked nearly 90% or greater of both efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents and efferent-mediated excitation

Figure 4. The nAChR agonist DMPP first mimics and then blocks efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents. A, The excitatory responses of a CD afferent to repeated efferent shock trains (black bars, bottom) are shown as a three-part rate histogram before (1) and during (2, 3) application of 20 μM DMPP. Temporal gaps in graph represent ~10 s intervals between records. Control solution was superfused during control recordings and DMPP was started at t = 65 s (vertical dashed line) and remained on for the rest of the trace. B, Corresponding average response histograms for control (Cntl) and DMPP were constructed from data shown in segments 1 and 3, respectively. The wash histogram was generated 15 min after returning to control solution (segment not shown). C, Average response histogram of a BM afferent to the standard efferent shock train before and during application of 20 μM DMPP. D, E, Ensemble means (top, left axis in millivolts) and variances (bottom panel, right axis in square millivolts) were acquired from intracellular recordings of a CD and BM afferent in response to the standard efferent shock train before (black traces) and during application of 20 μM DMPP (gray traces), respectively. Arrowhead in E indicates efferent-mediated depolarization presumably mediated by nAChRs on the afferent. In all panels, efferent shock trains (20 shocks at 200/s, black bars) were delivered every 3 s. Ensemble means and variances as well as histograms were based on ~19 shock train presentations.
by MLA (Fig. 5B) likely excludes α4β4* nAChRs (Evans et al., 2003), but would remain consistent with the pharmacology of both α4β2* and α6β2* nAChRs (Mogg et al., 2002; Capelli et al., 2011).

To further discriminate between remaining receptor candidates, we applied several cholinergic compounds with selectivity toward α4β2* and/or α6β2* nAChRs. These included the three selective agonists varenicline, SazA, and 5-iodo, as well as two antagonists, α-CtxMII and bPiDDB (Table 1). We first discuss the three agonists. Given our success achieving afferent nAChR blockade with the nonselective nAChR agonists DMPP and cytisine, we reasoned that the subtype-selective agonists (varenicline, SazA, and 5-iodo) might work in a similar fashion. That is, they might first selectively activate DHβE-sensitive nAChRs on calyx afferents and then, through competitive binding or subsequent desensitization of the receptors, block ongoing efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents. Unlike our observations with DMPP and cytisine, we did not observe upon the application of these new agonists any consistent increase in the discharge rate of CD afferents. But varenicline, SazA, and 5-iodo, all at nanomolar concentrations, did block most of the efferent-mediated increases in afferent discharge (Fig. 6A, C, E; Table 2) while exerting little effect on α9α10 nAChR-mediated inhibition of bouton afferents. A, C, E, Average response histograms of CD afferents to the standard efferent shock train before and during application of 300 nM varenicline, 30 nM SazA, and 300 nM 5-iodo, respectively. B, D, F, Average response histograms of BT/BM afferents to the standard efferent shock train before and during application of 300 nM varenicline, 30 nM SazA, and 300 nM 5-iodo, respectively. Each series was then followed by the application of 10 μM ICS. Efferent shock trains (20 shocks at 200/s, black bar at t = 0) were repeated every 3 s and all histograms were based on ≥19 shock train presentations.
The sensitivity to varenicline, SazA, and 5-iodo further advocated both were unaffected by blockers of efferent-mediated excitation.

The preceding pharmacological observations, along with the DHβE sensitivity, suggested that α6β2* and/or α6β2* nAChRs are involved in efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents. To further discriminate between these two receptor populations, we used two selective α6*-nAChR antagonists, α-CtxMII and bPiDDB. α-CtxMII is derived from the cone snail Conus magus, and has been shown to selectively block α3β2* and α6β2* nAChRs at low nanomolar concentrations (Cartier et al., 1996; McIntosh et al., 2004). The inclusion of α3β2* nAChRs in our system, however, is unlikely given their micromolar sensitivity to DHβE (Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997; Endo et al., 2005; Papke et al., 2008; but see Harvey and Luette, 1996; Table 1). Nanomolar concentrations of α-CtxMII failed to block efferent-mediated excitation in turtle CD afferents (data not shown), but we reliably saw blockade at higher concentrations. The application of 1–2 μM α-CtxMII blocked most of the efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents without affecting efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents (Fig. 7A, B; Table 2).

