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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disease in the elderly. While advancements have been made in understanding the genetic and molecular basis of AD, the clinical diagnosis of AD remains difficult, and post-mortem confirmation is often required. Furthermore, the onset of neurodegeneration precedes clinical symptoms by approximately a decade. Consequently, there is a crucial need for an early and accurate diagnosis of AD, which can potentially lead to strategies that can slow down or stop the progression of neurodegeneration and dementia. Recent advances in the non-coding RNA field have shown that microRNAs (miRNAs) can function as powerful biomarkers in human diseases. Studies are emerging suggesting that circulating miRNAs in the cerebrospinal fluid and blood serum have characteristic changes in AD patients. Whether miRNAs can be used in AD diagnosis, alone or in combination with other AD biomarkers (e.g., amyloid and tau), warrants further investigation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a prevalent, devastating, and progressive neurodegenerative disorder. Epidemiological studies predict that over 35 million people worldwide will be affected by 2050, thus significantly increasing social and economical burdens. There is no cure at hand, and only a few medications aimed at slowing down memory deficits and clinical symptoms are available, with limited benefits. Consequently, there is an urgent need for the identification of biomarkers that will allow the detection of AD at early (prodromal) stages, potentially leading to novel diagnostic or therapeutic strategies.

Pathologically, AD is characterized by the gradual, widespread loss of neurons, synapses, and neuropil, culminating in ∼40% loss of brain mass in end-stage disease (West et al., 1994; Gomez-Isla et al., 1996). There are two main AD pathological hallmarks: extracellular amyloid (senile) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). While this area of research continues to progress significantly less invasive than biopsies, an important and practical issue when studying neurodegenerative disorders like AD.

Accumulating evidence suggests that circulating biomarkers may be used in AD diagnosis, the most common being Aβ peptides (Aβ40 and Aβ42, the latter being more prone to aggregation) and tau/phospho-tau (Thr181 being one of the common phospho-epitopes). While this area of research continues to progress (Tarawneh and Holtzman, 2010; Holtzman, 2011), large variability exists in the literature, hampering or delaying their routine use in the clinic (Ingelson et al., 1999). Moreover, their potential use as prodromal AD biomarkers remains uncertain. Therefore, most experts agree that additional biomarkers are required for an accurate and early diagnosis of AD vs. other potential causes of dementia. In this review, we discuss recent studies suggesting that miRNAs could function as novel, non-invasive biomarkers in AD.

**miRNAs AS BIOMARKERS**

The miRNAs are a class of small (∼22 nt) non-protein-coding RNAs crucially involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. They are miRNAs that are evolving as useful tools for the diagnosis of AD in a variety of body fluids. miRNAs are small, single-stranded RNA molecules that regulate gene expression by binding to the 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) of target messenger RNA (mRNA) molecules. This binding can lead to mRNA degradation or inhibition of translation, thus regulating gene expression.
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of gene expression. They are important for multiple biological processes such as development, proliferation, inflammation, and apoptosis (Xu et al., 2004; Pasquinelli et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2013). The biogenesis and role(s) of the miRNA pathway have been recently and thoroughly reviewed by Treiber et al. (2012, and references therein). In brief, miRNA function by binding with partial complementarity to messenger RNA (mRNA) sequences, mainly in the 3′ untranslated region (3′UTR). This targeting leads to either degradation or translational repression of the mRNA template(s), causing an overall downregulation in protein output. The miRNAs can target several disease-related genes involved in neurodegeneration (Delay et al., 2012; Abe and Bostini, 2013).

The precise mechanism(s) involved in miRNA release from cells remains largely unknown, but may involve the ceramide-dependent secretory machinery (Kosaka et al., 2010). Alternatively, there may be a passive leakage from necrotic or apoptotic cells (Zernecke et al., 2009). In any case, these small RNAs are highly stable in body fluids such as plasma and CSF (Mraz et al., 2009), making them attractive biomarkers. There are several factors involved in modulating (distant) circulating miRNAs. These small RNAs are transported in free forms, exosomes, liposomes, or high-density lipoproteins, which protect them from degradation (Vickers et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2012); the stable packaging, processing, and functionality of miRNAs in biofluids is a fascinating and important area of research mostly beyond what is addressed in the current review. While some miRNAs are ubiquitously expressed, others are present in specific cells or tissues, including the central nervous system (CNS; Landgraf et al., 2007). Furthermore, bioinformatics studies suggest that miRNA abundance is directly correlated with miRNA target activity (Dorval et al., 2012).