It can be argued, however, that the use of higher concentrations of α-CtxMII would not distinguish α6β2* over α4β2* nAChRs (Cartier et al., 1996). To circumvent potential selectivity issues, we also used bPiDDB, a nonpeptide antagonist selective for α6β2* nAChRs (Smith et al., 2010; Table 1). Nanomolar concentrations of bPiDDB blocked efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents (Fig. 7C; Table 2), yet left efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents intact (Fig. 7D; Table 2). Estimates of IC50 values were consistent with α6β2* nAChR blockade observed in the CNS (Table 1). Similar to observations with 5-iodo, blockade by bPiDDB also masked an inhibitory–excitatory response (compare Figs. 6E, 7C). Together, the data presented in Figures 6 and 7 imply that activation of α6β2* nAChRs underlies efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents.

Distinguishing calyx from dimorphic afferents in intracellular recordings

We have shown that a number of cholinergic compounds selectively block efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents without affecting efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents. These observations also suggested that the remaining efferent-mediated inhibitory responses, seen in CD afferents following the administration of these compounds (Figs. 6E, 7C), represent the unchallenged activation of α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells innervating the same CD afferent. It also follows that CD afferents with such postblockade signatures were likely dimorphic. These inferences, however, are derived from extracellular recordings that predict what net voltages the spike encoder sees but provide little insight into the origin of each efferent-mediated component. For further clarification, we made measurements of ensemble voltage and variance in intracellular recordings from CD units during efferent stimulation and the application of cholinergic compounds that selectively blocked nAChRs on the afferent. We used the large efferent-mediated depolarization following the standard efferent-shock train to distinguish CD afferents from BT/BM afferents (Fig. 3). In 79 CD units, the mean depolarization during the 80 ms interval taken 20 ms after the efferent shock train was 0.92 ± 0.05 mV. This is comparable to previously reported values and can be contrasted with hyperpolarizing values seen in BT/BM afferents during the same time period (Holt et al., 2006b; Table 3).

In intracellular recordings during efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents, we encountered one of three effects on ensemble variance: no change in variance, a variance decrease, or a variance increase. Mean ensemble voltage and variance data are provided in Table 3 for representative BT/BM afferents and the three groups of CD afferents. For CD afferents, reported mean values were tabulated from units where afferent nAChR blockers attenuated >70% of the efferent-mediated depolarization. In 35 of 79 CD units, there was no change in the ensemble variance during efferent stimulation, reaffirming the finding that changes in hair cell transmitter release played little, if any, role in afferent voltage changes in this group of CD units (Fig. 3B). Within this same group, there remained no variance change during efferent stimulation following the addition of afferent nAChR blockers (Fig. 4D). We labeled this first group CD_C (Table 3), as these efferent-mediated responses would be consistent with the sole activation of afferent nAChRs on calyx afferents (Fig. 2A).

In the second CD group (14 of 79), efferent-mediated depolarization was associated with a concomitant decrease in variance similar to the variance changes seen in BT/BM afferents (compare Figs. 4E, 8A). Three further similarities may be noted: (1) selective blockade of afferent nAChRs subsequently unmasked a hyperpolarization without affecting the variance decrease (Fig. 8A); (2) consistent with a change in qrate, a log–log regression of ensemble skew versus the corrected ensemble variance, after afferent nAChR blockade, yielded a mean slope of 1.03 ± 0.02 (n = 6); and (3) consistent with the activation of α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells, subsequent application of ICS blocked the hy-
peropolarization and variance decrease (Fig. 8A; n = 4). Since these similarities with BM afferents suggested that this group of CD afferents received type II hair cell input (Fig. 2E), we classified them as dimorphic (CD_D; Table 3).

In the last group of CD afferents (22 of 79), efferent-mediated depolarization was associated with a parallel variance increase (Fig. 8B). Because there was an efferent-mediated enhancement in the variance, we categorized the third group as CD_E. In contrast to efferent-mediated variance decreases in BT/BM and CD_D afferents, afferent nAChR blockers abolished the variance increase in CD_E afferents (Fig. 8B; Table 3). Unreliable estimates of residual variance in CD_E units obscured whether the efferent-mediated variance decrease was attributed to changes in grating and/or qzize. Finally, five CD units showed a mixture of the variance changes seen in CD_D and CD_E units, while three other CD recordings showed no variance change under control conditions but variance decreases following afferent nAChR blockade (data not shown). These limited results suggest that in some CD units, synaptic mechanisms underlying each variance change coexist.

Distinguishing calyx from dimorphic afferents in extracellular recordings

To determine how the discharge of presumed dimorphic afferents differed from calyx afferents during efferent stimulation, we classified extracellular recordings from CD afferents as either calyx or dimorphic, based on the absence or presence of efferent-mediated inhibition, respectively, in the overall efferent response (Fig. 9A, B). Here, we calculated the mean response histogram for each population before and after the application of nAChR antagonists (Fig. 9A, B; n = 58, 29 each). We identified efferent-mediated inhibition after either unmasking with afferent nAChR antagonists (Table 2, bottom; n = 46) or as the fraction blocked by capsaicin nAChR antagonists (Table 2, top, excluding MLA; n = 12). Mean excitation in calyx afferents was significantly larger in amplitude and significantly shorter in duration than dimorphic afferents (Fig. 9A, B; Table 4). Mean prestimulus discharge rates were also significantly higher in dimorphic afferents.

To derive a nonpharmacological estimate of mean type II hair cell input, we subtracted the mean calyx population response from the mean dimorphic population response (Fig. 9C, solid line). This estimate is similar to mean inhibition–excitation in dimorphic afferents identified pharmacologically (Fig. 9B, C, dashed line). Differences in timing and amplitude are likely attributed to incomplete block of afferent nAChRs in the pharmacological setting and/or interactions between efferent response mechanisms lacking in the population estimate. Mean inhibition–excitation remaining in dimorphic afferents was nearly half of that seen in BM afferents (compare Figs. 1B, 9C). This is likely a product of dimorphic afferents making fewer synapses with type II hair cells than BM afferents (Brichta and Peterson, 1994), and that synaptic input from type I hair cells is not inhibited during efferent stimulation.

The mean dimorphic response is interrupted along its falling edge by a small excitatory bump (Fig. 9B, asterisk). We previously hypothesized that this phenomenon might result from the activation of inhibitory–excitatory efferent mechanisms in type II hair cells (Fig. 1D–F). In further exploration, efferent-mediated
excitation of the CD afferent in Figure 1E was characterized during the application of DMPP (20 \( \mu M \)) and \( \alpha\)-CtxRglA (667 \( \text{nm} \)). DMPP abolished the efferent-mediated excitation and unmasked an inhibitory–excitatory response whose PIE would contribute to the second excitatory peak under control conditions (Fig. 9D). Under similar pharmacology, a clear association between PIE and the second excitatory control peak was identified in 14 CD afferents. That such remaining inhibitory–excitatory responses are mediated by \( \alpha_9\alpha_{10} \) nAChRs on type II hair cells is supported by a sensitivity to blockade by \( \alpha\)-CtxRglA (Fig. 9D) and ICS (data not shown).

**Immunohistochemistry with nAChR antibodies**

The pharmacological observations with DH\( \beta E \), \( \alpha\)-CtxMII, bPiDDB, and antagonists of \( \alpha_9\alpha_{10} \) nAChRs suggested that efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents uses \( \alpha_6\beta_2^* \) as opposed to either \( \alpha_4\beta_2^* \) (non-\( \alpha_6 \)) or \( \alpha_9\alpha_{10} \) nAChRs. However, we wondered whether \( \alpha_4 \) or \( \alpha_9 \) nAChR subunits might coassemble with \( \alpha_6 \) and \( \beta_2 \) in forming functional nAChRs on calyceal endings. There is convincing evidence for \( \alpha_4\alpha_6\beta_2^* \) nAChRs on dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area (Champtiaux et al., 2003), and the inclusion of the \( \alpha_9 \) nAChR subunit would be consistent with previous IHC and \( \alpha\)-bungarotoxin data (Ishiyama et al., 1995; Wackym et al., 1995; Dailey et al., 2000; Luebke et al., 2005).