Interestingly, miRNAs have been described as epigenetic contributors to age-related cognitive changes (Kosik et al., 2012). It has been suggested that dysregulation of these miRNA-dependent epigenetic functions in vulnerable brain regions may lead to cognitive impairments. Accordingly, the past few years have witnessed an explosion of papers linking miRNAs to disease states, and current research efforts establish that miRNA expression profiles are altered in a variety of pathogenic conditions. This is particularly recognized in the cancer field (Sayed and Abdellatif, 2011). Interestingly, the various changes in miRNA levels are observable not only in cells/tissues directly related to disease (e.g., tumors vs. adjacent tissues), but often in the periphery or distant biological systems (e.g., tumors vs. blood). It is noteworthy that most peripheral miRNAs are also found in the brain, albeit at various levels (Hebert et al., 2013).

CIRCULATING miRNA BIOMARKERS IN AD CEREBROSPINAL FLUID

Cerebrospinal fluid is a clear fluid that flows within the ventricles and around the surface of the brain and spinal cord. One primary function of CSF is to circulate nutrients within the CNS and, in turn, to act as a waste remover. The CSF is an attractive source of biomarkers as it is in direct and constant contact with the extracellular space of the brain, and can reflect biochemical and/or physiological changes that occur inside the brain.

In a pioneer study by Cogswell et al. (2008), the group performed a large-scale expression analysis of miRNAs in control and AD CSF. About 201 (out of 242 tested) miRNAs were detected above background levels, as measured by quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using TaqMan probes (Applied Biosystems). They identified 60 miRNAs, including let-7i, that were significantly altered in AD CSF (Braak V stage) when compared to healthy elderly controls (Braak I stage; n = 10 per group, P < 0.05). Using biological pathway enrichment algorithms, the group observed an association between misregulated miRNAs and the immune system, including pathways such as innate immunity (e.g., miR-146b) and T cell activation and differentiation (e.g., miR-181a, miR-142-5p). Putative targets for these miRNAs include IRAK1, TRAF6 (Lindsay, 2008), and Bel-2 family members (Ouyang et al., 2012). The authors suggested that abnormally expressed miRNAs in the CSF were likely derived from immune cells. This was the first study demonstrating that miRNAs can be detected in the CSF (even when initially frozen) and are altered in neurodegenerative disease conditions.

van Harten et al. (2011) confirmed that it was technically feasible to perform genome-wide expression analyses of circulating miRNAs in control and AD CSF. The authors used two stem-loop qRT-PCR methods, including: (1) an individual miRNA TaqMan qRT-PCR and (2) a Megaplex modified microarray. Using this latter approach, the authors detected 667 miRNAs from one control and one AD subject (note that more than 2,000 human miRNAs are currently registered in the miRNA database – www.mirbase.org). The authors specifically quantified and validated changes in neuronal miR-802, a suppressor of caveolin-1 (Lin et al., 2011), in the CSF of control (n = 8) and AD (n = 14) patients. Clinical tests, combined with Aβ42, t-tau, and p-tau-181 measurements in the CSF were globally consistent with the diagnosis of either group. Whether other miRNAs were misregulated in AD conditions was not evaluated.

Only recently have two critical questions been addressed in relation to CSF miRNAs in neurodegenerative diseases. The first natural question relates to why miRNAs are stably present in this biofluid. After all, RNAs are notoriously unstable in solution, and yet their presence has been reliably affirmed. Thus, there is a tantalizing possibility that the miRNAs in solution – and in biochemical packaging as described above – may be playing a role in the CNS. In an elegant study, Lehmann et al. (2012) demonstrated that circulating miRNAs, and in particular let-7b, could exacerbate brain damage and neurodegeneration by binding directly to the Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7). As measured by miRNA qRT-PCR, AD CSF (n = 13) contained significantly higher levels of let-7b when compared to controls (n = 11). Here, AD patients were selected, in part, on the basis of Aβ42 and t-tau levels. Unfortunately, no correlation between these AD markers and let-7b levels was provided. However, this study demonstrates that miRNAs in CNS are bioactive, and may have paracrine/hormonal-like functions, which, if generally true, provides a novel and potentially incredibly important context for miRNA function (and pathological impact) in the brain.

A second key question is more practical, and was addressed by Alexandrov et al. (2012): is there a correlation between Aβ peptides and miRNA levels in the CSF? In this study, the patient
groups consisted of six AD and six age-matched controls. Consis-
tent with previous studies using enzyme-linked immunosorbent
assay (ELISA), they reported a decrease in Aβ40 and Aβ42 in
AD CSF, although this observation did not reach statistical sig-
nificance (P < 0.06). Interestingly, the authors measured higher
(greater than 100-fold) levels of total miRNAs (total mass) when
compared to Aβ peptides, and this, both in control and AD CSF.
Fluorescence-based miRNA microarrays indicated that the pro-
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based Northern dot-blot analysis. This increase of specific miRNAs
were significantly increased in AD CSF. These observations were
inflammatory miRNAs miR-9, miR-125b, miR-146a, and miR-155
were significantly increased in AD CSF. These observations were
inflammation.

Notch (Bu et al., 2013), and Bcl-2 (Cole et al., 2008). The let-7
is regulated by miR-29b. Interestingly, miR-34 targets include p53 (He et al., 2007),
miriR-34a, miR-181b, and let-7f, were validated by miRNA qRT-
Pc.
is quite usual for MCI to be associated with brain pathologies other than AD dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), vascular pathologies, hippocampal sclerosis (HS-Aging), frontostemporal lobar dementia (FTLD), and other conditions may cause or contribute to MCI, as to dementia (Iijima et al., 2006). This highlights an important aspect of AD-related biomarkers: they are not only used in predicting which subtype of dementia will be predominant; the importance of this specificity for clinical trials is obvious. Novel insights, relevant to this consideration, were obtained by deep sequencing miRNAs from brains of individuals with multiple different pathological diseases (AD, DLB, FTLD, and HS-Aging). Hebert et al., 2013. Although not a biomarker study per se, this showed that some miRNAs (particularly miR-132-5p) are downregulated in neurodegenerative diseases non-specifically. In the future, it is hoped that more specific miRNA “fingerprints” may help to distinguish the individual subtypes of neurodegenerative diseases before their earliest manifestations.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

To date, most researchers have relied on the combination of Aβ peptides, total-tau, and phospho-tau (Thr181) ratios to provide the best discriminative values for individuals with or without AD. However, in most cases, large variability and differences between studied groups did not reach statistical significance, leaving inconsistencies. Without excluding the amyloid and tau biological markers, a combination of biomarkers may provide a better tool for AD diagnosis, therefore improving their clinical usefulness. Known examples include structural (e.g., hippocampal shrinkage), functional (e.g., glucose metabolism), and molecular imaging (e.g., fluorescent Pittsburgh compound B, Chintamurthy and Bhaskar, 2012).

Small non-coding RNAs, and in particular miRNAs, have come along way in the past two decades. As discussed above, circulating miRNAs provide an exciting and emerging research area in the biomarker field. As of now, long lists of miRNAs potentially misregulated in disease conditions, although finding overlaps is challenging (note that this is also the case for miRNA profiling studies in the brain). However, some AD-specific miRNAs were "consistently" identified, including some let-7 family members (let-7i, let-7b, and let-7i), miR-9, miR-181, and miR-29 (Maes et al., 2009). These miRNAs seem involved in processes previously associated with AD, that is to say inflammation and immunological response. Perhaps expectedly, several miRNAs and their functions as biomarkers have been patented or in the process thereof (see, e.g., www.freepatentonline.com or www.patentflaw.com). Although very attractive, the applicability of miRNAs as diagnostic tools into the clinic for AD (or MCI) will require extensive validation and follow-up studies in larger cohorts of patients. This is important as AD is a heterogeneous, multifactorial disease, with often display overlapping pathologies (e.g., Aβ deposits and Lewy bodies; Gomperts et al., 2008) and/or co-morbid diagnoses (e.g., diabetes, stroke). Obviously, the ultimate goal is to provide a sensitive, reproducible, and accurate detection of AD neuropathological changes prior to the onset of the disease and the appearance of the clinical symptoms. To this end, future studies will require better neuropathological validations as well as, ultimately, far greater sample sizes for robust statistical power.

In conclusion, circulating miRNAs are amongst the promising next generation of biomarkers for AD, and ultimately the discrimination between neurodegenerative diseases. They may be small molecules, but miRNAs certainly provide a big potential for the diagnosis of human diseases.

Note: While this work was in progress, a report has been published with regards to a circulating miRNA signature in AD patients (Leidinger et al., 2013).
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