To gain further insight into the participation of \( \alpha_9 \) and \( \alpha_4 \) nAChR subunits in efferent-mediated excitation of turtle CD afferents, we probed turtle crista neuroepithelia and vestibular ganglia using IHC for \( \alpha_9 \), \( \alpha_4 \), and \( \beta_2 \) nAChR subunits. We sequenced the gene for the \( \alpha_9 \) nicotinic receptor subunit from turtle inner ear tissue and used this information to generate a polyclonal antibody, IL-308 (see Materials and Methods). As a test of specificity, we used IL-308 to probe Western blots of turtle inner ear tissue homogenates and obtained a \( \sim 57 \text{ kDa} \) band (the appropriate size) for the turtle \( \alpha_9 \) nAChR subunit (Fig. 10A). Preincubation of IL-308 with excess peptide antigen blocked subsequent labeling. Confocal micrographs were generated from turtle posterior crista stained with IL-308 and anti-calretinin (Fig. 10C–E). Immunostaining for IL-308 was consistent with our pharmacological observations whereby type II hair cells (II) in the PZ near the torus were \( \alpha_9 \)-positive, while presumed BT afferents (Fig. 10C, arrowhead) innervating them were calretinin-positive and \( \alpha_9 \)-negative. Staining patterns of calyx afferents in the CZ resembled bouton afferents in being calretinin-positive, but \( \alpha_9 \)-negative (Fig. 10D,E, arrowheads). In the same section, type II hair cells in the adjacent PZ were both \( \alpha_9 \)-positive and calretinin-positive (Fig. 10D,E, arrows). These data suggest that the \( \alpha_9 \) subunit does not contribute to direct efferent-mediated excitation of turtle vestibular afferents.

We also used two commercially available mammalian antibodies to map out the expression of \( \alpha_4 \) and \( \beta_2 \) nAChR subunits in turtle vestibular tissue (Fig. 10F–I). In these experiments, we observed staining for both \( \alpha_4 \) and \( \beta_2 \) nAChR subunits in turtle vestibular ganglia containing the cell bodies for primary vestibular afferents (Fig. 10F,H) as well as in the crista neuroepithelium (Fig. 10G,I). In the crista (Fig. 10G,I), staining from both antibodies was generally superimposable where they labeled bouton afferent fibers and terminals near the torus and planum (arrows), calyx-bearing afferents in the CZ (arrowheads), and type II hair cells near the planum (II). These observations are consistent with a role for both \( \alpha_4 \) and \( \beta_2 \) nAChR subunits in efferent-mediated excitation of vestibular afferents and suggest a possible role for \( \alpha_4\beta_2^* \) nAChRs in planum type II hair cells. Preliminary IHC results for the commercial antibody we used against \( \alpha_6 \), however, were inconclusive (data not shown).

**Figure 9.** Multiphasic efferent-mediated excitation responses in CD units represent dimorphic afferents. A CD afferents where little to no efferent-mediated response remained following efferent nAChR blockade were classified as calyx-only afferents. An overall average response histogram from 29 such units that were averaged before (gray, Calyx) and after (dashed line, C-Block) nAChR blockade is shown. B, CD afferents where mixed inhibitory–excitatory responses remained following efferent nAChR blockade were classified as dimorphic. The response histograms from 29 CD units met this criterion and were averaged before (solid line, Dimorph) and after (dashed line, D-Block) nAChR blockade. C, Mean difference between calyx and dimorphic afferents was estimated by subtracting the mean calyx response histogram from the mean dimorphic histogram (solid line, D minus C). This estimate is then compared with the histogram remaining after efferent nAChR blockade in dimorphic afferents (D-Block). D, Average response histograms of a presumed dimorphic afferent to a standard efferent shock train are shown before and during the serial application of 10 \( \mu M \) DMPP and then 10 \( \mu M \) DMPP with 667 \( \text{nm} \) \( \alpha\)-CtxRglA. Efferent shock trains (20 shocks at 200/s, black bar at \( t = 0 \)) were repeated every 3–5 s and histograms were based on \( \geq 20 \) shock train presentations.
Expression of nAChR subunits in turtle vestibular tissue

Since the validity and specificity of many cholinergic receptor antibodies have been called into question (Moser et al., 2007; Jositsch et al., 2009), we sought additional experimental support to validate the expression of \(
\alpha_9\), \(\alpha_4\), and \(\beta_2\) in turtle vestibular tissue. We performed ISH using primers derived from the turtle \(\alpha_9\) nAChR gene and the partial coding sequences of the turtle \(\alpha_4\) and \(\beta_2\) nAChR genes that we cloned (accession numbers AY768813, EU526107, EU526108). ISH revealed that \(\alpha_9\) mRNA expression was primarily confined to the hair cell layer (Fig. 11A, inset) where the label was more intense near the torus and became less intense or absent when moving toward the planum regions (Fig. 11A). In agreement with the immunohistochemistry, ISH demonstrated that turtle vestibular ganglion cells expressed the mRNA for \(\alpha_4\) and \(\beta_2\) nAChR subunits (Fig. 11C,E). No label was present in ISH experiments using sense probes (Fig. 11B, D, F).

Discussion

The initial impetus for this work came from pharmacological differences in nAChRs governing efferent actions on hair cells.
versus afferent processes in the turtle posterior crista (Holt et al., 2006b). In the previous study, efferent-mediated inhibition of bouton afferents was attributed to the activation of α9α10 nAChRs on type II hair cells that was potently blocked by strychnine and ICS. In contrast, efferent-mediated excitation of calyceal endings that were partially blocked by DHβE afferents was attributed to the direct activation of nAChRs on CD afferents, suggesting that the block observed with DHβE and ICS failed to completely block efferent-mediated depolarization in CD afferents, suggesting that the block observed with DHβE or ICS alone did not correspond to two distinct populations of nAChRs, but rather a single population with sensitivity to both DHβE and ICS. Second, SazA, 5-iodo, varenicline, α-CtxMII, and bPiDDB potently antagonized efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents while exhibiting little effect on efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents. Third, the α9α10 nAChR antagonists, α-BTX and α-CtxRgIα, did not block efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents while blocking efferent-mediated inhibition in BT/BM afferents. Finally, IHC and ISH data for the α9 nAChR subunit in turtle were consistent with the pharmacological findings.

Insight into subunit composition of nAChRs on CD afferents

Given that low concentrations of DHβE antagonized efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents (Holt et al., 2006b), it was initially proposed that activation of αβ2 nAChRs was responsible. This proposal was consistent with previous pharmacological characterization of αβ2 nAChRs (Harvey and Luept, 1996; Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997; Iturriaga-Vásquez et al., 2010), as well as the expression of α4 and β2 nAChR subunits in primary vestibular neurons (Wackym et al., 1995; Zoli et al., 1995; Hiel et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1997). Furthermore, in this study, the sensitivity of efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents to varenicline, SazA, and 5-iodo was also consistent with αβ2 nAChR pharmacology (Mukhin et al., 2000; Coe et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2006; Zwart et al., 2008). However, since αβ2 nAChRs also exhibit a similar pharmacological profile (Capelli et al., 2011; Quik and Wonnacott, 2011), we used the selective antagonists α-CtxMII and bPiDDB (McIntosh et al., 2004; Rahman et al., 2008) to demonstrate that efferent-mediated excitation in turtle CD afferents likely involves αβ2 nAChRs.

Three further considerations are worth mentioning here. First, α-CtxMII is a potent antagonist of αβ2 nAChRs (Cartier et al., 1996; Kuryatov et al., 2000; McIntosh et al., 2004). Although the α3 and β2 subunits are expressed by vestibular ganglia (Zoli et al., 1995; Hiel et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1997), the estimated IC50 values for DHβE and bPiDDB in blocking αβ2 nAChRs are in the micromolar range, suggesting that this subclass of nAChRs is unlikely to account for the α-CtxMII sensitivity of efferent-mediated excitation in turtle CD afferents (Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997; Endo et al., 2005; Papke et al., 2008; Rahman et al., 2008). One note of caution in making the argument for αβ2 over αβ2* is that it is predicated on turtle and mammalian αβ2* nAChRs having comparable pharmacological profiles. Further experimentation with selective αβ2 nAChR antagonists may yield additional clarification regarding the involvement of αβ2* nAChRs (Dowell et al., 2003; Pucci et al., 2011). Second, measuring high-affinity binding of α-CtxMII in the brains of genetically modified mice, where specific nAChR subunits have been deleted, has revealed that αβ2* nAChRs can coassemble with α3, α4, and β3 subunits to form multiple nAChR populations (Champtiaux et al., 2003; Salminen et al., 2006).
Whether efferent-mediated excitation of CD afferents in turtle is driven by multiple populations of \( \alpha6\beta2^* \) nAChRs is unknown, but IHC and ISH data regarding the expression of these other subunits in mammalian and turtle vestibular ganglia suggest such combinations may exist (Hiel et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1997). Alternatively, \( \alpha4\beta2^*(\text{non-}\alpha6) \) nAChRs might also exist on CD afferents and account for the ~20% of efferent-mediated excitation in CD afferents unaffected by \( \alpha-\text{CtxMII} \) (Table 2).

### Distinguishing calyx from dimorphic afferents

Although calyx and dimorphic afferents innervate type I hair cells, dimorphic afferents differ anatomically by also giving rise to bouton endings that synapse on type II hair cells (Fernández et al., 1988, 1995; Brichta and Goldberg, 2000a; Haque et al., 2006). In mammalian cristae, calyx afferents can be distinguished physiologically from neighboring dimorphic afferents by assessing discharge regularity and the gain and phase of each afferent’s response to sinusoidal vestibular stimuli (Baird et al., 1988; Lysakowski et al., 1995). In turtle cristae, however, calyx and dimorphic afferents are indistinguishable using any of the aforementioned physiological properties, thus providing the previous rationale for placing both calyx and dimorphic afferents in a single CD category (Brichta and Goldberg, 2000a). This study shows for the first time that efferent actions on type II hair cells can be pharmacologically isolated and used to distinguish dimorphic from calyx afferents. Since dimorphic and BM afferents overlap in their innervation of type II hair cells in the CZ (Fig. 1, top), we predicted that efferent-mediated responses in dimorphic afferents should resemble those in BM afferents, once nAChRs on the dimorphic afferent were blocked. Consistent with such predictions, selective blockade of \( \alpha6\beta2^* \) nAChRs in a subset of recordings from CD afferents revealed a mixed inhibitory–excitatory response that was associated with parallel changes in transmitter release and blocked by ICS or \( \alpha-\text{CtxRgIA} \). The superimposition of PIE likely explains the secondary excitatory phase in some CD afferents and thus it may also be used to define those units as dimorphic.

A decrease in ensemble variance during efferent stimulation, which has been a reliable indicator of efferent-mediated effects on type II hair cells innervating BT/BM afferents (Holt et al., 2006b), was also observed in approximately one-third of our intracellular recordings from CD afferents. Of the three efferent targets (i.e., type II hair cell, bouton afferent, or calyx afferent), the variance decrease implicated the involvement of inhibitory efferent synapses on type II hair cells innervating the same CD afferent. As for the efferent-mediated increase in ensemble variances, we suspect that stems from excitatory nAChRs on type II hair cells, although our current pharmacological observations cannot eliminate the possibility that such variance change may be related to an increase in transmitter release from type I hair cells. Admittedly, it is difficult to understand how efferent stimulation would promote transmitter release from type I hair cells given the lack of direct efferent synapses (Holt et al., 2006b). Further experiments with glutamate receptor antagonists should resolve whether variance increases are associated with an enhancement of transmitter release (Holt et al., 2006a,b, 2007). Although we did not have direct evidence that nAChRs other than \( \alpha9\alpha10 \) nAChRs exist on turtle type II hair cells, there is strong evidence for such receptors in frogs (Holt et al., 2003). Like pharmacological studies in turtle, those in frog have shown that efferent-mediated inhibition of afferent discharge is initiated by the activation of \( \alpha9\alpha10 \) nAChRs, whereas efferent-mediated excitation is mediated by a group of nAChRs selectively activated by DMPP and insensitive to \( \alpha9\alpha10 \) nAChRs antagonists (Bernard et al., 1985; Holt et al., 2003). Our immunohistochemistry also suggests that \( \alpha4 \) and \( \beta2 \) nAChR subunits might be expressed by type II hair cells near the planum. Perhaps the CD_E category represents dimorphic afferents innervating type II hair cells near the planum. The functional significance of having distinct nAChRs in specific cellular locations is likely tied to their electrophysiological properties, including activation and desensitization kinetics, ACh sensitivity, and calcium permeability. While these have been characterized for \( \alpha9\alpha10 \), such data regarding afferent nAChRs are currently lacking.

### Implications for mammalian efferent responses

Mammalian vestibular afferents are invariably excited by efferent stimulation (Goldberg and Fernández, 1980; McCue and Guinan, 1994; Marlinski et al., 2004; Schneider et al., 2013). In agreement with the pharmacological and morphological data presented in this study, RT-PCR and ISH studies implicate a number of nAChR subunits in primary vestibular neurons, including \( \alpha6, \alpha4, \) and \( \beta2, \) but not \( \alpha9 \) or \( \alpha10 \) (Wackym et al., 1995; Hiel et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1997; Elgoyhen et al., 2001). However, \( \alpha9 \) and \( \alpha10 \) nAChR subunits are expressed in the hair cell layer, and are functionally linked to 5K channels in mammalian type II hair cells (Hiel et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1997; Elgoyhen et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014). Like observations related to turtle, these observations suggest that efferent-mediated inhibitory effects are also present but may be masked by efferent-mediated excitation. The panel of cholinergic compounds identified in this study should be useful in parsing out the different efferent synaptic mechanisms in mammals.
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